

Decoding Composition–Structure–Performance Relations in Sodium Layered Oxide Positive Electrode Materials

Yunyeong Choi^{1,2}, Hasindhi H. Lokuge^{1,3}, Joshua D. Bocarsly^{1,3}, and Pieremanuele Canepa^{1,2,4*}

¹Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

³Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

*e-mail: canepa@purdue.edu

Abstract

Layered sodium transition-metal (TM) oxides, Na_xTMO_2 , are among the most promising sodium-ion positive electrode materials, yet their Na/vacancy orderings, layer stacking sequences, and cooperative Jahn–Teller distortions are known only for a few single-TM compounds. We compile the Na/vacancy orderings reported in earlier studies into a single library of 41 unique orderings and apply this uniformly to five single-TM and all ten $\text{Na}_x\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ oxides (TM = Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni), screening more than 120,000 structures with machine-learning interatomic potentials prior to 1,025 accurate first-principles calculations. The phase diagrams explained the observed O3/P3/O1 sequences and voltages, and the O3-to-P3 competition hinges on ordering energies of a few meV per atom that scalar descriptors, such as the cationic potential and the interlayer-slab distance, miss. Our extensive analysis reveals that the redox couples form a nearly partner-independent ladder, $\text{Ti}^{3+/4+} < \text{Mn}^{3+/4+} < \text{Co}^{3+/4+} < \text{Ni}^{2+/3+} < \text{Fe}^{3+/4+}$, explaining pristine charge transfer and the oxidation sequence. Van Vleck analysis of every TMO_6 octahedron reveals that TM mixing suppresses the cooperative Jahn–Teller distortions of Mn^{3+} and Ni^{3+} through charge transfer to Jahn–Teller-inactive states or dilution of Jahn–Teller-active centers. We formulate an energy-density-versus-strain map that yields a design rule: a high-voltage couple without Jahn–Teller strain, which $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ charge transfer realizes in $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, the most practical composition. We release the ordering-resolved dataset as a training set for subsequent training of accurate machine-learning interatomic potentials.

Introduction

Sodium (Na)-ion batteries (NIBs) are a leading low-cost complement to lithium (Li)-ion batteries for stationary energy storage and entry-level mobility. Among NIB positive-electrode materials (hereafter referred to as cathode materials), polyanionic compounds—including phosphates, such as $\text{Na}_3\text{V}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ ^{1–5}, and $\text{Na}_3\text{V}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2\text{F}_3$ ^{6–8}—as well as Prussian blue analogs^{9,10} and layered transition-metal (TM) oxides, Na_xTMO_2 , are prominent candidates. Na_xTMO_2 stand out for their high gravimetric and volumetric capacities, high operating voltages, good processability, and compatibility with established lithium-ion-battery electrode manufacturing platforms^{11–16}.

Selecting transition-metal compositions that deliver both high energy density and robust capacity retention still relies heavily on chemical intuition, and discovering improved cathode materials remains an active area of research^{11–13,17–21}. In particular, the P2-, O3-, and P2/O3 hybrid-type stackings (or P2-, O3-phases) in Delmas notation²² are among the most promising material families^{12,17}. As illustrated in **Figure 1**, P and O denote prismatic or octahedral Na coordination between the TMO_2 slabs, and the digits 2 and 3 indicate the number of TMO_2 slabs in the repeat unit²². In general, P2-phases provide higher sodium-ion mobility, whereas O3-phases offer higher sodium density, reflected in higher gravimetric capacities due to their complete sodiation^{12,17}.

