pubs.acs.org/JACS

Exclusive Recognition of CO₂ from Hydrocarbons by Aluminum Formate with Hydrogen-Confined Pore Cavities

Zhaoqiang Zhang,[⊥] Zeyu Deng,[⊥] Hayden A. Evans, Dinesh Mullangi, Chengjun Kang, Shing Bo Peh, Yuxiang Wang, Craig M. Brown, John Wang, Pieremanuele Canepa,* Anthony K. Cheetham,* and Dan Zhao*



CO₂ via a hydrogen bonding mechanism, with all hydrocarbons rejected. This molecular recognition mechanism is unveiled by in situ Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction studies, and molecular simulations.

INTRODUCTION

Selective isolation of CO₂ from hydrocarbons is an essential industrial purification process, given the importance of hydrocarbons as raw materials for the chemical and material industries.¹⁻⁴ For example, CO₂ removal is crucial for both natural gas upgrading and the purification of hydrocarbon feedstocks prior to polymerization or chemical derivatization.^{4–8} However, conventional techniques predominantly rely on absorption, extraction, and cryogenic distillation, which suffer from drawbacks such as inefficiency, high cost, and energy penalties.^{2,3,9} These problems are particularly severe when separating CO_2 from acetylene (C_2H_2) as the molecules have almost identical molecular sizes and similar physicochemical properties (Figure S1).^{4,10–16} Comparatively, adsorptive separation has shown great promise to supplement the traditional separation methods owing to its improved energy efficiency.^{1,17-19} Extensive industrial experience has been accumulated in isolating light (less adsorbed) products. To utilize this experience and account for the presence of CO₂ as an unwanted impurity in typical feed gases (3-50%)concentration), CO₂-selective sorbents are considered to be more advantageous.^{4,5,11,14,16,20-25} A major bottleneck in developing sorbents for CO₂ capture from hydrocarbons is to construct the materials with the capability of preferentially trapping CO₂ over C₂H₂. A few reports have suggested that the direct production of pure C₂H₂ via a one-step sorption

procedure using CO₂-selective sorbents may garner ca. 40% energy saving compared with the process using C_2H_2 -selective sorbents.^{20–23,25–27} Regardless, ubiquitous mechanisms of CO₂ capture, such as those leveraging on the recognition of carbon atoms with acidic chemical functions and/or π -bonds, bind C_2H_2 similarly to, if not more strongly than, CO_{21} rendering them ineffectual for this separation (Scheme 1).¹⁸ Consequently, exploiting improved operational simplicity and energy efficiency under CO2-sequestering process configurations must leverage alternative recognition methods, which are urgently needed.

Pressure (bar)

Ultramicroporous metal-organic frameworks (MOFs, pore size ≤ 7.0 Å), self-assembled by linking molecular units with well-defined shapes into periodic frameworks, are a sub-class of hybrid porous materials that distinguish themselves as promising candidates to address challenges of gas separations, especially light hydrocarbon separations.^{1,17,28–33} Compared with nanoporous sorbents, the reduced pore dimensions and increased multiplicity of molecularly discriminating host-guest

Received: February 15, 2023



appropriate dimensional size provide an ideal pore chemistry to specifically match

Scheme 1. Proposed Strategies for the Construction of C_2H_2 - and CO_2 -Selective Porous Materials



Figure 1. (a) Schematic illustration of the pore structure of ALF with two kinds of pore cavities, LC (pale blue balls) and SC (light purple balls), with pore windows confined by hydrogen atoms. (b) Computed heats of adsorption (E_{adsorb} , kJ/mol per molecule) of CO₂ and C₂H₂ in ALF with density functional theory: C₂H₂ and CO₂ adsorbed into LC and SC at three different orientations (CO₂ is used as a guide to show different orientations).

