

Miscibility of Li₄GeO₄ into Li₃PS₄ Solid Electrolytes from First-Principles Methods

[Yan](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yan+Li"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Li, Zeyu [Deng,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Zeyu+Deng"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Chuyang](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Chuyang+Chen"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Chen, and [Pieremanuele](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Pieremanuele+Canepa"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Canepa[*](#page-7-0)

Cite This: [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267&ref=pdf) **Read [Online](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267?ref=pdf)**

ABSTRACT: Lithium-based oxysulfide solid electrolytes are currently being researched for all-solid-state batteries, as they offer a combination of high electrochemical stabilities and elevated Li-ion conductivities, thus combining the best characteristics of sulfide and oxide solid electrolytes. In this work, we investigated the miscibility of Li_3PS_4 and Li_4GeO_4 polyanions. Building upon a robust multiscale first-principles methodology, we explore the configurational disorder arising from the mixing of GeO₄⁺ and PS_4^{3-} in the $(1-x)Li_3PS_4-xLi_4GeO_4$ tie line. The computed phase diagram reveals a stable ordered phase with the composition $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$. In $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$, the sulfur atoms initially bound to pentavalent phosphorus as PS_4^{3-} are fully exchanged with oxygen atoms (from GeO4 ⁴[−]), giving rise to GeS4 ⁴[−] moieties. *Ab initio*

molecular dynamics simulations predicted a high Li-ion conductivity of ~13.31 mS cm⁻¹ for Li₇PO₄GeS₄ at 573 K. These results reveal the structural complexity and flexibility of these polyanion systems.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Storing and distributing energy produced by renewable sources, such as solar, wind, and tides, presents a critical challenge in our era. Rechargeable lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries appear to be the most promising solution to this issue.[1](#page-8-0)[−][3](#page-8-0) Nevertheless, using flammable, nonaqueous liquid electrolytes in commercial Li-ion cells introduces significant safety concerns. Considerable efforts have been made to advance solid electrolyte (SE) materials for all-solid-state Liion batteries. Among inorganic SEs, sulfide, oxide, and halide ceramics $4-6$ $4-6$ have received significant scrutiny.

Sulfide SEs are primarily sought after for their high Li ion conductivity (≥ 10 mS·cm⁻¹) at ambient temperatures,^{[7](#page-8-0),[8](#page-8-0)} along with their compatibility with $Li(Na)$ -S battery architectures.^{9,1} However, the susceptibility to moisture and interface instability of sulfide SEs significantly hinder their practical applications[.11](#page-8-0)[−][13](#page-8-0) Oxide-based SEs generally exhibit a lower Li(Na) ionic conductivity than sulfides. They are recognized for their superior mechanical properties and improved stability at the interface with negative electrode materials.^{[14](#page-8-0)} Given these challenges and advantages, oxysulfide SEs have emerged as a potential solution, combining the desirable functional properties of both oxide and sulfide SEs. This statement is supported by various oxysulfide SEs designed by mixing LISICON (an oxide) and thio-LISICON (a sulfide) electrolytes. For example, Takada et al.¹⁵ found that the addition of Li_3PO_4 to Li_3PS_4 results in a new metastable phase $(0.25Li₃PO₄ - 0.75Li₃PS₄)$, which exhibits a conductivity about hundreds of times greater than $Li₃PS₄$. Enhanced ionic conductivities have also been

achieved in the oxysulfide glassy systems by leveraging mixtures of polyanion frameworks, such as $Li₂S-SiS₂−$ Li₃MO₃ (with M = B, Al, Ga, and In),¹⁶ Li₂S–SiS₂–Li_xMO_{*y*} $(M = Si, P, Ge),$ ^{[17](#page-8-0)} $xLi₂O-(1 - x)(0.6Li₂S-0.4P₂S₅)$,^{[18](#page-8-0)} and 70Li₂S·(30 − *x*)P₂S₅·*x*P₂O₅.^{[19](#page-8-0)} Furthermore, oxygen doping in $Li_{10}GeP_2S_{12}$ $(LGPS)^{20}$ $(LGPS)^{20}$ $(LGPS)^{20}$ has been explored to improve both ionic conductivity and electrochemical stability, as exemplified by $\text{Li}_{9.42}\text{Si}_{1.02}\text{P}_{2,1}\text{S}_{9.96}\text{O}_{2.04}$, 21 21 21 $\text{Li}_{3+5x}\text{P}_{1-x}\text{S}_{4-z}\text{O}_{z}$ ($x = 0.03-0.08$, *z* $= 0.4-0.8$),^{[22](#page-8-0)} Li₁₀SiP₂S_{12−*x*}O_{*x*} (0 ≤ *x* ≤ 1.75),^{[23](#page-8-0)} $Li_{10}Sn_{0.95}P_2S_{11.9-x}O_{x_1}^{24}$ $Li_{10}Sn_{0.95}P_2S_{11.9-x}O_{x_1}^{24}$ $Li_{10}Sn_{0.95}P_2S_{11.9-x}O_{x_1}^{24}$ and $Li_{10}MP_2S_4O_8$ (M = Si, Ge, Sn).^{[25](#page-8-0)} This trend extends to Na-based solid electrolytes, including N a 3 P S $_{4-x}$ O $_{x}$, ^{[2 6](#page-8-0), [2 7](#page-8-0)} N a ₄ P $_{2}$ S $_{7-x}$ O $_{x}$, ^{[2 8](#page-8-0)} and Na2.88Sb0.88W0.12S4[−]*x*O*x*, [29](#page-8-0) further highlighting the importance of oxysulfide materials for all-solid-state batteries.

Using advanced computational techniques, this paper explores the pseudobinary oxysulfide system $(1 - x)$ Li₃PS₄− *x*Li4GeO4. To our knowledge, this specific oxysulfide system remains unexplored experimentally.[15,30](#page-8-0)[−][36](#page-8-0) Among the parent materials, $β$ -Li₃PS₄, a representative member of the thio-LISICON family, has been extensively studied because of its "simple" crystal structure and high ionic conductivity, reaching

Accepted: July 30, 2024

up to 10^{-1} mS·cm⁻¹ at room temperature.^{[37](#page-8-0)} Meanwhile, Li4GeO4, a LISICON-type material, demonstrates versatility in forming diverse solid solution systems with $Li_3PO_4^{34}$ $Li_3PO_4^{34}$ $Li_3PO_4^{34}$ and $Li_4SiO_4^{38}$ $Li_4SiO_4^{38}$ $Li_4SiO_4^{38}$ and has been examined as an additive to enhance the oxidative stability of LLZTO electrolytes to as high as 5.5 V vs $Li/Li^{+.39}$ $Li/Li^{+.39}$ $Li/Li^{+.39}$

By mixing different types of polyanions consisting of Ge/P cations and O/S anions, we investigate the existence of potential stable phases within the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ tie line. It is anticipated that compounds of this family can provide an optimal balance of electrochemical stability while retaining the elevated ionic conductivity of β -Li₃PS₄. The occurrence of new oxysulfide compounds in the Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* system is studied by using a multiscale approach, relying on firstprinciples calculations, cluster expansion, and Monte Carlo simulations. We identified a single stable phase at $x = 0.5$, with the formula $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$.

STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS OF Li₃PS₄ AND Li_4 GeO₄

In our pursuit to discover new crystalline compounds with the general formula Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*x*, it is crucial to examine the structural characteristics of the end members of the oxysulfides, namely, $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₄GeO₄$.

The starting material $Li₃PS₄$ is known to exist in three phases *α*, *β*, and *γ*, with the *γ* phase transitioning to the *β* phase at 573 K, and then to the *α* phase at 746 K, as detailed by Homma et al.[40](#page-9-0) This work focuses on the high-conductivity *β* form of $Li₃PS₄$, which crystallizes in an orthorhombic structure with the *Pnma* (No. 62) space group. The experimental structure of β -Li₃PS₄ is shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S1a. Its conventional unit cell contains four formula units, with three distinct Li sites. Specifically, the $Li(1)$ ions fully occupy the site with Wyckoff multiplicity and label 8*d*, while Li(2) and Li(3) ions partially occupy the 4*b* and 4*c* sites with 70% and 30% occupancy, respectively. The structural optimization using density functional theory (DFT) together with the meta-GGA SCAN functional found the ground state structure of $Li₃PS₄$ (shown in Figure 1) having 100% occupancy of *d* and *b* type Li sites. Additional exploration of interstitial defects in $Li₃PS₄$ revealed that the *c*-type site displays the lowest defect energy, which can accommodate extra Li ions. [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S1 summarizes the quantitative comparison between the idealized and experimental structures of $Li₃PS₄$. Generally, the computed lattice constants of $Li₃PS₄$ agree well with the experimental measurements within a relative discrepancy of 3%. It is also found that the $Li₃PS₄$ structure determined using the meta-GGA SCAN functional aligns closely with the local-density approximation results of Lepley et al^{41} al^{41} al^{41} In the neutron diffraction structure of β -Li₃PS₄ reported by Kaup et al.^{[42](#page-9-0)} the Li1 ions at 8*d* sites split into Li1A and Li1B sites, with partial occupancies of 2/3 and 1/3, respectively. While we used Homma's structure, our model implicitly accounts for this Lisite splitting as our calculations are performed without symmetry constraints. As for the lithium germanate Li_4GeO_4 , two structural variations have been reported.^{[43](#page-9-0)–[45](#page-9-0)} The hightemperature phase stabilized between 700 and 750 °C is characterized by a monoclinic structure, but details of this structure remain scarce. At ambient temperature, Li_4GeO_4 crystallizes into an orthorhombic structure with space group *Cmcm* (No. 63), as illustrated in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S2 and quantified by lattice parameters and atomic positions in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S2.

