H$_2$O and CO$_2$ surface contamination of the lithium garnet Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ solid electrolyte$^+$
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Understanding the reactivity of ubiquitous molecules on complex oxides has broad impacts in energy applications and catalysis. Garnet-type Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ is a promising solid-state electrolyte for lithium (Li)-ion batteries, and it readily reacts with H$_2$O and CO$_2$ when exposed to ambient air. Such reactions form a contamination layer on Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$, which is detrimental to the battery operation. The strong interactions of Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ with H$_2$O and CO$_2$, however, make Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ a promising support to catalyze H$_2$O dissociation and CO$_2$ adsorption. Here, using first-principles calculations, we investigate the adsorption and reactions of H$_2$O and CO$_2$ on a Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface. We show that H$_2$O reacts through the exchange of protons and Li$^+$ and produces metal hydroxide species. At high H$_2$O coverage, half of the H$_2$O molecules dissociate, while the other half remain intact. CO$_2$ reacts with the Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface directly to produce carbonate species. We clarify that the individual reactions of H$_2$O and CO$_2$ with Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ are more thermodynamically favorable than the co-adsorption of H$_2$O and CO$_2$. Finally, we demonstrate that low temperature and high partial pressure promote the reactions of H$_2$O and CO$_2$.

1. Introduction

Energy storage and conversion devices relying on complex oxides are central to the decarbonization of our planet.$^{1-4}$

On one hand, lithium(Li)-ion batteries based on oxide chemistries$^{5,6}$ offer an appealing strategy to store green energy produced by photo-voltaic panels, wind turbines, hydropower and/or hydroelectric means. Li batteries suffer from safety issues, mostly due to the flammability of liquid electrolytes.$^1$ Solid-state batteries, replacing the flammable electrolyte with a solid “ceramic” – solid electrolytes – are safer alternatives.$^6-9$

When suitably doped, the garnet-type quaternary oxide Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ as a solid electrolyte achieves unprecedented Li$^+$ conductivities ($\sim$10$^{-6}$–10$^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$).$^{10-24}$ Importantly, Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ is claimed to be stable against high-energy density Li-metal anodes, which is an appealing feature for high-energy density batteries enabling vehicular transportation.$^{20,22-25}$

On the other hand, complex oxides catalyze the decomposition of abundant molecules, such as H$_2$O and CO$_2$.$^{26-30}$ Water dissociation during the water-gas shift reaction is a vital elementary step that is promoted by oxide supports, such as Al$_2$O$_3$, CeO$_2$, CuO, La$_2$O$_3$, Mn$_3$O$_4$, TiO$_2$, Y$_2$O$_3$, ZrO$_2$, etc.$^{31-37}$ Complex oxides are extensively studied as catalytic supports to adsorb CO$_2$ from dilute gas streams and subsequently transform CO$_2$ into valuable chemicals.$^{38-41}$

Here, using Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ as an example, in the framework of ab initio thermodynamics, we investigate the reactivity of this complex oxide with two ubiquitous molecules: H$_2$O and CO$_2$. Previous work by Sharafi et al. using Raman and X-ray photo-emission spectroscopy (XPS) revealed the existence of thick layers ($\sim$5–10 nm) of Li$_2$CO$_3$ on the Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surfaces, upon exposure to air.$^{21,23}$ The same authors also detected LiOH on the Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ particles. The cartoon of Scheme 1 shows the reaction pathways of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O with Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$, as proposed in previous bulk-material calculations by Sharafi et al.$^{19}$ using density functional theory (DFT).

Nevertheless, the complexity of Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ (and other garnets) in general suggests that considering the reactions of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O beyond the bulk structure is necessary.$^{19}$ Specifically, the structural heterogeneity of active sites on low index...
crystal planes, which contributes to activating closed shell species such as CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O, requires detailed exploration of the reactions on surface. Here, we investigate this complex reactivity using DFT calculations of Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} slabs interacting with H\textsubscript{2}O and CO\textsubscript{2}. The computed surface phase diagrams reveal that at room temperature and ambient pressures H\textsubscript{2}O is adsorbed vigorously on the exposed Li sites at the Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} surface, nucleating LiOH and protons. These protons are then exchanged with the highly mobile Li\textsuperscript{+} ions and may “intercalate” in the Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} bulk.\textsuperscript{14} Likewise, our simulations demonstrate that CO\textsubscript{2} is adsorbed on the Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} surface, nucleating LiOH and protons. These protons are then exchanged with the highly mobile Li\textsuperscript{+} ions and may “intercalate” in the Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} bulk.\textsuperscript{14} Hence, in the context of catalysis, Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} appears to be an excellent catalyst support to capture and convert. If Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} is to be used in battery devices, our simulations provide guidelines to curb its degradation by CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O. In contrast, spontaneous H\textsubscript{2}O dissociation on Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} makes it potentially relevant for the water-gas shift reaction.\textsuperscript{44,45} While the strong chemisorption of CO\textsubscript{2} makes Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} a promising dual functional material for CO\textsubscript{2} capture and conversion.\textsuperscript{46,47} Hence, in the context of catalysis, Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} appears to be an excellent catalyst support to investigate the reactions of CO\textsubscript{2} activation and water splitting, respectively. These results are important to chart the reactivity of this complex quaternary oxide, which may be extended to other complex oxides.