interactions can markedly enhance the gas separation performance. Among them, the inverse CO_2/C_2H_2 separation was rarely investigated due to limited knowledge of the relationships between pore chemistry and CO₂ preferential sorption behavior over C₂H₂. Recently, inverse CO₂/C₂H₂ adsorption was realized via the strategy to target the C atom in CO₂, but the capacity and selectivity were still moderate. For example, the hybrid ultramicroporous material, SIFSIX-3-Ni, is a prototypical example of inverse-selective material for CO₂/ C_2H_2 separation.⁴ The electronegative F atoms on the pore walls possess high CO₂ affinity via F…C interactions. In contrast, due to the capability of these sites to interact with H atoms of C_2H_2 , the CO_2/C_2H_2 selectivity remained only moderate.⁴ Although the related approaches leveraging electron transfer effects have afforded viable pore chemistry for inverse CO_2/C_2H_2 separation, they are yet to attain attractive CO₂ selectivity or uptake performance.²¹ Furthermore, chemisorptive separation was also revealed to show the potential for selective trapping of CO₂ from C₂H₂, but the energy input for the adsorbent regeneration is still higher than that of physical adsorptive separation.²⁰ Considering the tendency for cross-species interaction (simultaneously interacting with C of CO_2 and H of C_2H_2) in CO_2 -selective sorbents targeting the C atom during CO_2/C_2H_2 separation, we were motivated to search for alternative mechanisms accessible to ultramicroporous frameworks as a basis for CO_2 capacity and CO_2/C_2H_2 selectivity enhancements. We envisaged that incorporating specific electropositive recognition sites for the electronegative O atoms of CO_2 would exert opposite affinity for the acidic H atoms of C_2H_2 and other hydrocarbons, thus realizing favorable CO_2 capture performance.

Recently, we have shown that the robust ultramicroporous material, aluminum formate (Al(HCOO)₃ or ALF), exhibits a strong affinity for CO₂ capture from dried flue gases containing a mixture of CO₂ and N₂.³⁴ ALF, which adopts a ReO₃-type structure,³⁵ contains two types of cavities (Figure 1a). Threequarters of the ALF cavities are smaller cavities (indicated as SC), in which the C–H groups from the bridging formate ligands are perfectly arranged to provide an ideal, hydrogenbonded docking site for CO₂ (Figures 1a and S2). The handin-glove arrangement is so perfect that CO₂ acts as a template for forming the structure of the parent as-made compound, ALF·3/4CO₂·1/4(HCOOH)·1/4H₂O.³⁶ A quarter of the



Figure 2. (a) CO_2 and hydrocarbon sorption isotherms on ALF at 298 K. (b) Predicted mixture adsorption isotherms and selectivity of ALF based on IAST for a 50/50 CO_2/C_2H_2 mixture at 298 K. (c) Comparison of IAST CO_2/C_2H_2 (50/50) selectivity and CO_2 uptake for state-of-the-art CO_2 -selective materials. (d) Comparison of CO_2/C_2H_2 uptake ratios at 298 K and 1 bar between ALF and other CO_2 -selective materials. (e) Predicted mixture adsorption isotherms and selectivity of ALF based on IAST for a 50/50 CO_2/CH_4 mixture at 298 K. (f) Comparison of IAST CO_2/CH_4 (50/50) selectivity and CO_2/CH_4 uptake ratios of selected porous materials at 298 K and 1 bar.

cavities in ALF are larger cavities (LC), and there are no formate C-H groups for such hydrogen bonding, but the pore window is restricted by two H atoms. Thus, both kinds of pore cavities in ALF are confined by H atoms.

In light of the above observations, we explored whether the unique hydrogen-confined pore cavities of ALF would make it a suitable candidate for the separation of CO₂-containing hydrocarbon mixtures, especially for CO_2/C_2H_2 and CO_2/C_2H_2 CH₄ mixtures. We show that ALF achieves an exclusive CO₂ capture behavior with high capacity from hydrocarbons. Notably, ALF shows the ultrahigh CO_2/C_2H_2 separation selectivity of 6.5×10^5 , which sets a new benchmark for inverse CO_2/C_2H_2 separation. We also present data for separating CO_2 from several C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 hydrocarbons. The dynamic breakthrough experiments confirmed the performance of ALF for highly efficient CO₂ capture from hydrocarbons and inverse separation of CO_2/C_2H_2 mixtures. The pore environments investigated by molecular simulations show that the electrostatic potential of the pore surface is complementary to CO_{2} with CO₂ captured via H…O and C…O interactions. The ease of ALF synthesis using inexpensive and widely available precursors $(Al(OH)_3)$ and formic acid) is another advantage that bodes well for future scale-up development.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Characterization of Aluminum Formate. The as-made ALF was synthesized according to a previously reported procedure by the reaction of $Al(OH)_3$ and Na_2CO_3 in formic acid solution at mild conditions and then activated at vacuum, giving rise to activated ALF.³⁶ The pore dimensions of two kinds of cavities, SC and LC (Figures 1a and S3), are very similar to the dimensions of CO_2 and C_2H_2 but smaller than those of other C_2 and C_3 hydrocarbons. The purity of the as-synthesized bulk samples was established by comparing the experimentally collected and simulated powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns (Figure S4). Additionally, ALF can retain its crystallinity after being exposed to air for one month.³⁴ The thermal stability of the activated material was further evaluated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and the result shows that ALF is stable up to 503 K (230 °C) (Figure S5).