Figure 1. Structural models and predicted phase diagram at 0 K for the Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* system. The top panel shows in sequence from left to right: structures of *Pnma* $Li₃PS₄$ at $x = 0$, computationally identified ground state Pc Li₇PO₄GeS₄ at $x = 0.5$, and *Pnma* Li₄GeO₄ at $x = 1$. Li, P, Ge, S, and O atoms are represented by cyan, black, purple, yellow, and red spheres, respectively. The bottom panel shows the computed formation energy, E_f (defined in [eq](#page-2-0) 1), as a function of composition *x*. Cyan crosses are DFT simulations, while brown crosses are obtained from cluster expansion (CE) fitting. The phase diagrams at 0 K (illustrated through the convex hull construction) from DFT (cyan line) and CE (brown line) indicate the thermodynamic stability of compositions within the system.

To assess the structural stability of all possible polymorphs of Li3PS4 and Li4GeO4, we modeled the *Cmcm* and *Pnma* phases for each material. These predicted polymorphs exhibit mild metastability, with the *Cmcm* Li₃PS₄ structure being ∼19.98 meV/atom more unstable than its ground-state *Pnma* phase. Likewise, the *Pnma* Li₄GeO₄ was ~15.32 meV/atom above the *Cmcm* structure. Details of these predicted phases are summarized in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S4. While there are no reports of Li3PS4 with the *Cmcm* structure, experimental data suggest a hypothetical *Pnma* structure for Li₄GeO₄. This is supported by the work of Rodger et al.^{[46](#page-9-0)} on the solid solution system combining Li₄GeO₄ and the *Pnma* phase of *γ*-Li₃PO₄, which indicates that the extrapolated lattice constants for the pure Ge phase must correspond to a structure isostructural with *γ*-Li3PO4. It is also interesting to mention that a *Pnma* model for $Li₄GeO₄$ can be theoretically constructed either by substituting O for S in the isostructural Li₄GeS₄ or by replacing $PS₄^{3–}$ units with GeO⁴⁻ and introducing four additional Li ions at the interstitial 4*c* site in $Li₃PS₄$. Our calculations show that both approaches yield identical optimized structures for Li_4GeO_4 , indicating similar crystalline geometry to the *Pnma* (Thio)- LISICON compounds. The optimized *Pnma* structure of $Li₄GeO₄$ is illustrated in Figures 1 and [S3,](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) with details provided in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S3. Despite Li_4GeO_4 containing more Li ions and larger Ge ions in comparison to $Li₃PS₄$, the presence of smaller O ions, relative to S ions, leads to a substantial reduction in its lattice volume-approximately 47% smaller than that of $Li₃PS₄$.

We selected the *Pnma* phase as the host model to investigate the thermodynamic behavior of the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ system. The critical difference between $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₄GeO₄$ lies in the atom distribution on the 4*c*-type sites: these sites are unoccupied, acting as the most energetically favorable interstitial sites in Li_3PS_4 , but they are filled in Li_4GeO_4 .

■ **Li***x***+3P1**−*x***Ge***x***S4**−**4***x***O4***^x* **PHASE BEHAVIOR**

A quantitative measure of the thermodynamic mixing of $Li₃PS₄$ into the Li_4GeO_4 system is obtained by analyzing the enthalpies of mixing, which are approximated by DFT total energies (at 0 K), and thus disregarding the *pV* term and the zero-point vibrational energy. The formation energy of $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ at composition *x* can then be defined as in eq 1:

$$
E_f(x) = E(\text{Li}_{x+3}\text{P}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x\text{S}_{4-4x}\text{O}_{4x}) -
$$

+
$$
[(1-x)E(\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4) + xE(\text{Li}_4\text{GeO}_4)]
$$
 (1)

where $E(\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4)$ and $E(\text{Li}_4\text{GeO}_4)$ represent the DFT total energies of the end members $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₄GeO₄$ in the orthorhombic *Pnma* host structure, respectively. The term $E(\text{Li}_{x+3}\text{P}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x\text{S}_{4-4x}\text{O}_{4x})$ denotes the DFT-calculated total energy of a specific arrangement (ordering) of Li/Va, P/Ge, and S/O set by composition *x*.

To explore the compositional landscape of $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$, we examined 1,472 unique orderings at a resolution step of $x = 0.125$. This included the conventional cell (4 formula units with 36 atoms for Li₄GeO₄) and $1 \times 1 \times$ 2 supercells of the conventional cell. The DFT formation energies for these structures are shown as cyan crosses in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1. The results reveal that the phase-diagram at $0 K$ —the convex hull—is formed by three stable structures depicted in the top panel of [Figure](#page-1-0) 1: the two end-members, $Li₃PS₄$ at $x =$ 0 and Li_4GeO_4 at $x = 1.0$, and a newly discovered phase, Li₇PO₄GeS₄, at the midpoint $x = 0.5$. Therefore, at 0 K, the intermediate compositions between $Li₃PS₄$ ($Li₄GeO₄$) and $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ will phase separate into a proportional mixture of these stable phases.

Notably, all atoms occupy 2*a*-type sites within the $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ monoclinic *Pc* (No. 7) structure with two formula units per cell. The computed atomic coordinates of $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ are shown in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S5. The lattice constants of Li₇PO₄GeS₄ ($a = 11.756$ Å, $b = 7.463$ Å, and $c = 5.791$ Å) lie between those of Li_3PS_4 (*a* = 12.979 Å, *b* = 7.994 Å, and *c* = 6.166 Å) in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S1 and those of Li_4GeO_4 (*a* = 11.254 Å, *b* = 6.184 Å, and $c = 4.918$ Å) in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S3.

Upon visual inspection of the $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ structure (see [Figure](#page-1-0) 1), four key observations can be extracted: (*i*) In the course of the $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₄GeO₄$ mixing, S and O atoms swap their associated cations P and Ge, giving rise to PO_4^{3-} and GeS⁴⁻ tetrahedral moieties. This situation electrostatically stabilizes the structural ordering of Li/Va, P/Ge, and S/O. (*ii*) These tetrahedral moieties do not display anion mixing on P^{5+} or Ge^{4+} , but pristine PO_4^{3-} and GeS_4^{4-} motifs are preferred. (*iii*) The PO_4^{3-} and GeS_4^{4-} units form ordered layers parallel to the (0 1 0) plane, with each GeS_4^{4-} unit attracting an average of four Li ions and each PO_4^{3-} drawing an average of three Li ions in their vicinity. (iv) The tetrahedral apexes of both PO_4^{3-} and GeS⁴⁻ in Li₇PO₄GeS₄ show alternative upward $(T+)$ and downward (*T*−) orientations, which closely resembles the arrangement observed in PS^{3–} tetrahedra of *Pnma* Li₃PS₄.

In this work, we denote structural orderings at composition $x = 0.5$ with unique PO^{3–} and GeS^{4–} motifs as Li₇PO₄GeS₄, but compounds of the same compositions are generally represented as $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$. Intuitively, one would expect tetrahedra polyanion units with a mixture of sulfur and oxygen in the form of $\text{GeS}_{z}\text{O}_{4-z}^{4-}$ and/or $\text{PS}_{z}\text{O}_{4-z}^{3-}$ where $z = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and 4. Intrigued by the question of how the arrangement of tetrahedral moieties influences the structural stabilities of oxysulfides, we categorized the computed $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$ orderings based on the presence of tetrahedra carrying exclusively O or S anions at vertices, here named as "pure" tetrahedra (*z* = 0, 4), or "mixed" ones $(z = 0, 1, 2)$ wherein S and O atoms are simultaneously bonded to the same P or Ge. These categories are depicted in Figure 2a. Figure 2b shows the formation

Figure 2. Comparative analysis of tetrahedral moieties in $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$ configurations. (a) Depiction of pure (with all oxygen or sulfur atoms) and mixed tetrahedra. (b) Formation energies and (c) structure indicator *τ* for configurations featuring either pure (orange markers) or mixed (blue markers) tetrahedra, plotted against an arbitrary configuration index.

energies of 358 unique $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$ orderings (indicated by the configuration index along the *x* axis), which have been grouped into the pure or mixed tetrahedra. It is apparent from Figure 2b that configurations characterized by pure tetrahedra exhibit more negative formation energies than do most of their mixed counterparts.