2. Computational methods

The chemical reactions at the surface of Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} were probed with Kohn–Sham DFT simulations\textsuperscript{48} and approximated by the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional.\textsuperscript{49} van der Waals (vdW) interactions were treated with the D3 method with a Becke–Johnson (BJ) damping term.\textsuperscript{50–52} The wavefunctions were expanded as plane waves and core electrons by the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method as in VASP.\textsuperscript{53–56} The PAW potentials and the recommended cutoff energies were: C 08 Apr 2002 400 eV, H 15 Jun 2001 250 eV, La 06 Sep 2000 219 eV, Li\textsubscript{sv} 23 Jan 2001 272 eV, O 08 Apr 2002 400 eV, and Zr\textsubscript{sv} 07 Sep 2000 230 eV. Slab optimization was performed in two steps: (i) a preliminary PBE and Γ-point optimization, and (ii) optimization with PBE + D3-BJ with a 2 × 2 × 1 k-mesh and a cutoff energy of 440 eV. The total energy was converged to 1 × 10\textsuperscript{−5} eV per cell and forces acting on atoms to within 0.01 eV Å\textsuperscript{−1}. We concluded with final single-point energy calculations at a higher cut-off of 520 eV and integrated over a 4 × 4 × 1 k-point grid.

As in this study we probe the adsorption and reactivity of molecules at the surface of Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12}, it is crucial to treat explicitly the effect of vdW forces. vdW interactions were determined by the D3 + BJ method.\textsuperscript{58–62} Using these settings, the lattice constants (a = 13.085 Å and c = 12.579 Å) of tetragonal [la\textsubscript{4}a\textsubscript{4}c\textsubscript{4}] Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} were used to rescale the [010] slab models from ref. 24. The [010] Li-terminated off-stoichiometric Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} model contains 248 atoms and has a surface energy of ~1.34 J m\textsuperscript{−2}. The dispersion corrections (D3-BJ) increase the stability of the bulk structure, resulting in an increased surface energy by ~0.50 J m\textsuperscript{−2} compared to ref. 24. A well-converged vacuum size of 15 Å was used to eliminate spurious slab–slab (and adsorbate–adsorbate) interactions along the direction orthogonal to the surface plane.

A bulk-like region of the slab where all atomic positions are fixed was introduced in the middle of the slab models. A 40% bulk-like region was determined from convergence tests on slab total energies (see Fig. S1 in the ESI†), at which the total energy is converged to ~7 meV with relatively modest computational costs. Note that this procedure is commonly applied in surface science and catalysis to reduce significantly the computing time of structure optimization of large slab models.\textsuperscript{67} Benchmark tests on Li\textsubscript{7}La\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{12} bulk lattice constants and surface energies were performed to compare the effects of DFT functionals, i.e., PBE, PBE + D3 without damping, and PBE + D3 with BJ damping (see Table S1 of the ESI†).

The coordination numbers and Mulliken charges were derived from the crystal orbital Hamilton populations (COHP) available in the Lobster code.\textsuperscript{68} For the COHP calculations, the energy range is set to −15 to 10 eV, and the same basis functions as those in the PAW potentials are used for projections. The adsorption energy (ΔE\textsubscript{ads}) was calculated using eqn (1):\textsuperscript{59}

$$
ΔE_{\text{ads}} = \frac{1}{2n}[E(\text{slab} \cdot 2n\text{H}_2\text{O}^{\text{ads}}) − E(\text{slab}) − 2nE(\text{H}_2\text{O}^\text{f})],
$$

where $n = 1$ is the number of adsorbed molecules on each side of the slab model. In eqn (1), we approximated the Gibbs energy of each term by the DFT total energy (i.e., $G = E$), thus neglecting the zero point energy, $pV$ and entropy contributions. $E(\text{slab} \cdot 2n\text{H}_2\text{O}^{\text{ads}})$ is the total energy for the slab adsorbed with $n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (on each surface side), $E(\text{slab})$ is the total energy for the clean-surface slab, and $E(\text{H}_2\text{O}^\text{f})$ is the total energy for $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the gas phase. From eqn (1), a more negative ΔE\textsubscript{ads} value indicates a more favorable interaction of the adsorbate with the surface.

The energy changes computed as a function of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ coverage are calculated in terms of the Landau grand-potential, $\Omega$ of eqn (2):

$$
Ω(x/5 \text{ ML}) = \frac{1}{2A}[G(\text{slab} \cdot 2x\text{H}_2\text{O}^{\text{ads}}) − (12 − 2x)μ^TR(\text{H}_2\text{O}^\text{f})],
$$

where $A$ is the surface area of the slab and $μ^R$ is the reference chemical potential of $\text{H}_2\text{O}^\text{f}$. Combined with eqn (1), the Landau grand-potential is determined from the Gibbs energy of the adsorbed phase

$$
G(\text{slab} \cdot 2x\text{H}_2\text{O}^{\text{ads}}) = ΔE_{\text{ads}} + \frac{1}{2A}[G(\text{slab} \cdot 2x\text{H}_2\text{O}^{\text{ads}}) − (12 − 2x)μ^TR(\text{H}_2\text{O}^\text{f})].
$$

We approximated the chemical potential of $\text{H}_2\text{O}^\text{f}$ as $μ^R = μ^R(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = \frac{1}{2A}[G(\text{slab} \cdot 2x\text{H}_2\text{O}^{\text{ads}}) − (12 − 2x)μ^TR(\text{H}_2\text{O}^\text{f})].$
\[
\Delta \Omega (x/5 \text{ ML}) = \Omega (x/5 \text{ ML}) - \Omega (0/5 \text{ ML}).
\]

3. Results

3.1. \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} (010) surface and selection of adsorption sites

Our analysis begins by selecting the energetically most favorable surface cut of \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} from ref. 24. The off-stoichiometry Li-terminated (010) surface and identical to the (100) and the (001) surfaces) displays the lowest surface energy (\(0.87 \pm 0.02 \text{ J m}^{-2}\)) and remains stable even at high temperatures (\(\sim 1000 \text{ K}\)). As described in the Computational methods (Section 2), the (010) surface from ref. 24 was rescaled and recalculated in this study with the incorporation of vdW interactions.