Unlike their lithium counterparts, layered Na_xTMO_2 often undergo reversible stacking transformations during sodium cycling, such as O3–P3 or P2–O2 transitions¹⁷. These transformations arise because the larger Na ions (compared to Li) can arrange into both octahedral and prismatic coordination sites, while gliding of the TMO_2 slabs interconverts these Na environments. In TMO_2 , transition-metal-layer gliding is primarily governed by the degree of sodiation and is coupled to Na/vacancy (Na/Vac) ordering, transition-metal charge ordering within the TM layers, and lattice distortions, such as Jahn–Teller (JT) distortions of the TMO_6 octahedra^{23–29}. The resulting highly “stepped” voltage profiles and anisotropic strain during

desodiation drive capacity fade. Thus, these phase transitions or anisotropic strain need to be mitigated for commercial use^{22,30–33}. Because TM composition governs both the stacking sequence and Na/Vac ordering, rational TM selection requires identifying the stable stacking arrangements and ordering patterns at each Na composition.

Previous experimental and theoretical studies of O3 phases have resolved Na/Vac orderings of a handful of single-transition-metal layered materials, including Na_xVO_2 , Na_xCrO_2 , Na_xMnO_2 , Na_xCoO_2 , and Na_xNiO_2 ^{23,26,27,29,34–37}. In-depth experiments and simulations often report Na/Vac orderings for specific compositions and conditions, making results hard to compare or transfer and complicating hypothesis development for improving these materials^{23,35–40}. While detailed structural studies of Na/Vac ordering remain essential for designing improved layered-oxide cathode materials, the available data remain highly fragmented across the literature, underscoring the need for a comprehensive and systematic assessment. Furthermore, mixed-TM O3 compounds, which make up most practical NIB cathode materials, have been characterized electrochemically, but to our knowledge their Na/Vac orderings have never been computationally enumerated within a single consistent framework^{41,42}. This study addresses these limitations through a systematic, comparative assessment of Na/vacancy ordering across layered sodium transition-metal oxide cathodes and its influence on the materials' electrochemical behavior.

To address this gap, we consider the three stackings defined in **Figure 1**, O1, O3, and P3, which differ in their oxygen stacking sequences: ABAB, ABCABC, and ABBCCA, respectively. Targeting high-capacity NIB cathodes, we limit the complex Na/Vac and TM ordering problem to these three stacking sequences. During cycling, O3 cathodes belong to a glide-connected structural family that includes P3 and O1 phases. O3 phases convert to P3 by a simple translation of the TMO_2 slabs by $(1/3, 2/3, 0)$ without breaking any TM-O bonds^{22,43}. O1 sits at the other end of the family, since it becomes competitive only when the Na layer is nearly empty at the end of charge^{23,27}. We do not consider P2-type phases because the transformation from O3 to P2

requires breaking TM-O bonds and occurs only at synthesis temperatures. In this sense, P2 phases are not electrochemically connected to the O3 family^{13,22}. Hybrid stacks of O- and P-type layers, like P2-O3 intergrowths or staged O1-O3 phases, are not considered here because their long repeating units are too complex for systematic Na/Vac order sampling with current computational capabilities.




Figure 1. Three-layer stacking models of Na_xTMO_2 in the Delmas notation²²: (a) O1, (b) O3, and (c) P3, with the oxygen stacking sequence labeled on each panel. Blue: TMO_6 octahedra forming TMO_2 slabs; red: O; yellow: Na; arrows: interslab distance $d(\text{O-Na-O})$ and $d(\text{O-TM-O})$. Bottom row: in-plane Na site networks. The orderings in (b) and (c) are one example each of the many possible Na/Vac orderings (filled/open circles: occupied/vacant sites; red outline: unit cell; all orderings in **Figures S1 and S2**). The O1 phase is shown as an empty network, as it appears only near full desodiation.

This work integrates Na/Vac orderings from prior studies into a single library and calculates them using consistent computational settings across the Na layered oxide family. From the energetics of each system, we construct the phase diagram at 0 K, also known as the convex hull, which identifies stable structures that may feature as distinct steps in voltage profiles. These phase diagrams identify the enthalpically stabilized orderings, the preferred stacking sequence

(O1, O3, or P3), and the corresponding equilibrium voltage profile. They distinguish stable ground-state phases from entropy-stabilized phases that may also be observed experimentally. On this basis, we build a uniform map of the O1/O3/P3 family for five single-TM and all ten equimolar binary $\text{Na}_x\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ systems (TM, TM' = Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni), which are an attractive choice from a commercial perspective, and comprehensively evaluate voltages and stable Na/Vac orderings, oxidation states and thermodynamic stability, Jahn–Teller distortions, an energy-density-versus-strain design map, and physical and chemical descriptors of the stacking.