Another intriguing aspect concerns whether the chemical character of the tetrahedra affects the accommodation of Li ions in the crystal lattice. This can be preliminarily assessed through a structure indicator $\tau = \sum V_{\text{tetra}}/V_{\text{lattice}}$, where $\sum V_{\text{tetra}}$ represents the volume of the tetrahedra and V_{lattice} is the volume of the model supercell (see Figure 2c). Smaller values of *τ* suggest a larger free volume for Li ions, and vice versa. While monitoring *τ* in Figure 2c reveals subtle differences between pure and mixed tetrahedral structures, the minimal variation in values may indicate that this descriptor is not effective in reflecting the chemical character of polyanion units.

We now analyze the role of phonons in the stability of these oxysulfides by investigating the contribution of the vibrational free energy, F_{vib} , to the mixing enthalpy. This analysis also elucidates the dynamical stability of the stable phases within the Li_{x+3}P_{1−*x*}Ge_{*x*}S_{4−4*x*}O_{4*x*} system. Phonon calculations at Γpoint were performed using the finite difference approach as implemented in Phonopy.⁴

Changes in vibrational free energy, denoted as $\Delta F_{\text{vib}}(x, T)$, are measured relative to the two end members $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₄GeO₄$, using a definition analogous to eq 1. The direct results of ΔF_{vib} were calculated for the lowest-energy $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ compounds at compositions *x* = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 as a function of temperature *T* from 0 to 1200 K, using 1 × 2 × 2 simulation cells containing 128− 144 atoms. Extending to a wider range of compositions, the

computed ΔF_{vib} values were subsequently splined over a concentration *x* and temperature *T* grid, with increments of Δ*x* $= 0.01$ and $\Delta T = 10$ K, respectively.

Figure 3 shows the changes in Δ*F*vib as a function of *x* and *T*. Within $0 \le x \le 1$ and $T \le 350$ K, it is found that the $F_{\text{vib}}(x, T)$

Figure 3. Contour plot of the vibrational free energy difference, ΔF_{vib}, as a function of temperature *T* and composition *x* for the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ system, interpolated from phonon calculations at specific compositions (see the main text).

values of $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ are equivalent to the weighted average of the values of Li₃PS₄ and Li₄GeO₄, with ΔF_{vib} vanishing in this region, as demarked by the dashed line in the plot. This implies that the low-temperature $(\leq 350 K)$ mixing enthalpies of $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ are predominantly determined by the formation energies rather than the vibrational entropy. Above 350 K, Figure 3 illustrates a nearly symmetric distribution of ΔF_{vib} across the composition range of $0.3 \le x \le 0.9$, with minima at specific values of (x, \overline{T}) marked by red dots. In particular, the location of the ΔF_{vib} minima shifts from *x* = 0.76 at 350 K to *x* ∼ 0.55 for temperatures exceeding 440 K.

It is worth noting that the plot of $\Delta F_{vib}(x, T)$ is qualitatively similar to the convex hull of [Figure](#page-1-0) 1. For example, the range of E_f extends from −170.77 meV/atom (at $x = 0.5$) to higher values. In contrast, the minimal $\Delta F_{\text{vib}}(x, T)$ is approximately 5% of the lowest E_f in absolute terms, indicating that vibrational contributions have a relatively minor impact on the overall stability of the Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* compounds. As anticipated, the free energy of mixing of the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ system is mostly driven by the formation of stable chemical bonds and electrostatically stable ordering, with an insignificant contribution from vibrational entropy. Analysis of the phonon-band structure of the ground state $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S6) confirms the absence of imaginary phonon frequencies, suggesting that the $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ structure is dynamically stable.

So far, our analysis suggests an appreciable enthalpic stabilization in the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ system from mixing Li_4GeO_4 into Li_3PS_4 . This exercise uncovered a stable Li/Va ordering at composition $x = 0.5$, i.e., Li₇PO₄GeS₄. We also demonstrated that within the composition range $0.3 \le x \le 0.9$, the vibrational entropy contributions could only mildly affect the miscibility of Li_4GeO_4 and Li_3PS_4 . We advance our understanding of the stability of $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ materials by incorporating configurational entropy effects into the model.

Starting from the formation energies (E_f) of [Figure](#page-1-0) 1, we developed a cluster expansion (CE) model^{48,[49](#page-9-0)} trained on

1,021 DFT energies of Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*x*. The CE model is formulated in terms of effective cluster interactions (ECIs) of pairs, triplets, quadruplets, and higher-order terms, as detailed in the following equation:

$$
E_f[\vec{\tau}, \vec{\zeta}, \vec{\kappa}] = V_0 + V_i \tau_i + V_{i,j} \tau_i \tau_j + V_{i,a} \tau_i \zeta_a
$$

+
$$
V_{a,b} \zeta_a \zeta_b + V_u \kappa_u + V_{u,v} \kappa_u \kappa_v + \cdots
$$
 (2)

Here, $E_f[\vec{\tau}, \vec{\zeta}, \vec{\kappa}]$ represents the formation energy of a configuration, characterized by the occupation vectors [*τ*, *⃗ ζ*, *⃗* and $\vec{\kappa}$ []] for Li/Va, Ge/P, and O/S, respectively, at a given composition *x*. Each ECI includes a polynomial function�*τⁱ* , *ζa*, and *κu*�that maps the occupations of lattice sites with values of ± 1 for Li (or its Vacancy), P (or Ge), and S (or O), respectively. The CE in eq 2 is typically truncated, with maximum interaction lengths of 10 Å for pairs, 6 Å for triplets, and 5 Å for quadruplets.

As the Li composition increases along the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ tie-line, P^{5+} ions are progressively substituted by Ge^{4+} ions to maintain the stoichiometry and charge neutrality of the system. To accommodate this, we employed the coupled CE formalism developed in ref [50](#page-9-0), which, in our system, constrains the concentration ratio of Ge⁴⁺/P⁵⁺ to Li⁺/Va by utilizing a common point term, $V_i \phi_i$, in eq 2. Note, the problem does not persist upon the exchange of O^{2-} for S^{2-} , which is described by a separate point term, $V_u \kappa_u$.

The formation energies predicted by the CE model are represented by brown crosses in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1 and agree with the DFT data. The optimized CE incorporates 75 ECI terms, comprising 1 point term, 43 pairs, 27 triplets, and 4 quadruplets (see Table S9 and Figure S8 in [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf)), respectively. Quantitatively, the CE model yields a root-mean-square error of ∼±4.14 meV/atom and a crossvalidation score of $\sim \pm 3.91$ meV/atom. [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S7 in the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) displays the energy above the convex hull against the CE model error, defined as the discrepancy between the CE and DFT formation energies.

The fitted CE model was used in semigrand canonical Monte Carlo (SG-CMC) simulations to map the temperature−composition phase diagram of the Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* system, as in [Figure](#page-4-0) 4. To enforce charge neutrality, the exchange table method was implemented.^{[50,51](#page-9-0)} In order to achieve the desired $\text{Li}_{x+3}\text{P}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x\text{S}_{4-4x}\text{O}_{4x}$ stoichiometry, one requires that $N^S = 4 \cdot N^P$ and $N^O = 4 \cdot N$ ^{Ge}, with N^i representing the atom count of species *i*. This necessitates the selection of four S/O atoms (per formula unit) during each SG-CMC sampling event. We adapted the SG-CMC code to meet this requirement, following the flowchart shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S9 of the Supporting [Information.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) Details regarding simulation cell size, chemical potential μ , and phase boundary analysis are in the [SI](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf).

In [Figure](#page-4-0) 4, four distinct single-phase regions are identified and labeled as β , ϵ , θ , and λ . The β phase corresponds to Li₃PS₄, and the λ phase corresponds to Li₄GeO₄. [Figure](#page-4-0) 4 reveals the ϵ phase located at $x = 0.375$, which appears stable at a low temperature ~100 K. The θ phase at $x = 0.5$ is associated with Pc $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$. Biphasic regions and domes are represented by colored shapes separating the single-phase regions. The two-phase regions identified are (*i*) $\beta + \epsilon$ (violet dome), existing in the composition range of 0 < *x* < 0.375 and below 825 K; (ii) ϵ + θ (orange dome), spanning the composition range of $0.375 < x < 0.5$ and temperature lower than ∼624 K; and (*iii*) a biphasic region *θ* + *λ* (aqua shape)

Figure 4. Predicted temperature−composition phase diagram for the Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* system, derived from SG-CMC simulations based on the CE model.

dominating a substantial range of compositions 0.5 < *x* < 1.0 and temperatures.

The single-phase Li-rich region—the *λ* phase—extends over a narrow composition range and is observed at temperatures > 480 K. Below that temperature and at $x = 1$, the λ phase retains the characteristics of Li_4GeO_4 . In contrast, the Li, Ge, and O solubilities into the β phase (Li₃PS₄) appear much more pronounced. The *θ* phase, corresponding to the *Pc* $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ compound at $x = 0.5$, exhibits remarkable stability over a substantial temperature range. As the temperature increases, Li, Ge, and O solubility increases in the *θ* phase, eventually leading to a fully mixed phase region of $\theta + \lambda$ at high temperatures. Above 825 K, the β , ϵ , and θ phases merge into a disordered single-phase region with significant Li, Ge, and O solubility.

Figure 5b plots the variation of configurational entropy S_{config} with composition *x* at temperatures $T = 300$, 500, and 800 K, respectively. Results are compared with the vibrational entropy

Figure 5. (a) Vibrational entropy S_{vib} and (b) configurational entropy *S*config at selected temperatures of 300, 600, and 800 K.