When studying the adsorption of molecules on surfaces, a non-negligible challenge is selecting chemically sound adsorption configurations from the sheer number of imaginable adsorption conformations. This is especially true in complex oxides, such as \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}, which contains three chemically distinct cations with varying local coordination environments of the oxygen atoms. We used pymatgen to ease the identification of unique adsorption sites and subsequently construct the initial structure of adsorbates bound to these sites. To prevent the well-known convergence problems of slab calculations in the presence of fictitious electrical dipoles from polar adsorbates (\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) here), we adsorbed molecules on both surface sides.

In order to clarify the mechanisms of \text{H}_2\text{O} and \text{CO}_2 reactions with \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}, it is first necessary to create appropriate adsorption models by understanding the characteristics of different active sites on this structurally heterogeneous surface. These characteristics include the proximity of active sites to the \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} surface, their local environment, their Mulliken charges, and their coordination numbers.

Fig. 1(a) and (b) show the side and top views of the off-stoichiometric Li-terminated (010) surface of \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}. By defining a range of search, along the non-periodic direction of the slab of \(\sim 0.9 \text{ Å}\) from the most exposed atom at the surface, we identified 10 on-top surface adsorption sites. These sites show different proximity to the surface; we denote each site according to its element followed by all species bonded to it. Thus, in Fig. 1 seven unique sites out of the 10 were identified:

- \text{Li} sites \text{Li}[20] and \text{Li}[30];
- \text{La} sites \text{La}[50] and \text{La}[60];
- \text{O} sites \text{O}[\text{LiLaZr}], \text{O}[\text{Li2La}], and \text{O}[\text{3Li2La}], respectively.

Nominally, positively charged ions, such as \text{Li}^+ and \text{La}^{3+}, at the \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} surface will attract negatively polarized parts of the adsorbing molecules and vice versa.

Fig. 1(c–e) show the computed Mulliken charges and coordination numbers for all seven types of adsorption sites. Mulliken analysis is an intuitive (but not unique) way of reproducing the electron charge density on each atom (and orbital) by projecting it onto individual orthonormalized atomic orbitals. The surface sites of each species show similar Mulliken charges, albeit distinct coordination numbers. As expected, \text{Li} and \text{La} metal sites show positive Mulliken charges.

From an adsorption point of view, these sites will behave as Lewis acids in favor of accepting electrons from the oxygen lone pair of \text{H}_2\text{O}. Indeed, the reactivity of these cations follows the scale of absolute hardness, \(\eta: \text{Li}^+ (\sim 35.1 \text{ eV}) > \text{La}^{3+} (\sim 15.4 \text{ eV}) > \text{Zr}^{4+} (\sim 5.68 \text{ eV})\). The surface sites of each species show similar Mulliken charges, albeit distinct coordination numbers. As expected, \text{Li} and \text{La} metal sites show positive Mulliken charges.

3.2. \text{H}_2\text{O} adsorption and hydroxide formation on \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}

Here, we provide the mechanistic insights into \text{H}_2\text{O} adsorption and reactions on the \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} (010) surface to form hydroxide species.

Initially, we investigated the adsorption of a single \text{H}_2\text{O} molecule on the Li-terminated \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} (010) surface. This low coverage analysis enables us to probe the surface characteristics of the surface. For every \text{Li} or \text{La} site, one \text{H}_2\text{O} was adsorbed on each side of the slab model, which eliminates any potential electrical dipoles caused by polar \text{H}_2\text{O}.

The adsorption energies (\(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}\)) of eqn (1) were computed for all five \text{Li} and \text{La} sites of Fig. 1.\(^{29}\)

Notably, the calculated \(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}\) indicates a higher tendency of a \text{H}_2\text{O} molecule to adsorb on \text{Li}^+ sites (\(\Delta E_{\text{ads}} = -1.21 \text{ eV}\)) compared to \text{La}^{3+} sites (\(-0.85 \text{ eV}\)), clearly following the scale of cation absolute hardness reported by Parr and Pearson, with \text{Li}^+ (\(-35.1 \text{ eV}\)) > \text{La}^{3+} (\(-15.4 \text{ eV}\)).
Fig. 2 shows the diagram of the computed adsorption energies and a magnification of the adsorption sites for the two most favorable adsorption cases, which always occur on exposed Li sites.

For the most favorable Li[3O] site (dark green line), H$_2$O dissociates accompanied by a significant $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ ($\sim$1.21 eV), and reacts with the Li site to form LiOH (see the lower inset of Fig. 2). Upon H$_2$O dissociation, a OH$^-$ group is formed between the proton released and an adjacent oxygen atom of the surface; the OH$^-$ group forms a hydrogen bond with the O of the LiOH formed on the Li[3O] site. In the 2nd most favorable Li[2O] site (see the upper inset of Fig. 2), the adsorbed H$_2$O remains intact, yielding still a non-negligible $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ of $\sim$1.14 eV, but comparatively less favorable than the Li[3O] site by $\sim$70 meV. Notably, we attempted the dissociation of H$_2$O on the Li[2O] site with a calculated $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ of $\sim$1.18 eV, indicating that the dissociation is thermodynamically favorable by $\sim$40 meV with respect to non-dissociative adsorption at these sites.

It is important to unearth the causes behind the significant magnitudes of $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$s and dissociation behaviors of H$_2$O on different metal sites. We observed that if the coordinates of the surface sub-layers and the inner region of the surface (making $\sim$80% of the slab) are held frozen to those of the bulk slab, the adsorbed H$_2$O will not dissociate on any site, regardless of its chemical activities. Clearly, with such a constraint in place, the adsorption energy does not benefit from the reorganization of the surface.
the surface atoms, which promotes the dissociative adsorption of H$_2$O. Thus, the adsorption energy follows the trend of the site exposure to the surface, with the top (bottom) site showing the most negative adsorption energy. In contrast, by constraining only 40% of the slab model, as in the rest of this study, the adsorbed H$_2$O on specific sites readily dissociates during the geometry optimization. Note that such preference for dissociation caused by the rearrangement of atoms in the surface sub-layers is rarely seen in metals.