CO₂ and Hydrocarbon Sorption Performance. The small pore sizes of all cavities make ALF an interesting candidate for CO₂ adsorption and separation. Singlecomponent CO₂ and C₂H₂ gas sorption isotherms on ALF were first collected at 298 K. It is intriguing to find that ALF adsorbs CO₂ with high capacity but shows negligible C₂H₂ uptakes (Figure 2a). To further investigate the behavior of ALF, we collected the sorption isotherms of other hydrocarbons, including CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, and C₃H₆. The results show that all these larger molecules are excluded by ALF. The combination of the exclusive CO₂ capture performance with high capacity and selectivity against these important hydrocarbons in ALF appears remarkable, with rare precedents.⁵ Detailed analysis indicates that the capacity of CO₂ can reach 86.2 cm³ g⁻¹ (3.85 mmol g⁻¹) at 1 bar and 298 K, but C_2H_2 uptake is only $3.3 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ under the same conditions. Even over a broad temperature range, ALF still exhibits high CO₂ uptakes (273-323 K, Figure S6) and negligible or low C₂H₂ uptakes (253–333 K, Figure S7). Notably, the CO_2 uptake is much higher than that of most state-of-the-art materials used for inverse CO_2/C_2H_2 separation (Table S1), such as MUF-16



Figure 3. (a–c) Experimental fixed-bed breakthrough results for equimolar CO_2/C_2H_2 (a), CO_2/CH_4 (b), and CO_2/C_2H_4 (c) mixtures. (d) Breakthrough curves of the $CO_2/C_2H_2/C_2H_4/C_2H_6/CH_4$ (1/1/1/1) mixture on ALF at 298 K. Note: a flow rate of 2.0 mL min⁻¹ was used for all breakthrough experiments.

(47.8 cm³ g⁻¹),⁵ Cd-NP (58 cm³ g⁻¹),²⁷ SIFSIX-3-Ni (60.5 cm³ g⁻¹),⁴ and even the inverse sieving material Cu-F-pymo (26.7 cm³ g⁻¹).¹¹

To quantitatively evaluate the separation potential of ALF, the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) was utilized to estimate the separation selectivity toward an equimolar CO₂/ C_2H_2 mixture (Figure 2b). The inverse selectivity can reach 6.5 \times 10⁵, far exceeding those of most MOFs with inverse CO₂/ C_2H_2 separation capabilities (Figure 2c and Table S1). For example, the inverse selectivities of Cd-NP¹¹ and MUF-16⁵ are 10⁵ and 510, respectively. According to the IAST calculations, ALF features a high CO_2 uptake of ~3.5 mmol g⁻¹ from an equimolar CO_2/C_2H_2 mixture while exhibiting a negligible C_2H_2 uptake (Figure 2b). The uptake ratio is another important parameter demonstrating the preference and separation potential of the adsorbent for certain molecules over others. For ALF, the calculated CO_2/C_2H_2 uptake ratio is 26.1, much higher than that reported for benchmark materials, such as Cu-F-pymo (11.6),¹¹ MUF-16 (12),⁵ Ce-MIL-140-4F (2.8),²¹ and Tm-OH-bdc $(2.8)^{22}$ (Figure 2d). Such a high CO_2 capacity and CO_2/C_2H_2 uptake ratio signify that ALF sets a new benchmark for inverse CO2/C2H2 adsorption and separation (Figure 2c). Additionally, the uptake ratios and separation selectivity of ALF for other hydrocarbons are equally impressive (Figures 2e,f and S8, Table S2). The equimolar CO₂/CH₄ separation selectivity and CO₂/CH₄ uptake ratio can reach ~9100 and ~172, respectively, higher than those on MUF-16 (6686 and 40, respectively).⁵ These results underline the outstanding separation performance of ALF in removing CO_2 from hydrocarbons.