 S_{vib} , which has a milder contribution compared to S_{config} , particularly at high temperatures >600 K. At $T = 300$ K, S_{config} shows minima at $x = 0$, 0.375, 0.5, 1.0, consistent with the single-phase regions observed in the phase diagram of Figure 4. With an increase in temperature, S_{config} increases especially at *x* = ∼0.5, suggesting a higher degree of configurational disorder or enhanced mixing in that region.

ELECTROCHEMICAL STABILITY OF $\mathsf{Li}_{x+3}P_{1-x}\mathsf{Ge}_{x}\mathsf{S}_{4-4x}\mathsf{O}_{4x}$

Understanding the oxygen solubility in $Li₃PS₄$ is primarily aimed at expanding the electrochemical stability windows of these solid electrolyte (SE) materials. To predict the stability windows, we constructed a grand-potential phase diagram in the Li−P−Ge−S−O composition space, following previous studies.[52](#page-9-0)−[55](#page-9-0) Polymorphs for all known elements and binary, ternary, quaternary, and quintenary compounds within this space were computed using starting structures from the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database.[56](#page-9-0) The Li−P−Ge−S−O phase diagram was further enriched by incorporating the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ structures of [Figure](#page-1-0) 1.

From the perspective of thermodynamic equilibrium, the electrochemical stability window of an SE is determined by the range of the Li chemical potential $μ_{Li}$ within which the SE material remains stable against electrochemical transformations at electrode interfaces during cycling. Given a compound of interest, e.g., Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*x*, open to a Li reservoir, the relevant energy descriptor is the grand potential thermodynamic function, Φ (compound, μ _{Li}) = *G*(compound) n_{Li} [compound] μ_{Li} . Here, *G*(compound) is the Gibbs free energy of the compound approximated by the DFT total energy $E(\text{compound})$, and $n_{Li}[\text{compound}]$ is the number of Li atoms within the compound. By adjustment of μ_{Li} in a suitable range, the stable compounds can be identified by computing the lower envelope of the grand-potential Φ (compound, $\mu_{\rm Li}$) function. At a given μ_{Li} , it is convenient to define the grandpotential energy difference, ΔE_d , between the compound investigated and its phase equilibria, resulting in ΔE_d (compound, μ_{Li}) = [$\dot{\Phi}$ (equilibria, μ_{Li}) – Φ (compound, μ_{Li})]/*N*_{non−Li}, where *N*_{non−Li} is the number of nonlithium atoms in the compound.

Considering Li/Li⁺ as the reference electrode, μ_{Li} directly correlates with the voltage according to $\phi = -\frac{\mu_{Li}}{zF}$ in Volts (V), where *z* is the number of electrons transferred, *F* is the Faraday constant, and μ_{Li} is referenced to the chemical potential of Li metal. By definition, a stable SE resists both Li extraction (oxidation at high voltage/low potential) and Li insertion (reduction at low voltage/high potential) within its stability window. Ideally, good electrolytes should demonstrate a cathodic stability limit as close as possible to $\phi_{CL} = 0.0 \text{ V}$ vs Li/Li⁺ when in contact with a negative electrode material, and an anodic stability limit $\phi_{AL} > 4.5$ V vs Li/Li⁺ against the positive electrode material. The voltage range between the cathodic and anodic limits sets the stability window of the SEs.

The electrochemical stability windows for several compounds are shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 6. Detailed reaction equations at critical voltages can be found in Table S10 of the [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf). As expected, the oxide-based materials, Li_4GeO_4 and $Li₃PO₄$, have significantly wider electrochemical stability windows $(2.28 \text{ and } 3.57 \text{ V} \text{ vs } \text{Li/Li}^+)$ in comparison to their sulfide counterparts, Li₄GeS₄ (∼0.59 V) and Li₃PS₄ (∼0.43 V). The Li₇PO₄GeS₄ identified at composition $x = 0.5$ exhibits a

Figure 6. Electrochemical stability windows for Li_4GeS_4 , Li_4GeO_4 , Li₇PO₄GeS₄, Li₄PS₄, and Li₃PO₄. The color bar indicates the magnitude of the decomposition reactions Δ*E*^d expressed in kJ mol[−]¹ . The left and right vertical dashed lines within each bar denote the cathodic limit (reduction potential) and anodic limit (oxidation potential).

stability window of ∼0.84 V vs Li/Li+ , which is more than ∼0.4 V higher than $Li₃PS₄$.

As illustrated in Figure 6, the cathodic limits $\phi_{CL}(Li_3PS_4) \sim$ 2.105 > ϕ_{CL} (Li₇GePS₄O₄) ~ 1.765 > ϕ_{CL} (Li₄GeO₄) ~ 1.231 V vs Li/Li⁺, whereas a reverse trend is observed for the anodic limit ϕ_{AL} , which is 2.538 < 2.603 < 3.516 V vs Li/Li⁺, for $Li₃PS₄$, $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$, and $Li₄GeO₄$, respectively. Given that half of the tetrahedra in the $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ structure are PO_4^{3-} ions, the robust P−O bonds coupled with the higher electronegativity of oxygen likely impart stability of this SE at lower voltages or higher voltages compared to $Li₃PS₄$. However, Ge is more readily reduced than P under similar conditions. This fact is supported by the low standard reduction potentials for Ge E° (\hat{Ge}^{4+} + $4e^{-}$ \rightarrow Ge) \approx 0.124 V vs SHE.³⁷ The wider stability window of ~3.57 V vs Li/Li⁺ for Li₃PO₄ compared to 2.28 V for Li_4GeO_4 supports this observation. Therefore, substituting P^{5+} with Ge⁴⁺ will reduce the stability window of compounds along the Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* tie-line. This could explain why the window width of $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ (0.84 V vs Li/ Li+) does not show a pronounced enhancement, falling in between Li_3PS_4 (0.43 V) and Li_4GeO_4 (2.28 V).

■ **LI⁺ MOBILITY IN Li***x***+3P1**−*x***Ge***x***S4**−**4***x***O4***^x*

To assess Li-ion diffusion in $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ and understand its connection to its parent phases, $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₄GeO₄$, we conducted *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations. Computed Arrhenius plots of Li-ion conductivities vs inverse of temperature are shown in Figure 7.

Across the temperature explored, the computed Li-ion conductivities follow the order $Li_4GeO_4 \ll Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ < Li₃PS₄. With a substantial ionic conductivity of ~30.76 mS cm⁻¹ at 573 K (\sim 300 °C), the extrapolated activation energy of ∼0.31 eV of β-Li₃PS₄ appears in agreement with previous reports as 0.20−0.50 eV.^{[41](#page-9-0),38,[59](#page-9-0)} In the absence of experimental data for comparison to the *Pnma* Li₄GeO₄ phase, we computed an activation energy of ∼0.26 eV and conductivity of 1.33 mS cm^{-1} at 573 K. Although Li₇PO₄GeS₄ displays a modest activation energy (0.35 eV), its ionic conductivity at 573 K is approximately 1 order of magnitude higher $(13.31 \text{ mS cm}^{-1})$ compared to that of Li_4GeO_4 .

Figure 7. Plots of the simulated ionic conductivity of $Li₃PS₄$ (purple), $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ (orange), and $Li₄GeO₄$ (green). The lines represent the best linear fit to the calculated data.

Li-ion trajectories of [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S10, shown as a superposition of MD snapshots, reveal appreciable Li-ion mobility in all three materials. While the precise sequence of individual ion displacement is not reported, it is evident that Li-ion diffusion in the *Pnma* structure primarily occurs along migration pathways formed by the 8*d*, 4*c*, and 4*d* sites. Notably, for all materials, the most frequent Li-ion conduction pathways reside within the *ac* plane (bottom panel of [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S10), consistent with prior findings of low migration barriers along the *a* and *c* axes in β -Li₃PS₄.^{[41](#page-9-0)} Comparing the Li-ion trajectories along the *c* axis (top panel in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S10) in $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ demonstrates qualitatively similar spatial distributions. How-ever, as highlighted in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S10, Li-ion trajectories in $Li₃PS₄$ predominantly follow "straight" paths between the homoge- meous PS_4^{3-} layers, whereas Li-diffusion channels in $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ appear more tortuous because of the alternating environment set by ordered arrangements of PO_4^{3-} and GeS_4^{4-} tetrahedra. Qualitatively, this may explain the reduced Li-ion conductivity in $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ compared to Li_3PS_4 . The analysis also reveals that Li ions preferentially "agglomerate" closer to the GeS⁴⁻ groups compared to PO_4^{3-} , with each S atom coordinated by ∼1.34 Li ions and each oxygen atom by ∼0.82 Li ions, respectively. In Li_4GeO_4 , Li-ion trajectories are predominantly confined to localized regions, suggesting constrained Li diffusion due to narrow migration channels between GeO^{4−} blocks.