Unsurprisingly, H$_2$O dissociation lowers the adsorption energy. With a stabilizing contribution arising from H$_2$O dissociation, the adsorption energy no longer follows the trend of sites proximity to the surface. From top to sub-layer sites in the surface, the adsorption energies are: $-1.14$ eV (Li[2O]), $-1.21$ eV (Li[3O]), $-0.85$ eV (La[5O]), $-0.80$ eV (La[6O]), and $-0.65$ eV (Li[3O]). Furthermore, our results clearly indicate that the dissociation behavior depends on the local environment of the adsorption site. If a specific site displays oxygen atoms in the vicinity of the adsorption site, protons from adsorbed H$_2$O readily dissociate to bond with the surface oxygen species. The dissociative adsorption of H$_2$O is well understood in previous studies of metal oxides including rutile-TiO$_2$, anatase-TiO$_2$, and rutile-RuO$_2$. In general, we find that adsorbed H$_2$O tends to dissociate when there are oxygen atoms within $\sim1.9$ Å of the cation site (Table S2†), unless there are metal ions that can better stabilize H$_2$O adsorption via bonding with O in H$_2$O (see the inset for Li[2O] in Fig. 2). Therefore, the values of $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ in Li-La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ are clearly affected by (i) the site proximity to the surface and (ii) H$_2$O dissociation driven by the local environment.

We are also interested in the bonding character of H$_2$O adsorption (or dissociation) on the Li-La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface since H$_2$O, a closed shell species, has significantly favorable $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$. First, we determined the dispersion contribution to the adsorption energy by comparing our predictions with (PBE-D3) GGA PBE. The results show that there is a consistent dispersion contribution of only $\sim15$–$20\%$ to the total DFT energies. This means that dispersion interactions are not a major source of bonding and indicates a more dominant nature of chemisorption-driven reactions instead of physisorption.

Next, we compared the changes of the Mulliken charges of all Li and La sites and O of H$_2$O before and after H$_2$O adsorption (Fig. S3(a and b) in the ESI†). Unlike on reducible oxides (with open-shell d or f transition metals), there is no charge transfer between H$_2$O and the surface sites, which indicates the electrostatic nature of the interaction. These insights agree with previous findings that intrinsic surface electrostatics in an insulating ‘ionic’ system play a critical role in stabilizing the dangling lone pair of H$_2$O.

We now investigate the adsorption of multiple H$_2$O molecules to simulate reactions at high water coverage on the Li$\gamma$ La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface. All five Li and La sites were first ordered according to their individual H$_2$O adsorption energy, from more negative to more positive. In particular, the order of adsorption energies is Li[3O] ($-1.21$ eV) < Li[2O] ($-1.14$ eV) < La[5O] ($-0.85$ eV) < La[6O] ($-0.80$ eV) < Li[3O] ($-0.65$ eV). Following this order of stability, we adsorbed H$_2$O on available metal sites achieving a complete H$_2$O monolayer (ML). For each additional molecule, the structure was relaxed before the next H$_2$O was adsorbed. After all five sites were adsorbed, we identified an additional Li site, which emerged from the surface re-organization upon increasing water coverage. Eventually, six H$_2$O molecules were adsorbed on each side of the slab (12 in total).

Table 1 reports the $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ for H$_2$O on the (010) Li-La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface as the exposed metal sites are progressively saturated. We denote the H$_2$O coverage as the fraction of one monolayer (x/5 ML). $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ for the same adsorption but with all H$_2$O forced to dissociate is reported in Table S3.†

Table 1 shows that the addition of subsequent H$_2$O molecules to the Li-La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ (010) surface from 1/5 ML to 6/5 ML gradually increases $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ to more positive values. This progressive increase is mainly caused by the more positive adsorption energy of each site, from $-1.21$ to $-0.65$ eV, dependent on their proximity to the surface and whether H$_2$O spontaneously dissociates. This increase is also likely due to additional H$_2$O–H$_2$O interactions as a result of crowding the Li-La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface, and the progressively neutralized and less reactive surface. Simultaneously, specific adsorption arrangements favor hydrogen bonding with the surface.

Fig. 3(a) shows the energy diagram for all adsorption steps towards high H$_2$O coverage beyond one monolayer. $\Delta \Omega$ was calculated using eqn (2)–(4) for increasing H$_2$O coverage at a partial pressure of 1 bar and at 300 K. A negative $\Delta \Omega$ means that H$_2$O adsorbs favorably on the Li-La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface. As more H$_2$O molecules adsorb, $\Delta \Omega$ continues to become more negative, indicating that increasing coverage is favorable up to 6/5 ML. This trend also suggests that H$_2$O can probably adsorb beyond 6/5 ML. We also found a decreasing trend for the absolute difference of $\Delta \Omega$ between consecutive H$_2$O adsorptions. For example, the absolute difference between $\Delta \Omega$ of 6/5 ML and 5/5 ML is 8.7 meV Å$^{-2}$, less than 10.1 meV Å$^{-2}$ between 1/5 ML and 0/5 ML. This decreasing difference is primarily attributed to the adsorption energy trends of individual sites, as less favored adsorption sites become occupied at higher coverages.

As we only consider adsorption sites within $\sim0.9$ Å from the surface top (bottom), more adsorption sites may be available. Electrostatic repulsion between adsorbates should have only
secondary effects on the increasingly more positive values of $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$. Furthermore, a progressive addition of H$_2$O molecules contributes to gradually neutralizing the highly reactive Li$^+$ sites. Indeed, at a very high water coverage, beyond 6/5 ML, newly adsorbed H$_2$O molecules may not dissociate, even if dissociation is favored in a single H$_2$O adsorption on the same site.