CO₂ Separation Performance from Hydrocarbons. To intuitively evaluate the performance of CO₂ dynamic trapping and inverse sieving effects for CO_2/C_2H_2 , fixed-bed break-through experiments were carried out (Figure 3). As expected, a complete separation of CO_2/C_2H_2 was observed with high-

purity C2H2 immediately harvested from an ALF-packed column (Figure 3a). The calculated CO_2 trapped amount on ALF from the breakthrough experiment was $\sim 2.32 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$, with the C_2H_2 productivity of ~5.4 mmol g^{-1} —32 times higher than that on Cu-F-pymo (0.166 mmol g^{-1}).¹¹ The dynamic uptake of CO2 in these runs was similar to our observations for static sorption experiments (Figure 2a). The CO_2 capture capacity was ~2.75 mmol g⁻¹ at 313 K, higher than those at other temperatures (2.64 and 2.0 mmol g^{-1} at 323 and 353 K, respectively). The CO₂ breakthrough time also follows the order that it is longer at 313 K compared with those at other temperatures. To further validate the temperature effect on the CO₂ capture performance, singlecomponent CO₂ breakthrough curves were collected (Figure \$9). Similarly, the results show that there is a suitable temperature favorable for CO2 mass transfer. At 313 K, the dynamic CO₂ sorption amount is \sim 3.1 mmol g⁻¹. Additionally, the higher flow rates and the existence of humidity would not compromise the CO₂ capture and C₂H₂ production performances of ALF (Figure S10). The CO_2 capture performance of ALF is meritorious and sets a new benchmark for inverse $CO_2/$ C_2H_2 separations with the inverse sieving effect for C_2H_2 . Furthermore, benefiting from the sieving effect for hydrocarbons, ALF is an exclusive and promising material to efficiently trap CO_2 from CO_2 -containing hydrocarbon mixtures (Figure 3b-d). In particular, ALF, with its low cost and easy synthesis procedure, is a very promising porous material to remove CO₂ in natural gas sweetening, biogas processing, and hydrocarbon upgrading, especially C2H2 purification.

CO₂ Trapping Mechanism. It is reasonable that ALF with small pore sizes exhibits excellent size-selective sieving behavior toward mixtures of CO₂ with other large gas molecules, such as CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, and C₃H₆. However, the inverse sieving effect for CO₂ and C₂H₂ (where the kinetic

diameters of both molecules are 3.3 Å) is unexpected. To understand the origins of this puzzling behavior, we investigated the in situ FTIR spectrum of CO2-loaded ALF (Figure S11). The C=O bond peak of $\sim 1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (antisymmetric stretching vibration) is blue-shifted to ~1615 cm^{-1} , and the bending vibration peaks of C–H bond at ~467 and $\sim 490 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are blue-shifted to $\sim 474 \text{ and } \sim 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively. These changes indicate that the trapped CO₂ molecules in ALF interact with the H or C atoms of formate groups in the pore channels. The new emerging peak at ~1405 cm⁻¹ is linked to the symmetric formate mode due to subtle structural modifications from CO2 adsorption. Furthermore, the electrostatic potential of CO_2 can complement the pore surface potential surrounded by H and C atoms (Figures S12-S14). Notably, ALF can exclusively trap CO₂ from hydrocarbons owing to the combination of the specific electropositive pore windows and cavities restricted by H and C atoms with suitable pore chemistry and the narrow ultramicro pore. The mutual repulsion effect between H atoms of formate and H atoms of C₂H₂ leads to a repulsive interaction that hinders adsorption and also lowers the heat of adsorption (see below). This simplified electrostatic picture can qualitatively explain why only CO_2 can be trapped, with C_2H_2 being excluded. In situ C₂H₂ synchrotron diffraction experiments on ALF further confirmed that no C2H2 entered ALF over the course of 30 min time scales at 253 and 313 K (Figure S15 and Table S4, S5). This aligns with the presented isotherm data and verifies that ALF is selective against C_2H_2 .