Our computed voltage profiles explained the experimentally observed average voltages for specific $\text{Na}_x\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ across the much larger explored compositional landscape. The computed data further clarify each composition's tendency to form P3 phases, its tendency toward specific transition-metal charge ordering, and the extent to which TM mixing suppresses undesired Jahn–Teller distortion—either by charge transfer that stabilizes Mn or Ni in Jahn–Teller-inactive oxidation states or by dilution with Jahn–Teller-inactive cations. We also show that empirical scalar descriptors, such as the cationic potential and the interslab distance, may be inadequate when Na/Vac ordering governs the O3/P3 competition, indicating that more complex models are needed to anticipate these phase transitions.

Results

We performed sodium-content-dependent energy calculations for single-TM and bimetallic $\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}$ (TM, TM' = Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) systems by enumerating transition-metal orderings and sodium-vacancy orderings. We examine how voltage curves, phase transitions, lattice parameters, and volume evolve in layered sodium oxides, then relate these trends to sodium–vacancy ordering, transition-metal redox, and electronic-structure effects such as Jahn–Teller distortions.

Energetics and Structural Evolution of Sodium Transition-Metal Oxides on Desodiation




Figure 2. Predicted voltage profiles and volume and c-axis length changes of single- and mixed-transition-metal Na_xTMO_2 cathodes, with single-metal Na_xTMO_2 arranged along the diagonal, and equimolar $\text{Na}_x\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}\text{O}_2$; TM = Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni as off-diagonal elements. Black lines display 0 K DFT-predicted voltage (left axis); gray dashed lines show the cell volume per Na_xTMO_2 formula unit (right axis); purple lines with circles show the c-axis length per TMO_2 layer, *i.e.*, the stacking period divided by the number of TMO_2 layers in the cell (right axis); red dashed lines illustrate the experimental galvanostatic profiles where available^{13,27,29,41,42,44–48}; where two experimental curves are shown (dashed: charge, dotted: discharge), both are plotted because of the large hysteresis reported in the experiment. Background shading illustrates thermodynamically stable stacking (O1 green, O3 red, P3 blue).

Figure 2 shows the predicted voltages, together with the variations in volume and c-axis length, extracted from 1,025 independent DFT+*U* calculations.

- (i) **Voltage profiles:** In the predicted voltage profiles, each step indicates a stable Na/Vac ordering, and each plateau represents a two-phase region between neighboring orderings. The voltage difference between steps measures the relative stability of adjacent Na/Vac orderings^{21,23,38}. The large, sharp steps in **Figure 2** mark stable Na–vacancy orderings, which likely persist at room temperature, as evidenced by corresponding sharp steps in the experimental voltage profiles, while smaller steps in the computational voltages smear into sloping regions. The predicted average voltages match the measured values for each synthesized compound to within ~0.5 V vs. Na/Na⁺, the accuracy generally quoted for DFT+*U* intercalation voltages⁴⁹. The predicted average open-circuit voltage of the single-TM Na layered oxides increases in the order Ti < Mn < Co < Ni < Fe, with values of 1.67, 3.10, 3.61, 3.77, and 4.11 V vs. Na/Na⁺, respectively. Notably, Fe gives the highest average voltage, likely due to charge transfer from the oxygen ligands. Specifically, oxidizing high-spin d⁵ Fe³⁺ costs the exchange stabilization of its half-filled shell, which pushes the Fe^{3+/4+} level down to the O 2*p* band edge, and the hole forms on O-hybridized states^{47,50}.
- (ii) **Phase stabilities:** In **Figure 2**, the most stable stacking sequence for each Na–vacancy ordering is color-coded by phase: O3 (red), P3 (blue), and O1 (green). For *x* = 1, we find the O3 state to be stable across all compositions except Na₁Co_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂. The O3→P3 transition differs between single- and mixed-TM systems. Among single-TM oxides, Co, Ni, and Ti favor P3 over O3 at intermediate Na contents (0.40 ≤ *x* ≤ 0.67, 0.50 ≤ *x* ≤ 0.67, and only 0.50 ≤ *x* ≤ 0.55, respectively), whereas Mn and Fe remain O3. In mixed-TM systems, P3 phases appear stable in most systems, even when Fe is mixed with Mn, consistent with previous experimental reports^{13,46}. The only mixed-TM system in which P3 ordering appears unstable is Na_{*x*}Ti_{0.5}Co_{0.5}O₂, where the lowest P3 orderings lie within 2 meV/f.u. of the hull (see **Discussion**).