For coverage up to 6/5 ML, half of the adsorbed H$_2$O molecules dissociate and react with the surface to form LiOH (see Table 1). The same happens when all adsorbed H$_2$O molecules are forced to dissociate before relaxation (see Table S3†). This “half-dissociation” trend was also observed for simple metal oxides like RuO$_2$,$^{72,73}$ but a different water dissociation fraction of 75% was observed for the (101) surface in anatase-TiO$_2$. Note that the H$_2$O dissociation is spontaneous during geometry optimization, and thus it is not necessary to calculate its kinetic barriers explicitly (expected to be barrier-free). In terms of hydrogen bonding for adsorbates, the dissociated proton always forms hydrogen bonds with the oxygen atom in the original H$_2$O molecule. All H$_2$O adsorbates that remain intact form hydrogen bonds with adjacent surface O atoms.

Our analysis of the H$_2$O reactivity with the Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface extends to conditions of technological relevance of this material. This analysis is relevant for the optimization of synthesis and heat treatment conditions in Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ and common oxides. Fig. 3(b–d) show $\Delta \Omega$ as a function of temperature at atmospheric (1 bar), millibar (10$^{-3}$ bar), and ultra-high vacuum (10$^{-6}$ bar) partial pressures, respectively. The temperature range explored in Fig. 3(b–d) spans those of typical synthesis and sintering temperature (~1000 °C) of Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$.

Fig. 3 Change of grand-potential energy $\Delta \Omega$ relative to the clean Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface and gas-phase H$_2$O at variable temperatures and pressures of technological relevance. In panel (a), the H$_2$O coverage is denoted by the fraction of a monolayer (ML) of H$_2$O on the surface. Full coverage is achieved at 6/5 ML when all the five original sites plus an additional site are adsorbed. Increasing coverage is marked by divergent colors from red to blue. $\Delta \Omega$ as a function of temperature for different H$_2$O coverages and partial pressures of 1 (b, atmospheric regime), 1 × 10$^{-3}$ (c, mbar regime), and 1 × 10$^{-6}$ bar (d, ultra-high vacuum regime). Double arrows show the most favorable coverage within temperature ranges. Atomic species not directly involved in the adsorption are greyed out.
Under atmospheric conditions (Fig. 3(b)), $\Delta \Omega$ at different coverage is plotted in the same color scheme as in Fig. 3(a). A positive $\Delta \Omega$ indicates that adsorbed H$_2$O is favourable for desorption. We mark the most favorable coverage in different temperature ranges using double headed arrows. In general, as the temperature increases, the H$_2$O coverage of the surfaces decreases until the surface of Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ is water free. This situation is achieved for temperatures above $\sim$1260 K (Fig. 3(b)). At lower temperatures (up to $\sim$1030 K), the most favorable coverage is 6/5 ML Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$, whereas the 3/5 ML coverage is the most favorable condition in the temperature range 1030–1260 K. Considering even higher coverage, one would expect steeper lines than for 6/5, resulting in a water-rich surface of Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$. However, such conditions cannot change the scenario for higher temperature ranges ($\geq$1260 K), exhibiting a water-free Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface.

Under millibar (Fig. 3(c)) and ultra-high vacuum (Fig. 3(d)) H$_2$O partial pressures, one can find the same general trends for coverage vs. temperature as under atmospheric pressure (Fig. 3(a)). However, the temperature range for a water-free clean surface of Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ increases as the H$_2$O partial pressure decreases. For example, in situations of ultra-high vacuum (Fig. 3(d)), we predict an onset temperature of $\sim$650 K, at which the Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface will be water-free. These findings indicate that low partial pressures are beneficial to minimize surface contamination by H$_2$O and LiOH.

### 3.3. CO$_2$ adsorption and carbonate formation on Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$

We now focus on CO$_2$ adsorption on Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ and its subsequent transformation into carbonate CO$_3^{2-}$ species. We probed CO$_2$ adsorption on five oxygen sites of the Li-terminated Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface, as shown in Fig. 1. The adsorption is achieved by placing the carbon atom of CO$_2$ close ($\sim$1.17 Å) to the O site, to induce the formation of a C-O bond. The most favorable site is O[LiLaZr], and its $\Delta E_{ads}$ is strikingly high $\sim$2.21 eV – a clear indication of CO$_2$ chemisorption. The other sites show comparable ($\sim$1.65 to $\sim$1.31 eV) but more positive adsorption energies. The least favorable site is O[3Li2La], still with a sizeable $\Delta E_{ads}$ of $\sim$1.31 eV.

Regardless of the binding oxygen site, the adsorbed CO$_2$ species reorganize into carbonate CO$_3^{2-}$ species after structural optimization. In detail, two oxygen atoms in CO$_2$ form bonds with metal ions available in the vicinity, with the carbonate species organizing in a polydentate conformation (Fig. S1). For all carbonates formed on the five sites, there are 13 bonds formed between surface metal ions and O of CO$_2$, including eight Li-O bonds and five La-O bonds. The higher number of Li-O bonds shows a clear preference for Li$^+$ over La$^{3+}$ ions. In particular, the surface carbonates show an average C-O bond length of $\sim$1.31 Å, comparable to $\sim$1.28 Å in bulk Li$_2$CO$_3$.

The adsorption of CO$_2$ consists of two types of bonds: one between the carbon atom of CO$_2$ and a surface oxygen site, and the other between the oxygen atoms of CO$_2$ and metal ions of the surface. We observe that the incipient bond between O and metal ions on Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ has major contributions to the values of $\Delta E_{ads}$. On different adsorption sites, the strength for the O and metal ion bonding depends on which metal ions are proximal to the site.