We performed dispersion-corrected density functional theory (DFT-D3) calculations to compare the heats of adsorption (E_{adsorb}) of CO₂ and C₂H₂ in ALF (Figure 1b). Although C₂H₂ does not readily adsorb into ALF, the results show that E_{adsorb} of C_2H_2 into SC and LC of ALF are favorable and broadly similar (around 39 and 52 kJ/mol for C₂H₂ in its preferred orientations in SC and LC, respectively) to those of CO₂ (around 51 and 42 kJ/mol for CO₂ in its preferred orientations in SC and LC, respectively). However, since all the C-H bonds of the formate ligands point toward SC instead of LC, C₂H₂ shows a preference for LC, whereas CO₂ prefers SC. By inspecting the results of adsorption calculations, we observed that CO₂ and C₂H₂ manifest preferred orientations in SC. CO2 aligns toward the C-H bonds, whereas C_2H_2 favors the perpendicular directions (Figure 1b). In this way, the CO₂ molecules establish stabilizing hydrogen bonds with the C-H groups, which is not possible in the case of C₂H₂. In LC, CO₂ and C₂H₂ do not have preferred orientations in terms of adsorption energy, suggesting that they can show positional disorder. Based on these results, we believe that the separation of CO_2/C_2H_2 is not driven by thermodynamic effects since the heats of adsorption are both favorable. It appears to be a kinetic effect, which is consistent with the observation of modest C₂H₂ uptake into ALF at 313 K (Figure S7).

To address this kinetic effect, we evaluated the translation barriers of both CO_2 and C_2H_2 to verify whether the adsorption of these molecules in ALF may be kinetically hindered. Nudged elastic band calculations were performed, and the energy barriers of translating C_2H_2 and CO_2 within ALF in the dilute limit (one molecule per unit cell of ALF) were computed (Tables S6, S7 and Figure S16). There are two main types of translation mechanisms that can happen in the ALF structure: (1) translation of a guest molecule (CO_2 or C_2H_2) between two small cavities and (2) guest molecule translation between a small and a large cavity. For scenario (1)(and from symmetry considerations on the ALF structure), both C_2H_2 and CO_2 should rotate by 90° when exchanging between two neighboring small cavities. For example, a CO₂ departing from its most stable adsorbing configuration (along the *c* direction in SC) will initially align along the crystallographic direction when exchanged with a nearby small cavity. This step will be followed by a rotation of CO_2 to reach its most stable orientation along the c direction. As for scenario (2), the translation can only happen between molecules aligned in the b direction, where the molecule points toward a large cavity and any direction in the nearby large cavity. We also found that both CO_2 and C_2H_2 can only translate along C=O or C-H bond directions, respectively, through the aperture between two cavities without rotations. The energy barriers of translating CO₂ between two adjacent small cavities are ~654 or ~292 meV, depending on the direction of translation (Figure S16), whereas for C_2H_2 , the barriers are nearly direction-independent (~437 and ~535 meV). As for the translation between a small and a large cavity, CO_2 shows barriers of ~152 or ~624 meV. In contrast, the same translation mechanism for C2H2 is accompanied by unsurmountable translation barriers of ~821 or ~1018 meV. These results show that C_2H_2 access to the large cavity is highly impeded and that the passage of CO₂ between both types of apertures (between cavities) is easier than that of C₂H₂. Although the large cavity in ALF provides a higher heat of adsorption for C2H2, it remains inaccessible due to the extremely high activation barriers.