(iii) **Lattice parameters and volume variations:** The c -axis length is given per TMO_2 layer, that is, the stacking-period length divided by the number of TMO_2 layers it contains (three for O3 and P3, one for O1), so the three stackings can be compared on the same footing. Predicted phase diagrams are in **Figures S3** and **S4** of the Supporting Information, and the simulated X-ray diffractograms of all stable Na/Vac orderings are in **Figures S6** and **S7**. Monitoring volume and c -axis changes (gray dashed and purple lines in **Figure 2**) as sodium content decreases is important because these lattice changes determine the strain the electrode must withstand during cycling. The volume shrinks as Na is extracted, but the Na layer's interlayer distance varies with desodiation level. In all systems, before half of the Na content is extracted, the interlayer distance, governed by the c length, mostly increases because decreasing Na content reduces the electrostatic screening between TMO layers. During sodium extraction, the in-plane axes, a and b , contract more as TMs oxidize, causing a slight volume decrease. Complete Na extraction collapses the interlayer distance because no Na remains in the layer.

We contrast the predictive power of our model with existing experimental data for single-TM layered oxides across varying Na compositions. Similar to early predictions for Na_xCoO_2 ²³, our data reproduce the relative stability of O3/P3 phases observed in the experiments by Kubota *et al.*⁴⁵ and predictions by Kaufman *et al.*²³, except for a small O1-O3 stage not considered here. Kaufman *et al.* identified a hierarchy of closely spaced Na–vacancy orderings (JLK_ ζ , JLK_ η , JLK_ δ in **Figures S2** and **S3d**) that form a devil's-staircase-like sequence of ground states, whose antiphase boundaries produce solid-solution-like behavior across the P3 region^{23,51}. However, our results partly overturn the picture proposed by Kaufman. The zigzag P3 Na_xCoO_2 ordering (termed JMAS_ d , see **Figure S2** and **Figure S3d** in SI), based on the experimentally resolved Na/Vac ordering seen in Na_xNiO_2 by Steele *et al.*²⁹, appears more stable than JLK_ δ by 16 meV per

formula unit (meV/f.u.) and replaces it on the phase diagram, while JLK_η at $x = 4/7$ lies 33 meV/f.u. above the stability line (**Figure S3d**).

For Na_xNiO₂, the predicted 0 K phase diagram of **Figure S3e** recovers every experimentally reported ordering except for JMAS_b (**Figure S2**), which lies only 11 meV/f.u. above the stability line, and the calculated voltage curve closely follows the experimentally measured one^{29,37}. Consistently, the measured voltage step at $x = 2/5$, where JMAS_b forms, is only ~0.1 V, compared with ~0.3 V for JMAS_c at $x = 1/2$ and JMAS_d at $x = 2/3$ (**Figure 2**).