■ **DISCUSSION**

Oxysulfide SEs represent a promising class of materials for allsolid-state batteries, integrating the merits of oxide and sulfide SEs to achieve the desired electrolyte performance. The theoretical design of oxysulfide SEs typically involves partially substituting oxygen (O) atoms within known sulfide (S)-based SE frameworks. However, the triple atomic substitutions, as seen in the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ system entailing Li/Va, Ge/ P, and O/S exchanges, undoubtedly present a significant leap in complexity in material design.

Due to the high configurational degrees of freedom of the Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* system, many symmetrically inequivalent atomic orderings emerge at intermediate compositions. This study developed an extended Ising Hamiltonian, as implemented in the cluster expansion model, to find possible stable phases along the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ tie-line.

The new stable phase $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ identified along the Li_{x+3}P_{1−*x*}Ge_{*x*}S_{4−4*x*}O_{4*x*} tie-line at composition *x* = 0.5 (see [Figure](#page-1-0) 1) is characterized by a framework of equimolar PO_4^{3-} and GeS⁴⁻ tetrahedral moieties forming regular geometric patterns. Surprisingly, Li₇PO₄GeS₄ encompasses the exchange of cations; S atoms from Li_3PS_4 and O atoms from Li_4GeO_4 are swapped between P and Ge cations. The thermodynamic stability of the $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ phase over a wide range of temperatures was further confirmed by the predicted phase diagram of [Figure](#page-4-0) 4. However, if synthesizable, the formation of mixed tetrahedral polyanions in $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$ cannot be definitively ruled out, particularly under high-temperature synthesis conditions. The phase diagram also indicates that, at *T* > 825 K, the three single phases, *β*, *ϵ*, and *θ*, will mix through an order−disorder phase transition into a disordered single phase. Beyond 1200 K, it is plausible to expect a progressive narrowing of the biphasic region until the $\theta + \lambda$ phase boundary closes, resulting in the confluence of all four single phases (*β*, *ϵ*, *θ*, and *λ*) into a single disorder phase. These findings imply that high-temperature synthesis might facilitate the incorporation of Li, Ge, and O atoms into $Li₃PS₄$. Furthermore, fast quenching of high-temperature phases may preserve the materials in a disordered single phase with potentially higher ionic conductivities than highly ordered phases.

Progressing from Li_3PS_4 to $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ and to Li_4GeO_4 , the interplay between sulfur-to-oxygen and phosphorus-to-germanium substitutions reveals intricate effects of the electrochemical stability of these SEs. Indeed, the partial substitution of S with O from Li_3PS_4 (0.43 V vs Li/Li^+) to $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ $(0.84 \text{ V} \text{ vs } \text{Li}/\text{Li}^+)$ props open the stability window by over 0.4 V. This enhancement can likely be attributed to the higher electronegativity of O atoms and stronger P−O bonds. Nonetheless, given the lower reduction potential of Ge compared to P, the stability window $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ is not significantly enhanced compared to 2.28 V vs Li/Li⁺ for Li_4GeO_4 . Therefore, the computed intermediate values for the stability window of $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ reflect the combined effects of S and O substitutions and Ge substitutions.

To further investigate the arrangement of tetrahedra species, PO^{3−} + GeS^{4−}, in *β*-Li₇PO₄GeS₄ (obtained from *β*-Li₃PS₄), we extended our investigation to an ordered *γ*-Li₇PGeS₄O₄ obtained from the *Pmn*2₁ *γ*-Li₃PS₄ structure. From the analysis of the 140 distinct configurations, we identified the lowestenergy *γ*-Li₇PO₄GeS₄ structure ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S4b) that is characterized by a *P*1 symmetry and lies ∼1.89 meV/atom higher than its β -Li₇PO₄GeS₄ analogue. Similarly to β -Li₇PO₄GeS₄, the γ variant also includes pure PO₄⁻ and GeS₄⁻ tetrahedra moieties. The orientation of all the tetrahedral apexes in *γ*-Li3PS4 is uniformly *T*+ (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S4a), whereas in *γ*-Li₇PO₄GeS₄ all GeS^{4–} tetrahedra orient as *T*+, and all PO^{3–} are *T*−. This difference can be linked to the substitution of S^{2−} with O^{2−} in *γ*-Li₃PS₄, where oxygen anions form shorter and stronger bonds with P^{5+} than sulfur and likely alter the spatial configuration of the PO_4^{3-} tetrahedra compared to that of GeS_4^4 . The role of tetrahedral orientation and dynamics on Li ion diffusion may be inferred from previous studies for the *β* and *γ* polymorphs of Li₃PS₄.^{[59](#page-9-0),[60](#page-9-0)} However, the impact of these factors on the β and γ Li₇PO₄GeS₄ structures is currently elusive.

It is important to compare $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$, templated on the LGPS-type Li₇GePS₈ SE material,^{[20,](#page-8-0)[61](#page-9-0)} with the β -Li₇PO₄GeS₄ structure identified in this study. The experimental structure of $Li₇GePS₈$ exhibits tetragonal $P4₂/nmc$ symmetry with atomic positions similar to those in LGPS. Upon analyzing 150 unique $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$ orderings modeled on the $Li₇GePS₈$ structure, we found that the lowest-energy LGPS-Li₇PO₄GeS₄ is ∼7 meV/ atom higher in energy compared to its β -Li₇PO₄GeS₄ analogue. Pure $\overline{P}\overline{O}_4^{3-}$ and $\overline{G}\overline{e}S_4^{4-}$ tetrahedral units are recurrent in the LGPS-Li₇PO₄GeS₄ structure [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf) S5), with all tetrahedra apexes uniformly oriented within the structure. While it is not yet clear whether $LGPS-Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ retains the high ionic conductivity of Li₇GePS₈ (~10 mS·cm⁻¹),^{[61](#page-9-0)} so far, results suggest that controlling the processing conditions that favor specific tetrahedral orientations may improve the stability or ionic conductivities of the resulting $Li₇PO₄GeS₄SE$.

In the stable structures of Li₇PGeS₄O₄, templated on β and *γ*-Li₃PS₄, and the LGPS-type Li₇GePS₈ phases, there is a consistent preference of pure PO_4^{3-} and GeS_4^{4-} tetrahedra over the occurrence of mixed O^{2-} and S^{2-} moieties. Indeed, homogeneous PO_4^{3-} and GeS_4^{4-} tetrahedra units are highly symmetric compared with mixed moieties, thereby minimizing the lattice strain of the system. Speculatively, mixed tetrahedra that combine fractions of the O and S anions on the same cations could increase the vibrational entropy of the system, facilitating the miscibility of $Li₃PS₄$ and $Li₄GeO₄$ at high temperatures and potentially lowering the temperatures of phase transitions. Our calculations also demonstrate the strong electrostatic stabilization imparted by forming pure tetrahedra moieties, which results in a remarkable enthalpic stabilization of lower-energy orderings (see [Figure](#page-1-0) 1).

Furthermore, O atoms tend to favor P^{5+} centers over Ge^{4+} cations due to the stronger P−O covalent bonds than P−S. This hypothesis is supported by the favorable reaction $Li_4GeO_4 + Li_4PS_4 \rightarrow Li_4GeS_4 + Li_4PO_4 -20.26$ kJ mol⁻¹ (where each structure is in the *Pnma* symmetry). The interplay between the distribution of Li ions and polyanion tetrahedra, not examined here, could also influence the overall stability of the $Li₇PGeS₄O₄$ structure. However, the recurrent presence of pure PO_4^{3-} and GeS_4^{4-} tetrahedral moieties in those low-energy Li₇PO₄GeS₄ structures provides electrostatic stabilization of these mixed P/Ge and O/S phases.

The ionic conductivity of $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ shows a consistent increase compared to Li4GeO4, specifically ∼10 times higher at 573 K. This enhancement is likely attributed to the half substitution of the more polarizable and larger S^{2-} anions, which weakens Li−S bonding and expands the Li-ion diffusion pathways, thus facilitating Li ion mobility within the spaces formed by the ordered $P\overline{O}_4^{3-}$ and GeV_4^{4-} tetrahedra framework. Furthermore, as shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 7, the ionic conductivity of $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ converges toward that of Li_3PS_4 at elevated temperatures. This possibly suggests that the influence of the mixed anion $(PO_4^{3-}$ and $Ge5_4^{4-}$) sublattice on Li-ion mobility in $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ is mitigated at higher temperatures due to increased thermal energy, which may overcome the differences in local bonding environments.