CONCLUSIONS

Efficient CO₂ capture from hydrocarbons is required to achieve both carbon neutrality and sustainable development and is also important for producing high-purity hydrocarbons. We report that ALF shows excellent exclusive CO₂ separation performance from CO₂-containing hydrocarbon mixtures. Notably, the hydrogen-confined pore cavity with an electropositive surface and appropriate dimension provides a perfect pore chemistry to devotedly match the potential of CO₂ via weak H…O and C…O interactions. The exclusive CO₂ trapping behavior was validated via single-component gas adsorption and dynamic breakthrough experiments using CO₂-containing hydrocarbon mixtures. ALF exhibits a benchmark inverse sieving separation performance for CO_2/C_2H_2 mixtures. High-purity C_2H_2 (99.99%) with a productivity of 5.4 mmol g^{-1} was obtained via only a one-step sorption procedure. Equally, the performance of ALF for separating CO2 from methane is also impressive, suggesting that it could find utility in biogas processing.³⁷ The exclusive CO₂ capture behavior in an ultramicroporous material with hydrogen-confined pore cavities provides deep insights into the bottom-up design strategies of advanced porous materials used for molecular recognition.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.3c01705.

Details of experimental section, material synthesis, gas adsorption and separation procedures, FTIR spectra, PXRD patterns, TGA, and DFT calculations (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Pieremanuele Canepa – Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117585, Singapore; Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117575, Singapore; orcid.org/0000-0002-5168-9253; Email: pcanepa@nus.edu.sg

Anthony K. Cheetham – Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117575, Singapore; Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States; O orcid.org/0000-0003-1518-4845; Email: akc30@cam.ac.uk

Dan Zhao – Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117585, Singapore; orcid.org/0000-0002-4427-2150; Email: chezhao@nus.edu.sg

Authors

Zhaoqiang Zhang – Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117585, Singapore; orcid.org/0000-0002-9172-2871

Zeyu Deng – Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117575, Singapore; © orcid.org/0000-0003-0109-9367

Hayden A. Evans – Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-1331-4274

Dinesh Mullangi – Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117575, Singapore; [©] orcid.org/0000-0003-4012-0587

Chengjun Kang – Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117585, Singapore; orcid.org/0000-0003-0208-2954

Shing Bo Peh – Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117585, Singapore; orcid.org/0000-0002-2250-757X

Yuxiang Wang – Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117585, Singapore; Orcid.org/0000-0002-6945-6431

Craig M. Brown – Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878, United States; Orcid.org/0000-0002-9637-9355

John Wang – Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117575, Singapore; orcid.org/0000-0001-6059-8962

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.3c01705

Author Contributions

 $^{\perp}$ Z.Z and Z.D. authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): A patent has been filed by the National University of Singapore based on the present results (SG Patent Application No. 10202260362V).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education— Singapore (MOE2019-T2-1-093, MOE-T2EP10122-0002, MOE-000381-01), the Energy Market Authority of Singapore (EMA-EP009-SEGC-020), the Agency for Science, Technology, and Research (U2102d2004, U2102d2012), and the National Research Foundation Singapore (NRF-CRP26-2021RS-0002). Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this document. This identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the products identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

REFERENCES

(1) Adil, K.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Pillai, R. S.; Cadiau, A.; Bhatt, P. M.; Assen, A. H.; Maurin, G.; Eddaoudi, M. Gas/vapour separation using ultra-microporous metal-organic frameworks: Insights into the structure/separation relationship. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **201**7, *46*, 3402– 3430.

(2) Zhou, D.; Zhang, X.; Mo, Z.; Xu, Y.; Tian, X.; Li, Y.; Chen, X.; Zhang, J. Adsorptive separation of carbon dioxide: From conventional porous materials to metal–organic frameworks. *EnergyChem* **2019**, *1*, 100016.

(3) Hu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Shah, B.; Zhao, D. CO_2 capture in metalorganic framework adsorbents: An engineering perspective. *Adv. Sustainable Syst.* **2019**, *3*, 1800080.

(4) Chen, K. J.; Scott, H. S.; Madden, D. G.; Pham, T.; Kumar, A.; Bajpai, A.; Lusi, M.; Forrest, K. A.; Space, B.; Perry, J. J.; Zaworotko, M. J. Benchmark C_2H_2/CO_2 and CO_2/C_2H_2 separation by two closely related hybrid ultramicroporous materials. *Chem* **2016**, *1*, 753–765.

(5) Qazvini, O. T.; Babarao, R.; Telfer, S. G. Selective capture of carbon dioxide from hydrocarbons using a metal-organic framework. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 197.