We also determined the bonding nature for CO$_2$ chemisorbed on Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$. Indeed, the dispersion contributions are calculated to be only $\sim$15–20%, similar to the cases of H$_2$O adsorption discussed earlier. Charge transfer is then analyzed through the changes of Mulliken charges upon adsorption. Fig. S3† shows that the average changes are $\sim$0.30 for the surface O sites and $\sim$0.26 for C in CO$_2$. Besides, the average change upon adsorption is $\sim$0.22 for O in CO$_2$, $\sim$0.01 for surface Li ions bonded to O, and $\sim$0.12 for La ions. These changes are slightly larger than those for H$_2$O adsorption ($\sim$0.05 for Li sites, $\sim$0.07 for La sites, and $\sim$0.03 for O in H$_2$O, see Fig. S3 in the ESI†). Taken together, this analysis confirms that the structural heterogeneity of surface sites on Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ (010) enables strong adsorption of H$_2$O and CO$_2$, which are otherwise known to physisorb on metals and ionic oxides. While such robust adsorption events are detrimental for the application of Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ in energy storage, they indicate that Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ is a potentially interesting catalyst support for H$_2$O activation and adsorbing CO$_2$ from dilute streams.

We then studied CO$_2$ adsorption as a function of CO$_2$ coverage. CO$_2$ was sequentially adsorbed on all five O sites available on the Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface. The sequential adsorption follows the order of their individual adsorption energies, from more negative to more positive (O[LiLaZr] (1.22 eV) < O[LiLaZr] (1.65 eV) < O[LiLaZr] (1.58 eV) < O[LiLaZr] (1.34 eV) < O[3Li2La] (1.31 eV)). In the process to adsorb the last CO$_2$ onto the Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface, the O[3Li2La] site was found unfavorable, as adsorbed CO$_2$ on this site was too close ($\sim$1.20 Å) to La$^{3+}$ on the reconstructed surface. Eventually, there are only four out of five oxygen sites available for CO$_2$ adsorption, which set the highest CO$_2$ coverage to 4/5 ML. Besides the original five sites, less exposed O surface sites could increase the CO$_2$ coverage beyond 4/5 ML.

Table 2 shows the computed $\Delta E_{ads}$ for increasing the CO$_2$ loading up to the condition of 4/5 ML.

Fig. 4(a) shows the structure schematics and $\Delta \Omega$ diagram for each adsorption step toward the 4/5 ML coverage. $\Delta \Omega$ is defined as in eqn (3) and (4), and here it is calculated at 300 K and a CO$_2$ partial pressure of 1 bar.

In regimes of high-coverage, multiple CO$_2$ molecules interact with the surface in the same way as singly adsorbed CO$_2$ molecules, transforming into CO$_3^{2-}$ units. At the highest calculated coverage of 4/5 ML, all adsorbed CO$_2$ molecules react with the surface and form carbonates. As the coverage increases, $\Delta \Omega$ continuously decreases, indicating that the reaction between the surface and an increasing amount of CO$_2$ (up to 4/5 ML) is thermodynamically favorable. However, from Fig. 4, one observes that the absolute difference of $\Delta \Omega$ between consecutive steps decreases progressively, similar to the trends of Fig. 3(a). Fig. 4 and Table 2 show that each oxygen species at the Li-La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ surface becomes increasingly less reactive as the CO$_2$ coverage increases, which contributes to a progressive decrease of $\Delta E_{ads}$ and $\Delta \Omega$. 
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Fig. 4(b–d) show ΔΩ at technologically relevant temperature and CO₂ partial pressures. As the temperature increases, the adsorption and reaction of CO₂ become less favorable, and the preferred coverage decreases from 4/5 ML to the conditions of CO₂-free surfaces. Similarly to the H₂O case, at low temperature even higher CO₂ coverage than 4/5 ML may become accessible. However, this does not change significantly the situation of low CO₂-free surfaces at high temperature, as shown in Fig. 4(b–d). As the CO₂ partial pressure decreases from atmospheric to ultra-high vacuum, the formation of carbonates species at the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface appears less favorable, with the stability ranges of temperature expanding accordingly. This indicates that low CO₂ partial pressures are beneficial to minimize the carbonate contamination of the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface.

3.4. CO₂ and H₂O co-adsorption on Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂

Having previously demonstrated the pronounced reactivity of the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface with H₂O and CO₂, we verify the

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ML</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>ΔE_{ads} (eV)</th>
<th>δΔE_{ads} (eV)</th>
<th>CO₃²⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>O[LiLaZr]</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>O[Li₂La]</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>+0.36</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>O[LiLaZr]</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>+0.10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>O[LiLaZr]</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>+0.13</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4(a–d) show ΔΩ at technologically relevant temperature and CO₂ partial pressures. As the temperature increases, the adsorption and reaction of CO₂ become less favorable, and the preferred coverage decreases from 4/5 ML to the conditions of CO₂-free surfaces. Similarly to the H₂O case, at low temperature even higher CO₂ coverage than 4/5 ML may become accessible. However, this does not change significantly the situation of low CO₂-free surfaces at high temperature, as shown in Fig. 4(b–d). As the CO₂ partial pressure decreases from atmospheric to ultra-high vacuum, the formation of carbonates species at the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface appears less favorable, with the stability ranges of temperature expanding accordingly. This indicates that low CO₂ partial pressures are beneficial to minimize the carbonate contamination of the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface.
possibility of H₂O and CO₂ reacting concurrently with the off-stoichiometric Li-terminated [010] cut.

We investigate the co-adsorption of H₂O and CO₂ to mimic the hydroxide-mediated carbonation proposed in ref. 19, but here mediated explicitly by the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface. We adsorbed one H₂O molecule on the most favorable site Li[3O] and then found the most favorable site to adsorb one CO₂ molecule on the same slab, see the inset in Fig. 5(a). In the co-adsorption model, the most favorable CO₂ site is O[LiLaZr] as for the case of individual CO₂ adsorption (see Section 3.3).