■ **CONCLUSION**

In summary, this study provided a comprehensive thermodynamic investigation of the mixing of phosphate and the thiophosphate polyanion in Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* solid electrolytes. The model builds upon a robust multiscale approach based on first-principles calculations, a complex coupled-

cluster expansion framework, and large-size Monte Carlo simulations, thus capturing the configurational disorder of GeO $^{4-}_{4}$ and PS³⁻ mixing. The interplay of vibrational and configurational entropy terms on the mixing of these polyanion systems was considered explicitly, demonstrating that phonon contributions have only a minor effect on the solubility of PS^{3-}_4 in GeO^{4–}. In the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ tie-line, we identified a new thermodynamically and dynamically stable mixed phase Li₇PO₄GeS₄. Surprisingly, Li₇PO₄GeS₄ displays GeS^{4–} and PO_4^{3-} tetrahedra formed by an exchange of sulfur atoms initially bound to pentavalent phosphorus in PS_4^{3-} units—with oxygen atoms from the GeO $_4^{4-}$ groups. In Li₇PO₄GeS₄, PO³⁻ and GeS4 ⁴[−] tetrahedral moieties order, forming regular geometric patterns. The electrochemical stability windows of $\rm Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ $(0.84 \ V \ vs \ Li/Li^+)$ lie in between $\rm Li_3PS_4$ $(0.43 \ V)$ and Li_4GeO_4 (2.28 V). The mild increase in the stability window of $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$ compared to Li_4GeO_4 was associated with the facile reduction of Ge^{4+} to metallic Ge. First-principles molecular dynamics simulations reveal a clear trend in Li-ion conductivity: $Li_3PS_4 > Li_7PO_4GeS_4 > Li_4GeO_4$. This highlights the influence of anion composition and structure on Li-ion transport, with the oxysulfide $Li₇PO₄GeS₄$ offering a promising compromise between the sulfide's high conductivity and the oxide's stability. The results of this investigation highlight the structural complexity of the phase space of polyanion systems for lithium and sodium solid electrolytes, which deserves more experimental and theoretical investigations.

■ **METHODS**

Calculations of this investigation were based on density-functional theory (DFT) ,^{[62](#page-9-0)} as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package $(VASP)$.^{[63,64](#page-9-0)} The core electrons were described using the projector augmented wave (PAW) formalism.^{[65](#page-9-0)} The "Strongly Constrained and Appropriately Normed Semilocal Density Functional" (SCAN) meta-GGA semilocal functional was employed to approximate the exchange and correlation functional in DFT.^{[66](#page-9-0)} In contrast to generalized-gradient approximation functionals, the SCAN functional addresses the issue of overbinding in the O_2 and S_2 molecules, thereby providing more accurate formation energies for oxides and sulfides. The PAW potentials used include: Li_{sv} 10Sep2004 1*s* 2 2*s* 1 , P 06Sep2000 3*s* 2 3*p*³ , Ged03Jul2007 3*d*104*s* 2 4*p*² , S 06Sep2000 3*s* 2 3*p*⁴ , and O 08Apr2002 2*s* 2 2*p*⁴ .

This investigation starts with the construction of a set of crystalline compounds with the general formula $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$, where $x =$ 0 and 1 correspond to the end-member compositions, i.e., $Li₃PS₄$ and Li4GeO4. Intermediate compositions were explored by setting an interval of $\Delta x = 0.125$. As the composition *x* decreases along the $Li_{x+3}P_{1-x}Ge_xS_{4-4x}O_{4x}$ tie line, Li vacancies are introduced as Ge^{IV} atoms are progressively replaced by pentavalent P^V atoms, leading to configurations with various arrangements (orderings) of Li/ Vacancies(Va), Ge/P , and S/O . The sheer number of possible orderings in the Li_{x+3}P_{1−x}Ge_xS_{4−4x}O_{4x} system is managed with the
Python library Pymatgen.^{[67](#page-9-0)} The classical Ewald energy of unrelaxed configurations was computed by assigning formal charges to each atomic species (Li¹⁺; Ge⁴⁺ and P⁵⁺; and S^{2−} and O^{2−}, respectively). This approach identified 1,472 representative initial configurations with relatively "low" classical Ewald energies. The total number of unique Li*x*+3P1[−]*x*Ge*x*S4[−]4*x*O4*^x* configurations over the whole composition range was further reduced to 1,021 after eliminating symmetrical equivalent structures. The DFT total energies for these geometrically optimized (atomic positions, cell shape, and volume) orderings were obtained by using plane-wave expansions up to an energy cutoff of 520 eV. The first Brillouin zone was integrated by using a Γ-centered Monkhorst−Pack homogeneous sampling with a step of 0.5 Å^{-1} (or smaller) in each lattice direction. The convergence

threshold for changes in the DFT total energy was set to 10^{-5} eV/cell. Forces on the atoms were considered converged within 10[−]² eV/Å.

To simulate the Li-ion migration, *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) calculations were performed in canonical NVT ensemble enabled by a Nosé−Hoover thermostat.^{[68](#page-9-0),[69](#page-9-0)} 1 × 2 × 2 supercells were constructed by expanding the conventional cells of $Li₃PS₄$, $Li_7PO_4GeS_4$, and Li_4GeO_4 , containing 128, 136, and 144 atoms, respectively. To reduce computational costs, the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof⁷⁰ was employed as the exchange-correlation functional, the energy cutoff was reduced to 420 eV, and the total energy was integrated at the Γ point. Simulations were conducted at 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 K temperatures with an integration step of 1 fs and simulations times longer than 100 ps at each temperature.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267?goto=supporting-info).

> Calculated lattice parameters for all structures, details regarding the cluster expansion and Monte Carlo simulations, information on free energy integration, phonon dispersion curves, and details of the *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations [\(PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267/suppl_file/cm4c01267_si_001.pdf)

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Pieremanuele Canepa − *Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Texas Center for Superconductivity, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204, United States; Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, 117575, Singapore;* ● [orcid.org/0000-0002-5168-9253;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5168-9253) Email: pcanepa@uh.edu

Authors

- Yan Li − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, 117575, Singapore*
- Zeyu Deng − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, 117575, Singapore;* ● orcid.org/0000-0003-0109-9367
- Chuyang Chen − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, 117575, Singapore*

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c01267?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

P.C. acknowledges funding from the National Research Foundation under his NRF Fellowship NRFF12-2020-0012, Singapore. P.C. acknowledges a Robert Welch professorship at the Texas Center for Superconductivity. Z.D. acknowledges the support from his Lee Kuan Yew Postdoctoral Fellowship 22-5930-A0001 and the Ministry of Education, Singapore, under the Academic Research Fund Tier 1 (FY2024). The computational work was performed on resources of the National Supercomputing Centre, Singapore [\(https://www.](https://www.nscc.sg) [nscc.sg\)](https://www.nscc.sg). This work also used the computational resources of the supercomputer Fugaku provided by the RIKEN Centre for Computational Science under the "Fugaku Projects via

National Supercomputing Centre Singapore" and through the HPCI System Research Project (Project ID: hp230188).

■ **REFERENCES** (1) Goodenough, J. B.; Park, K.-S. The Li-ion [rechargeable](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3091438?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) battery: a [perspective.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3091438?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 1167−1176.

(2) Nitta, N.; Wu, F.; Lee, J. T.; Yushin, G. Li-ion battery [materials:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2014.10.040) [present](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2014.10.040) and future. *Mater. Today* 2015, *18*, 252−264.

(3) Li, M.; Lu, J.; Chen, Z.; Amine, K. 30 years of [lithium-ion](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201800561) [batteries.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201800561) *Adv. Mater.* 2018, *30*, 1800561.

(4) Quartarone, E.; Mustarelli, P. [Electrolytes](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cs00081g) for solid-state lithium rechargeable batteries: recent advances and [perspectives.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cs00081g) *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, *40*, 2525−2540.

(5) Ye, T.; Li, L.; Zhang, Y. Recent progress in solid [electrolytes](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202000077) for energy storage [devices.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202000077) *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2020, *30*, 2000077.

(6) Tan, S.-J.; Zeng, X.-X.; Ma, Q.; Wu, X.-W.; Guo, Y.-G. [Recent](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-018-0011-2) advancements in [polymer-based](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-018-0011-2) composite electrolytes for rechargeable lithium [batteries.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-018-0011-2) *Electrochemical Energy Reviews* 2018, *1*, 113− 138.

(7) Kato, Y.; Hori, S.; Saito, T.; Suzuki, K.; Hirayama, M.; Mitsui, A.; Yonemura, M.; Iba, H.; Kanno, R. High-power [all-solid-state](https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.30) batteries using sulfide superionic [conductors.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.30) *Nature Energy* 2016, *1*, 16030.

(8) Li, Y.; Song, S.; Kim, H.; Nomoto, K.; Kim, H.; Sun, X.; Hori, S.; Suzuki, K.; Matsui, N.; Hirayama, M.; et al. others A [lithium](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add7138) superionic conductor for [millimeter-thick](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add7138) battery electrode. *Science* 2023, *381*, 50−53.

(9) Sakuda, A.; Hayashi, A.; Tatsumisago, M. [Sulfide](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02261) solid electrolyte with favorable mechanical property for [all-solid-state](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02261) lithium [battery.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02261) *Sci. Rep.* 2013, *3*, 2261.

(10) Wu, J.; Liu, S.; Han, F.; Yao, X.; Wang, C. [Lithium/sulfide](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202000751) allsolid-state batteries using sulfide [electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202000751) *Adv. Mater.* 2021, *33*, 2000751.

(11) Zhu, Y.; Mo, Y. Materials design [principles](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202007621) for air-stable [lithium/sodium](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202007621) solid electrolytes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 17472−17476.

(12) Lu, P.; Wu, D.; Chen, L.; Li, H.; Wu, F. Air [stability](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-022-00149-3) of solidstate sulfide batteries and [electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-022-00149-3) *Electrochemical Energy Reviews* 2022, *5*, 3.