(6) Cui, W. G.; Hu, T. L.; Bu, X. H. Metal-organic framework materials for the separation and purification of light hydrocarbons. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, No. e1806445.

(7) Siegelman, R. L.; Kim, E. J.; Long, J. R. Porous materials for carbon dioxide separations. *Nat. Mater.* **2021**, *20*, 1060–1072.

(8) Kim, E. J.; Siegelman, R. L.; Jiang, H.; Forse, A. C.; Lee, J.; Martell, J. D.; Milner, P. J.; Falkowski, J. M.; Neaton, J. B.; Reimer, J. A.; Weston, S. C.; Long, J. R. Cooperative carbon capture and steam regeneration with tetraamine-appended metal-organic frameworks. *Science* **2020**, *369*, 392–396.

(9) Li, J. R.; Sculley, J.; Zhou, H. C. Metal-organic frameworks for separations. *Chem. Rev.* 2012, 112, 869–932.

(10) Gong, W.; Cui, H.; Xie, Y.; Li, Y.; Tang, X.; Liu, Y.; Cui, Y.; Chen, B. Efficient C_2H_2/CO_2 separation in ultramicroporous metalorganic frameworks with record C_2H_2 storage density. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 14869–14876.

(11) Shi, Y.; Xie, Y.; Cui, H.; Ye, Y.; Wu, H.; Zhou, W.; Arman, H.; Lin, R. B.; Chen, B. Highly selective adsorption of carbon Dioxide over acetylene in an ultramicroporous metal-organic framework. *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, 33, 2105880.

(12) Ye, Y.; Ma, Z.; Lin, R. B.; Krishna, R.; Zhou, W.; Lin, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Xiang, S.; Chen, B. Pore space partition within a metalorganic framework for highly efficient C_2H_2/CO_2 separation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, 141, 4130–4136.

(13) Zhang, J.; Chen, X. Optimized acetylene/carbon dioxide sorption in a dynamic porous crystal. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5516–5521.

(14) Eguchi, R.; Uchida, S.; Mizuno, N. Inverse and high CO_2/C_2H_2 sorption selectivity in Flexible organic-inorganic ionic crystals. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 1635.

(15) Gao, J.; Qian, X.; Lin, R. B.; Krishna, R.; Wu, H.; Zhou, W.; Chen, B. Mixed metal-organic framework with multiple binding sites for efficient C_2H_2/CO_2 separation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 4396–4400.

(16) Gu, Y.; Zheng, J. J.; Otake, K. I.; Shivanna, M.; Sakaki, S.; Yoshino, H.; Ohba, M.; Kawaguchi, S.; Wang, Y.; Li, F.; Kitagawa, S. Host-guest interaction modulation in porous coordination polymers for inverse selective CO_2/C_2H_2 Separation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 11688.

(17) Lin, R. B.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, B. Achieving high performance metal-organic framework materials through pore engineering. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2021**, *54*, 3362–3376.

(18) Zhang, Z.; Kang, C.; Peh, S.; Shi, D.; Yang, F.; Liu, Q.; Zhao, D. Efficient adsorption of acetylene over CO_2 in bioinspired covalent organic frameworks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, 144, 14992–14996.

(19) Wang, S.; Lee, J.; Wahiduzzaman, M.; Park, J.; Muschi, M.; Martineau-Corcos, C.; Tissot, A.; Cho, K.; Marrot, J.; Shepard, W.; Maurin, G.; Chang, J.; Serre, C. A robust large-pore zirconium carboxylate metal-organic framework for energy-efficient watersorption-driven refrigeration. *Nat. Energy* **2018**, *3*, 985–993.

(20) Choi, D.; Kim, D.; Kang, D.; Kang, M.; Chae, Y.; Hong, C. Highly selective CO_2 separation from a CO_2/C_2H_2 mixture using a diamine-appended metal-organic framework. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2021**, *9*, 21424–21428.

(21) Zhang, Z.; Peh, S. B.; Krishna, R.; Kang, C.; Chai, K.; Wang, Y.; Shi, D.; Zhao, D. Optimal pore chemistry in an ultramicroporous metal-organic framework for benchmark inverse CO_2/C_2H_2 separation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 17198–17204.