Next, we take the individual adsorption cases of H₂O and CO₂ to represent the independent adsorption reactions (Fig. 5[b]). This situation corresponds to the infinitely separated adsorption of H₂O and CO₂ molecules, with the two molecules not interacting with each other. Fig. 5(a) shows the ΔE_ads of the co-adsorption of H₂O and CO₂, while Fig. 5(b) shows the ΔE_ads for infinitely separated H₂O and CO₂ molecules.

The composed ΔE_ads of the individual adsorption energies of H₂O (ΔE_ads ~ -1.21 eV) and CO₂ (~-2.21 eV) is ~-3.42 eV, which is more negative than the energy of co-adsorption, i.e., ~-3.25 eV. In the co-adsorption model of Fig. 5(a), the adsorbed H₂O does not easily deprotonate, as opposed to the incipient H₂O dissociation (at the same site) when adsorbed individually as in Fig. 5(b). This evidence clearly indicates that individual reactions of H₂O and CO₂ with Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ compete favorably against the concurrent co-adsorption of H₂O and CO₂.

4. Discussion

Using slab calculations in the framework of ab initio thermodynamics, we have explored the reactivity of the complex oxide Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ with two ubiquitous molecules: H₂O and CO₂. It is noted that all the adsorption processes investigated are barrier-free, which indicates the high reaction rates of H₂O and CO₂ with Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂. A quantitative evaluation of the reaction rates requiring microkinetic models is beyond the scope of this study.

The exposure of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ to both H₂O and CO₂ has been documented by preliminary computational and experimental studies.¹⁹,¹⁹,¹⁹,¹⁹

Using X-ray photo-emission spectroscopy (XPS) depth-profiling, Sharafi et al. showed the formation of Li₂CO₃ layers as thick as ~5–10 nm on the exterior of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ particles.¹⁹ The authors proposed several chemical reactions (eqn 5–8) between Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂, CO₂ and H₂O, whose energetics (ΔGs) were verified by first-principles calculations.¹⁹ Nevertheless, the proposed reaction mechanisms of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ carbonation are still elusive requiring in-depth investigations, which justifies this endeavour.

8Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ + CO₂(g) ΔG = -0.71 eV/CO₂ → 8Li₇-1/4La₃Zr₂O₁₂−1 + Li₂CO₃(s) (5)

8Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ + H₂O(g) ΔG = -0.34 eV/H₂O → 8Li₇-1/8H₁/₈La₃Zr₂O₁₂ + LiOH(s) (6)

8Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ + H₂O(g) ΔG = -0.85 eV/H₂O → 8Li₇-1/4La₃Zr₂O₁₂−2 + 2LiOH(s) (7)

LiOH(s) + 1/2CO₂(g) ΔG = -0.70 eV/CO₂ → 1/2Li₂CO₃(s) + H₂O(g) (8)

The ΔGs in eqn (5)–(8) are from ref. 19. In eqn (5), Li₂CO₃ is directly formed via carbonation of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂, but with a predicted positive ΔG.¹⁹ Compared to the unfavorable direct carbonation of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ (eqn (5)),¹⁹ the hydroxide-mediated carbonation was interpreted as two favorable reactions: (i) the protonation of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ (eqn (6)) with H⁺ exchanging with Li⁺, followed by (ii) the conversion of LiOH and CO₂ into Li₂CO₃ as in eqn (8). Although with unfavorable ΔG (~-0.85 eV/H₂O), another mechanism proposed was the direct hydration of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ of eqn (7), which is followed by carbonation (of eqn (8)). Therefore, carbonate contamination on Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ was proposed to form via the hydroxide-mediated pathway (eqn (6) and (8)) rather than direct carbonation (eqn (5)).¹⁹

In Section 3.2 and Table 1, we have amply demonstrated the favorable adsorption of H₂O on the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface, with
spontaneous H₂O dissociation on exposed Li_{surface} sites as Li_{surface}–O–H, thus forming LiOH species. Our calculations clearly suggest that the formation of LiOH in Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ is mediated by its reactive surface, which “catalyzes” the nucleation process of lithium hydroxide moieties. Indeed, XPS of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ particles has detected the signatures of OH⁻ groups and LiOH even at relatively high temperatures (400–500 °C).¹²

Another pathway for LiOH formation proceeds through the protonation of Li–O moieties exposed at the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface, which we also predicted as a spontaneous process. The protonation of other M–O (with M = La or Zr) moieties at the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface may also form other adventitious species, whose signatures have not been reported yet. However, the available free protons at the surface (resulting from readily dissociated H₂O molecules) can then be exchanged with Li⁺ in Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ as previously reported by thermo-gravimetric analysis mass-spectroscopy, neutron investigations, and focused ion beam secondary ion mass spectrometry.¹⁴,²⁷,²⁸ Alternatively, proton species formed on the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface may intercalate directly into vacant Li⁺ sites of the bulk structure. Note that the high Li-ion transport in Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ facilitates Li⁺/H⁺ exchange.

CO₃²⁻ contamination of the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ particle exteriors has been previously documented through Raman and XPS measurements.¹⁹,²¹ Our calculations demonstrated spontaneous CO₂ adsorption and carbonate formation with the exposed oxygen atoms, i.e., O_{surface}–Li_{surface} or O_{surface}–La_{surface} (see Section 3.3 and Table 2) at the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface. This is in striking contrast to the unfavorable reaction of direct carbonate proposed in eqn (5).²¹ Importantly, we show that the formation of Li₂CO₃ does not require the availability of LiOH for CO₂, but it is directly catalyzed by the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ surface. Our surface calculations show that carbones are not limited to Li₂CO₃ species, instead incipient CO₂⁻ species may be bonded to other metal ions exposed at the surface of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂, including Li and La. Therefore, the direct carbonation of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ is thermodynamically favorable and barrier-free. Notably, the co-adsorption of H₂O and CO₂ appears less favorable than their individual adsorptions.