(13) Yersak, T. A.; Zhang, Y.; Hao, F.; Cai, M. [Moisture](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2022.882508) Stability of Sulfide Solid-State [Electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2022.882508) *Frontiers in Energy Research* 2022, *10*, 882508.

(14) Deng, Z.; Mishra, T. P.; Mahayoni, E.; Ma, Q.; Tieu, A. J. K.; Guillon, O.; Chotard, J.-N.; Seznec, V.; Cheetham, A. K.; Masquelier, C.; et al. Fundamental [investigations](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32190-7) on the sodium-ion transport properties of mixed polyanion solid-state battery [electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32190-7) *Nat. Commun.* 2022, *13*, 4470.

(15) Takada, K.; Osada, M.; Ohta, N.; Inada, T.; Kajiyama, A.; Sasaki, H.; Kondo, S.; Watanabe, M.; Sasaki, T. [Lithium](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2005.03.023) Ion [Conductive](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2005.03.023) Oxysulfide, Li3PO4−Li3PS4. *Solid State Ionics* 2005, *176*, 2355−2359.

(16) Hayashi, A.; Komiya, R.; Tatsumisago, M.; Minami, T. [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(02)00313-2) of Li₂S−SiS₂−Li₃MO₃ (M = B, Al, Ga and In) Oxysulfide Glasses and Their [Application](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(02)00313-2) to Solid State Lithium [Secondary](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(02)00313-2) Batteries. *Solid State Ionics* 2002, *152*−*153*, 285−290.

(17) Hayashi, A.; Yamashita, H.; Tatsumisago, M.; Minami, T. [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(02)00077-2) of $Li_2S-SiS_2–Li_xMO_y$ (M = Si, P, Ge) Amorphous Solid Electrolytes Prepared by [Melt-Quenching](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(02)00077-2) and Mechanical [Milling.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(02)00077-2) *Solid State Ionics* 2002, *148*, 381−389.

(18) Rao, R. P.; Seshasayee, M. [Oxysulfide](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.04.031) Glasses xLi2O−(1 [x\)\(0.6Li2S](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.04.031)−0.4P2S5). *J. Power Sources* 2006, *159*, 258−262.

(19) Gonzalez Malabet, H. J.; Zhang, Y.; Salvador, J. R.; Schmidt, R. D.; Yersak, T. A. Improved Thermal Stability of [Oxysulfide](https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/ad07ff) Glassy Solid-State [Electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/ad07ff) *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2023, *170*, 110510.

(20) Kamaya, N.; Homma, K.; Yamakawa, Y.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R.; Yonemura, M.; Kamiyama, T.; Kato, Y.; Hama, S.; Kawamoto, K.; Mitsui, A. A Lithium Superionic [Conductor.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3066) *Nat. Mater.* 2011, *10*, 682−686.

(21) Hori, S.; Suzuki, K.; Hirayama, M.; Kato, Y.; Kanno, R. [Lithium](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2016.00038) Superionic Conductor $Li_{9,42}Si_{1,02}P_{2,1}S_{9,96}O_{2,04}$ with $Li_{10}GeP_2S_{12}$ -Type Structure in the $Li_2S-P_2S_5-SiO_2$ [Pseudoternary](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2016.00038) System: Synthesis, [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2016.00038) Properties, and Structure−Composition Relation[ships.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2016.00038) *Frontiers in Energy Research* 2016, DOI: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2016.00038?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [fenrg.2016.00038](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2016.00038?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(22) Suzuki, K.; Sakuma, M.; Hori, S.; Nakazawa, T.; Nagao, M.; Yonemura, M.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R. [Synthesis,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2016.02.002) Structure, and [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2016.02.002) Properties of Crystalline Li−P−S−O Solid Electro-lytes: Novel [Lithium-Conducting](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2016.02.002) Oxysulfides of $Li_{10}GeP_2S_{12}$ Family. *Solid State Ionics* 2016, *288*, 229−234.

(23) Kim, K.-H.; Martin, S. W. Structures and [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b00505?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Oxygen-Substituted $Li_{10}SiP_2S_{12-x}O_x$ Solid-State [Electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b00505?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2019, *31*, 3984−3991.

(24) Liu, G.; Xie, D.; Wang, X.; Yao, X.; Chen, S.; Xiao, R.; Li, H.; Xu, X. High Air-Stability and Superior Lithium Ion [Conduction](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2018.07.008) of $Li_{3+3x}P_{1-x}Zn_xS_{4-x}O_x$ by Aliovalent [Substitution](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2018.07.008) of ZnO for All-Solid-State Lithium [Batteries.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2018.07.008) *Energy Storage Materials* 2019, *17*, 266−274.

(25) Banerjee, S.; Zhang, X.; Wang, L. [Motif-based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b01639?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) design of an oxysulfide class of lithium superionic [conductors:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b01639?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) toward improved stability and record-high li-ion [conductivity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b01639?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2019, *31*, 7265−7276.

(26) Lazar, M.; Kmiec, S.; Joyce, A.; Martin, S. [Investigations](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.0c00914?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) into reactions between sodium metal and $Na₃PS_{4-x}O_x$ [solid-state](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.0c00914?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) electrolytes: enhanced stability of the Na₃PS₃O solid-state [electrolyte.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.0c00914?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Acs *Applied Energy Materials* 2020, *3*, 11559−11569.

(27) Chi, X.; Zhang, Y.; Hao, F.; Kmiec, S.; Dong, H.; Xu, R.; Zhao, K.; Ai, Q.; Terlier, T.; Wang, L.; et al. An [electrochemically](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30517-y) stable [homogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30517-y) glassy electrolyte formed at room temperature for all[solid-state](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30517-y) sodium batteries. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, *13*, 2854.

(28) Kmiec, S.; Olson, M.; Kenney, M.; Martin, S. W. [Interpretation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c01934?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the Na⁺ Ionic [Conductivity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c01934?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in $Na_4P_2S_7$ _xO_x Mixed Oxy-Sulfide [Glasses:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c01934?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Effects of Oxygen Doping. *Chem. Mater.* 2022, *34*, 9479− 9491.

(29) Takayanagi, T.; Nasu, A.; Tsuji, F.; Motohashi, K.; Sakuda, A.; Tatsumisago, M.; Hayashi, A. Preparation and [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.2109/jcersj2.22017) of [Na2.88Sb0.88W0.12S4](https://doi.org/10.2109/jcersj2.22017)‑*x*O*^x* Solid Electrolyte. *Journal of the Ceramic Society of Japan* 2022, *130*, 498−503.

 (30) Kuwano, J.; West, A. New Li⁺ ion [conductors](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(80)90249-4) in the system, [Li4GeO4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(80)90249-4)−Li3VO4. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 1980, *15*, 1661−1667.

(31) Hori, S.; Kato, M.; Suzuki, K.; Hirayama, M.; Kato, Y.; Kanno, R. Phase Diagram of the Li₄GeS₄-Li₃PS₄ [Quasi-Binary](https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.13694) System Containing the Superionic Conductor Li₁₀GeP₂S₁₂. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 2015, *98*, 3352−3360.

(32) Sun, Y.; Suzuki, K.; Hori, S.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R. Superionic [conductors:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b00886?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Li^{10+δ}[Sn^ySi^{1−y}]^{1+δ}P^{2−δ}S¹² with a Li¹⁰GeP²S¹²type Structure in the Li³PS⁴-Li⁴SnS⁴-Li⁴SiS⁴ [quasi-ternary](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b00886?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) system. *Chem. Mater.* 2017, *29*, 5858−5864.

(33) Muy, S.; Bachman, J. C.; Chang, H.-H.; Giordano, L.; Maglia, F.; Lupart, S.; Lamp, P.; Zeier, W. G.; Shao-Horn, Y. [Lithium](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b01504?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Conductivity and [Meyer-Neldel](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b01504?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Rule in Li³PO⁴-Li³VO⁴-Li⁴GeO⁴ Lithium Superionic [Conductors.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b01504?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2018, *30*, 5573−5582. (34) Zhao, G.; Suzuki, K.; Yonemura, M.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R. Enhancing fast lithium ion [conduction](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.9b01152?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in $Li^4GeO^4 - Li^3PO^4$ solid [electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.9b01152?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Applied Energy Materials* 2019, *2*, 6608−6615.

(35) Zhao, G.; Suzuki, K.; Seki, T.; Sun, X.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R. High lithium ionic conductivity of *γ*[-Li3PO4-type](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2020.121651) solid electrolytes in Li⁴GeO⁴−Li⁴SiO⁴−Li³VO⁴ [quasi-ternary](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2020.121651) system. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2020, *292*, 121651.

(36) Zhang, L.; Malys, M.; Jamroz, J.; Krok, F.; Wrobel, W.; Hull, S.; Yan, H.; Abrahams, I. Structure and [conductivity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c01222?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in LISICON [analogues](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c01222?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) within the Li⁴GeO⁴-Li²MoO⁴ System. *Inorg. Chem.* 2023, *62*, 11876−11886.