(22) Ma, D.; Li, Z.; Zhu, J.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, L.; Mai, X.; Liufu, M.; Wu, Y.; Li, Y. Inverse and highly selective separation of CO_2/C_2H_2 on a thulium–organic framework. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2020**, *8*, 11933–11937.

(23) Li, L.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, Q.; Yang, Y.; Su, B.; Bao, Z.; Ren, Q. Inverse adsorption separation of CO_2/C_2H_2 mixture in cyclodextrin-based metal-organic frameworks. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 2543–2550.

(24) Foo, M. L.; Matsuda, R.; Hijikata, Y.; Krishna, R.; Sato, H.; Horike, S.; Hori, A.; Duan, J.; Sato, Y.; Kubota, Y.; Takata, M.; Kitagawa, S. An adsorbate discriminatory gate effect in a flexible porous coordination polymer for selective adsorption of CO_2 over C_2H_2 . J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 3022–3030.

(25) Cai, L. Z.; Yao, Z. Z.; Lin, S. J.; Wang, M. S.; Guo, G. C. Photoinduced electron-transfer (PIET) strategy for selective adsorption of CO_2 over C_2H_2 in a MOF. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2021**, 60, 18223–18230.

(26) Cui, J.; Qiu, Z.; Yang, L.; Zhang, Z.; Cui, X.; Xing, H. Kineticsieving of carbon dioxide from acetylene through a novel sulfonic ultramicroporous material. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, No. e202208756.

(27) Xie, Y.; Cui, H.; Wu, H.; Lin, R. B.; Zhou, W.; Chen, B. Electrostatically driven selective adsorption of carbon dioxide over acetylene in an ultramicroporous material. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 9604–9609.

(28) Lin, R. B.; Li, L.; Zhou, H. L.; Wu, H.; He, C.; Li, S.; Krishna, R.; Li, J.; Zhou, W.; Chen, B. Molecular sieving of ethylene from ethane using a rigid metal-organic framework. *Nat. Mater.* **2018**, *17*, 1128–1133.

(29) Ding, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Yu, C.; Zhang, P.; Wang, J.; Cui, X.; He, C.; Deng, S.; Xing, H. Exploiting equilibrium-kinetic synergetic effect for separation of ethylene and ethane in a microporous metal-Organic framework. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*, No. eaaz4322.

(30) Islamoglu, T.; Chen, Z.; Wasson, M. C.; Buru, C. T.; Kirlikovali, K. O.; Afrin, U.; Mian, M. R.; Farha, O. K. Metal-organic frameworks against toxic chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120*, 8130–8160.

(31) Ji, Z.; Wang, H.; Canossa, S.; Wuttke, S.; Yaghi, O. M. Pore chemistry of metal–organic frameworks. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, 2000238.

(32) Wang, H.; Liu, Y.; Li, J. Designer metal-organic frameworks for size-exclusion-based hydrocarbon separations: progress and challenges. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, No. e2002603.

(33) Zhang, Z. Q.; Peh, S. B.; Kang, C.; Chai, K.; Zhao, D. Metalorganic frameworks for C6–C8 hydrocarbon separations. *EnergyChem* **2021**, 3, 100057.

(34) Evans, A.; Mullangi, D.; Deng, Z.; Wang, Y.; Peh, S. B.; Wei, F.; Wang, J.; Brown, C. M.; Zhao, D.; Canepa, P.; Cheetham, A. K.; Canepa, P.; Cheetham, K. A. Aluminum formate, $Al(HCOO)_3$: An earth-abundant, scalable, and highly selective material for CO_2 capture. *Sci. Adv.* **2022**, *8*, No. eade1473.

(35) Evans, H. A.; Wu, Y.; Seshadri, R.; Cheetham, A. K. Perovskiterelated ReO₃-type structures. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2020**, *5*, 196–213.

(36) Tian, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, H.; Chi, C. CO₂ Template Synthesis of Metal Formates with a ReO₃ Net. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 1612–1616.

(37) Ryckebosch, E.; Drouillon, M.; Vervaeren, H. Techniques for transformation of biogas to biomethane. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2011**, *35*, 1633–1645.