In Na_xFeO₂, the O3 phase persists over the whole composition range we computed and over the range accessible in experiment. Experimentally, reversible cycling is limited to $x > 0.5$ (a charge cutoff of ~3.5 V vs. Na/Na⁺). This limit comes from Fe⁴⁺ migrating into the Na layer on desodiation, not from the thermodynamic instability of the O3 host, which is why our calculations keep O3 stable down to $x = 0$ ^{13,52}.

Chen *et al.* reported three Na–vacancy orderings in Na_xMnO₂ at $x = 5/8$, $1/2$, and $1/3$ ²⁷. Our phase diagram recovers two of them as ground states: the Na_{5/8} superstructure (XL_a, **Figure S3b**), including the experimentally observed displacement of Na off its ideal site^{26,27}, and the $x = 1/3$ ordering (XC_a, a monoclinic distortion of AJT_d with the same Na/Vac ordering, labeled AJT_d in **Figure S3b**). The $x = 1/2$ ordering (XC_b, **Figure S3b**) also features partially displaced Na but lies ~45 meV/f.u. (12.9 meV/atom) above the phase-diagram stability line, suggesting this phase is entropy-stabilized during high-temperature synthesis. Below $x = 1/3$, we predict O3 to remain stable, whereas Chen *et al.* observed an O1 phase with residual Na ($x = 1/18$), a composition that our largest supercell cannot capture. Apart from these two discrepancies, all predicted ground states are O3-type ($x = 0, 1/3, 2/5, 5/8, 2/3, 7/8$, and 1), consistent with the O3-derived phases reported across the full composition range²⁷.

Finally, experiments show that Na_xTiO_2 adopts the O3 phase at low voltage (~ 1 V, $x \geq 0.5$)⁴⁴, whereas our calculations predict a narrow P3 window at $0.50 \leq x \leq 0.55$.

We now turn to mixed TM layered oxides and compare our predictions with *ex situ* or *in situ/operando* experiments that tracked O3, P3, and O1 phase evolution during cycling. We begin with the Ni-containing materials, which are important for their high operating voltages (> 4.3 V vs. Na/Na^+ ; **Figure 2**)^{41,42,53,54}. For $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Komaba *et al.* proposed that the as-synthesized O3 phase transforms on charge via $\text{O3} \rightarrow \text{O}'3 \rightarrow \text{P3} \rightarrow \text{P}'3 \rightarrow \text{P3}''$, driven by the $\text{Ni}^{2+}/\text{Ni}^{4+}$ redox couple with Mn^{4+} inactive⁴¹. Later *operando* X-ray diffraction (XRD) by Kubota *et al.* instead resolved $\text{O3} \rightarrow \text{O}'3 \rightarrow \text{P}'3/\text{P3} \rightarrow \text{O}'3 \rightarrow \text{O3}$, with a return to O-type stacking at low Na content⁵³. Our predictions reproduce this sequence (**Figures 2 and S4g**): O3 is stable for $x \geq 0.70$, P3 for $0.50 \leq x \leq 0.67$, and O3 again below $x = 0.33$.

Kubota *et al.* observed that P3 transforms into monoclinic O'3 at $x \approx 0.37$ (interslab distance ~ 5.67 Å), and that the nearly Na-free O3 phase at the end of charge has a much smaller interslab distance of approximately 4.42 Å⁵³. In contrast, the P3'' phase that Komaba *et al.* observed at 4.5 V vs. Na/Na^+ by *ex situ* XRD had an anomalously large interslab distance (~ 7.0 Å), which the authors attributed to solvent co-intercalation rather than a bulk oxide phase⁴¹. Therefore, this phase is not included in our enumeration effort.