We have demonstrated the thermodynamically favorable processes of H₂O and CO₂ decomposition on the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ particles. We use this knowledge to prescribe external conditions (temperature and pressure), which curb the LiOH and Li₂CO₃ contamination of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ during its synthesis. Fig. 6 shows the computed surface phase diagrams of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ as functions of temperature and partial pressures of H₂O and CO₂. The derivation of the surface phase diagrams requires the minimization of the grand-potential energy of eqn (2) as the surface coverage, temperature, and pressure are varied.²⁴

From Fig. 6, one clearly sees that the scenarios of high-H₂O or CO₂ coverages are achieved at relatively high partial pressures (~2–10 bar) and low temperatures (<1000 K). Our predictions suggest that at atmospheric pressure (~1 bar) and at temperature above ~1260 K, Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ particles will be free of water, protons and LiOH species. The carbonation of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ appears more pernicious, which clearly requires higher temperatures above ~1730 K for its complete elimination at atmospheric pressure (see Fig. 6(b)). These findings are representative of the real Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ powder or the surface of sheets (Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ composites), as the surface investigated dominates the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ Wulff shapes.²⁴,²⁷

The synthesis of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ is commonly carried out via the solid-state method, by calcination in “dry” air at temperatures ~1000 °C (~1273 K).¹⁹,²¹,²³ The procedures of densification of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ via a hot press (or spark plasma) are regularly utilized to improve the contact between particles and increase the electrolyte ionic conductivity. The surface phase diagrams in Fig. 6 clearly show that both synthesis and sintering of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ should avoid air exposure. Notably, Fig. 6 shows that post-synthesis heat treatments (above 1000 °C) are beneficial to desorb H₂O and eliminate other contaminants. Indeed, typical sintering temperatures for Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ are in the range of 1000–1300 °C (~1300–1600 K).¹⁹,²³ Higher temperatures than 1300 °C may lead to significant Li (and proton) loss.²³ However, such elevated temperatures may still prevent the full removal of Li₂CO₃ at the surface. Li loss during the Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ preparation is typically mitigated by adding LiOH and Li₂CO₃. Furthermore, we speculate that the addition of LiOH and Li₂CO₃ will not be disruptive to the preparation of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂, and these two compounds may also limit their formation when Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ is exposed to humid air.

Fig. 6 Surface phase diagrams of Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ at different temperatures and partial pressures of (a) H₂O and (b) CO₂, respectively. Fractions of the monolayer (ML) and solid color denote the most favorable coverage scenarios within each range of temperature (in K) and partial pressure (in bar). The dashed line marks the CO₂ level of 400 ppm at a partial pressure of 1 bar. This line is used to indicate the thermodynamic favorability of adsorbing CO₂ from dilute streams, which is of relevance in CO₂ utilization.
Although the effect of partial pressure of H2O and CO2 appears less significant than that of temperature with respect to Li7La3Zr2O12 contamination, synthesis under ultrahigh vacuum conditions (~1 x 10^-8 bar) and at ~800 K guarantees a water-free Li7La3Zr2O12 surface, which is sparsely contaminated by Li2CO3 species. Clearly, these conditions appear unpractical, but glove-box synthesis at pressures within mbar ranges (see Fig. 3 and 4) may be more accessible and deserve further investigations.

Fig. 6 indicates external conditions, which favor (010) Li7La3Zr2O12 surfaces decorated with dissociated H2O and carbonate species. While these surfaces may not be desired for energy storage applications, the strong adsorption of CO2 and H2O suggests that the off-stoichiometric (010) surface of Li7La3Zr2O12 can serve as a support in catalysis. Using two examples of the water gas shift reaction and CO2 utilisation, we elaborate further below. The water-gas shift reaction is typically catalyzed by noble metal nanoparticles stabilized by oxide supports. Experimental and theoretical studies have indicated that the oxide supports facilitate H2O dissociation,44,45 a critical elementary step in this reaction. The favorable adsorption of H2O and its spontaneous dissociation thus make Li7La3Zr2O12 a promising support for the water-gas shift reaction. Indeed, there is a concerted effort to design catalytic systems, which operate under dilute streams of CO2. Fig. 6 illustrates that at 500–600 K, 4/5 ML of CO2 is adsorbed on Li7La3Zr2O12 even at CO2 pressures as low as 400 ppm. Hence, the strong adsorption strength of CO2 on Li7La3Zr2O12 makes this material a promising support for dual-functional catalysts, which adsorb CO2 from dilute streams and further hydrogenate it to chemicals, such as methanol and ethylene over metal nanoparticles dispersed on Li7La3Zr2O12.

5. Conclusions

In summary, using a robust ab initio thermodynamic framework, we investigated the complex surface reactivity of Li7La3Zr2O12 toward ubiquitous H2O and CO2. The Li-terminated off-stoichiometric surface of Li7La3Zr2O12 readily reacts with H2O and CO2, which promotes the direct formation of metal hydroxide and carbonate species. We demonstrated that synthesis within a mbar pressure range can lead to a water-free Li7La3Zr2O12 surface and reduce drastically the amount of carbonates formed. Our in-depth analysis provides strategies and opportunities to improve the synthesis conditions of Li7La3Zr2O12 and similar complex oxides with high technological relevance to batteries and catalysis. The strong adsorption of CO2 under conditions of low partial pressure and H2O indicates the potential of Li7La3Zr2O12 as a suitable catalyst support for the hydrogenation of dilute CO2 streams and the water-gas shift reaction respectively. These findings are general and provide rules of thumb to analyze the reactivity of complex oxides toward two common molecules, i.e., H2O and CO2.
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