(37) Liu, Z.; Fu, W.; Payzant, E. A.; Yu, X.; Wu, Z.; Dudney, N. J.; Kiggans, J.; Hong, K.; Rondinone, A. J.; Liang, C. [Anomalous](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3110895?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) High Ionic [Conductivity](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3110895?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Nanoporous *β*-Li³ PS4 . *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 975−978.

(38) Hodge, I. M.; Ingram, M. D.; West, A. R. Ionic [conductivity](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1976.tb10985.x) of Li⁴SiO⁴, Li⁴GeO⁴, and their solid [solutions.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1976.tb10985.x) *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 1976, *59*, 360−366.

(39) Wang, C.; Liu, Z.-G.; Lin, P.-P.; Lu, F.-G.; Lin, J.-C.; Shi, Y.-H.; Xu, J.-J.; Xu, X.; Lin, T.-S.; Dong, Y.-K. A novel [promotion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.03.113) strategy for [microstructure](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.03.113) and electrical performance of garnet electrolytes via Li4 GeO⁴ [additive.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.03.113) *Ceram. Int.* 2023, *49*, 19905−19915.

(40) Homma, K.; Yonemura, M.; Kobayashi, T.; Nagao, M.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R. Crystal Structure and Phase [Transitions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2010.10.001) of the Lithium Ionic [Conductor](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2010.10.001) Li3 PS4 . *Solid State Ionics* 2011, *182*, 53− 58.

(41) Lepley, N. D.; Holzwarth, N. A. W.; Du, Y. A. [Structures,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.104103) Li+ Mobilities, and Interfacial Properties of Solid [Electrolytes](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.104103) $\rm Li^3PS^4$ and Li3 PO4 from First [Principles.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.104103) *Phys. Rev. B* 2013, *88*, 104103.

(42) Kaup, K.; Zhou, L.; Huq, A.; Nazar, L. F. [Impact](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TA02805C) of the Li [Substructure](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TA02805C) on the Diffusion Pathways in Alpha and Beta $\rm Li^3PS^4$: An *in Situ* High [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TA02805C) Neutron Diffraction Study. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 2020, *8*, 12446−12456.

 (43) Völlenkle, H.; Wittmann, A. Die [Kristallstruktur](https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.1969.128.16.66) von Li⁴GeO⁴. *Zeitschrift fu*̈*r Kristallographie - Crystalline Materials* 1969, *128*, 66−71.

(44) Dubey, B.; West, A. Crystal [Chemistry](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902(73)80060-0) of $Li⁴XO⁴$ Phases: X = Si, [Ge,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902(73)80060-0) Ti. *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* 1973, *35*, 3713− 3717.

(45) Hofmann, R.; Hoppe, R. Ein neues [Oxogermanat:](https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19875551213) $Li⁸GeO⁶ =$ $\rm Li^8O^2[GeV^4].$ (Mit einer [Bemerkung](https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19875551213) über $\rm Li^8SiO^6$ und $\rm Li^4GeO^4).$ *Zeitschrift fu*̈*r anorganische und allgemeine Chemie* 1987, *555*, 118−128.

(46) Rodger, A. R.; Kuwano, J.; West, A. R. Li+ ion [conducting](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(85)90002-5) g*amma* solid [solutions](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(85)90002-5) in the systems $Li^{4}XO^{4}-Li^{3}YO^{4}$: $X = Si$, Ge, Ti; $Y = P$, As, V; Li⁴XO⁴-LiZO²: Z = Al, Ga, Cr and Li⁴GeO⁴-Li2 [CaGeO](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(85)90002-5)⁴ . *Solid State Ionics* 1985, *15*, 185.

(47) Togo, A.; Chaput, L.; Tadano, T.; Tanaka, I. [Implementation](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/acd831) strategies in phonopy and [phono3py.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/acd831) *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 2023, *35*, 353001.

(48) Sanchez, J. M.; Ducastelle, F.; Gratias, D. [Generalized](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(84)90096-7) cluster description of [multicomponent](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(84)90096-7) systems. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 1984, *128*, 334−350.

(49) Puchala, B.; Thomas, J. C.; Natarajan, A. R.; Goiri, J. G.; Behara, S. S.; Kaufman, J. L.; Van Der Ven, A. CASM − A [Software](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2022.111897) Package for First-Principles Based Study of [Multicomponent](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2022.111897) [Crystalline](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2022.111897) Solids. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 2023, *217*, 111897.

(50) Deng, Z.; Sai Gautam, G.; Kolli, S. K.; Chotard, J.-N.; Cheetham, A. K.; Masquelier, C.; Canepa, P. Phase [behavior](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c02695?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in [rhombohedral](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c02695?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) NaSiCON electrolytes and electrodes. *Chem. Mater.* 2020, *32*, 7908−7920.

(51) Xie, F.; Zhong, P.; Barroso-Luque, L.; Ouyang, B.; Ceder, G. [Semigrand-canonical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2022.112000) Monte-Carlo simulation methods for chargedecorated cluster [expansions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2022.112000) *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 2023, *218*, 112000. (52) Richards, W. D.; Miara, L. J.; Wang, Y.; Kim, J. C.; Ceder, G. Interface Stability in [Solid-State](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b04082?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Batteries. *Chem. Mater.* 2016, *28*, 266−273.

(53) Xiao, Y.; Wang, Y.; Bo, S.-H.; Kim, J. C.; Miara, L. J.; Ceder, G. [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-019-0157-5) Interface Stability in Solid-State Batteries. *Nature Reviews Materials* 2020, *5*, 105−126.

(54) Butler, K. T.; Sai Gautam, G.; Canepa, P. [Designing](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-019-0160-9) Interfaces in Energy Materials Applications with [First-Principles](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-019-0160-9) Calculations. *npj Computational Materials* 2019, *5*, 19.

(55) Chen, T.; Ceder, G.; Sai Gautam, G.; Canepa, P. [Evaluation](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00024) of Mg [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00024) as Coating Materials in Mg Batteries. *Frontiers in Chemistry* 2019, DOI: [10.3389/fchem.2019.00024.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00024?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(56) Hellenbrandt, M. The [Inorganic](https://doi.org/10.1080/08893110410001664882) Crystal Structure Database [\(ICSD\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/08893110410001664882) − Present and Future. *Crystallography Reviews* 2004, *10*, 17− 22.

(57) Silberberg, M. S. *Principles of General Chemistry*, 2nd ed.; McGraw-Hill Education: New York, 2010.

(58) Tachez, M.; Malugani, J.; Mercier, R.; Robert, G. [Ionic](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(84)90097-3) Conductivity of and Phase Transition in Lithium [Thiophosphate](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(84)90097-3) [Li3PS4.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(84)90097-3) *Solid State Ionics* 1984, *14*, 181−185.

(59) Forrester, F. N.; Quirk, J. A.; Famprikis, T.; Dawson, J. A. [Disentangling](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02637?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) cation and anion dynamics in $\mathrm{Li}^{3}\mathrm{PS}^{4}$ solid electrolytes. *Chem. Mater.* 2022, *34*, 10561−10571.

(60) Zhang, Z.; Li, H.; Kaup, K.; Zhou, L.; Roy, P.-N.; Nazar, L. F. Targeting superionic [conductivity](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2020.04.027) by turning on anion rotation at room [temperature](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2020.04.027) in fast ion conductors. *Matter* 2020, *2*, 1667−1684. (61) Kuhn, A.; Duppel, V.; Lotsch, B. V. [Tetragonal](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ee41728j) Li¹⁰GeP²S¹² and Li⁷GePS⁸ – Exploring the Li Ion Dynamics in LGPS Li [Electrolytes.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ee41728j) *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2013, *6*, 3548.

(62) Kohn, W.; Sham, L. J. [Self-Consistent](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133) Equations Including Exchange and [Correlation](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133) Effects. *Phys. Rev.* 1965, *140*, A1133− A1138.

(63) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative [schemes](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) for ab initio [total-energy](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* 1996, *54*, 11169−11186.

(64) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft [pseudopotentials](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758) to the projector [augmented-wave](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758) method. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, *59*, 1758− 1775.

(65) Blochl, P. E. Projector [augmented-wave](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953) method. *Phys. Rev. B* 1994, *50*, 17953−17979.

(66) Sun, J.; Ruzsinszky, A.; Perdew, J. P. Strongly [Constrained](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.036402) and [Appropriately](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.036402) Normed Semilocal Density Functional. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2015, *115*, No. 036402.

(67) Ong, S. P.; Richards, W. D.; Jain, A.; Hautier, G.; Kocher, M.; Cholia, S.; Gunter, D.; Chevrier, V. L.; Persson, K. A.; Ceder, G. Python Materials Genomics [\(pymatgen\):](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2012.10.028) A robust, open-source python library for [materials](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2012.10.028) analysis. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 2013, *68*, 314−319.

(68) Nosé, S. A unified formulation of the constant [temperature](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.447334) [molecular](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.447334) dynamics methods. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1984, *81*, 511−519.

(69) Hoover, W. G. Canonical dynamics: [Equilibrium](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695) phase-space [distributions.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695) *Phys. Rev. A* 1985, *31*, 1695.

(70) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. [Generalized](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) gradient [approximation](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) made simple. *Physical review letters* 1996, *77*, 3865− 3868.