For $\text{Na}_x\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, *in situ* bench-quality XRD (Mo K α) by Vassilaras *et al.* resolved several O3/P3 two-phase regions on charge⁴². The pristine O3 phase distorts to a monoclinic O'3 structure at $x \approx 0.96$ and retains O-type stacking down to $x \approx 0.84$. P-type stacking then represents a broad range of sodium compositions $0.43 \leq x \leq 0.72$, evolving from monoclinic P'3 (0.66–0.72) to hexagonal P3 (0.58–0.66) and to distorted P''3 (0.43–0.58). At $x \approx 0.41$ (~ 3.8 V vs. Na/Na^+), a P''3–O'3 two-phase reaction restores O3-type stacking, which persists to the end of charge ($x \approx 0.36$). Our phase diagram reproduces this $\text{O3} \rightarrow \text{P3} \rightarrow \text{O3}$ sequence, with O3 stable for $0.75 \leq x \leq 0.89$, P3 for $0.40 \leq x \leq 0.67$, and O3 at $x = 0$. The one exception is $x = 1$, where we favor P3

over the lowest-energy O3 ordering by 25 meV/f.u., whereas the as-synthesized material is O3. However, this composition is accessed only through synthesis, since re-sodiation to 2 V vs. Na/Na⁺ stops near $x \approx 0.85$ in O'3, which we also predict to be O3⁴². Therefore, we suggest that the O3 phase forms during high-temperature synthesis, when slab-glide transformations are thermally active, and entropy can favor O3. The O3 structure is then retained at room temperature, where glide is kinetically frozen, and the small 0 K preference for P3 cannot be realized.

Among the Fe-containing oxides, Na_xFe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}O₂ and Na_xFe_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ have the most complete structural records. For Na_xFe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}O₂, Kubota *et al.* combined *operando* XRD, *ex situ* synchrotron XRD, and neutron diffraction⁴⁵. During charge, they observed O3 for $x \geq 0.87$, an O3 + P3 two-phase region down to $x \approx 0.64$, and P3 that distorts to P'3 near $x \approx 0.56$ and forms a Na–vacancy ordering at $x = 1/2$, marked by a voltage jump. O3-type stacking returns for $0.30 \leq x \leq 0.39$, after which Fe migration ends the reversible window⁴⁵. Our phase diagram largely reproduces this sequence: O3 at $x = 1$, P3 for $0.57 \leq x \leq 0.67$, O3 at $x = 0.5$, P3 at $x = 0.43$, O3 for $0.25 \leq x \leq 0.33$, and P3 at $x = 0$, where P3, O3, and O1 lie within ~17 meV/f.u. of one another. The main discrepancy is at $x = 0.5$: experiment retains the ordered P'3 phase, whereas we predict the ordering in O3, with the lowest P3 variant only ~16 meV/f.u. (4.6 meV/atom) higher in energy.

For Na_xFe_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂, *in situ* XRD by Vassilaras *et al.* shows a direct O3 → P3 transition with no monoclinic distortion: an O3 + P3 two-phase region spans a Na composition region $0.62 \leq x \leq 0.76$, and single-phase P3 persists to the end of the reversible window at $x \approx 0.34$ ⁴². Our phase diagram (**Figure S4i**) reproduces this sequence, with O3 stable for $x \geq 0.67$ and P3 for $0.43 \leq x \leq 0.55$. Below this, we predict a return to O3 ($0.25 \leq x \leq 0.33$) and O1 at $x = 0$, but both lie beyond the reversible window, which closes at 3.9 V vs. Na/Na⁺ when Fe⁴⁺ migrates into the Na layer⁴².

In both Fe-containing mixed oxides, the reversible window extends well past the $x \approx 0.5$ limit of Na_xFeO₂, to $x \approx 0.30$ for Fe–Co and $x \approx 0.34$ for Fe–Ni, increasing their practical

capacities^{13,42,45,52}. The stacking sequences, lattice strain, and Na–vacancy orderings discussed so far cannot explain this extension because they do not indicate which transition metal oxidizes first, and hence when the Fe^{4+} that triggers migration appears. In the next section, we extract the oxidation state of each transition metal from the computed magnetic moments and track its evolution during desodiation.

Oxidation states and charge ordering of the transition metals

Beyond revealing the order in which the transition metals are oxidized as Na extraction progresses, the oxidation states help explain the phase transitions, which depend on the local charge environment established by the Na–vacancy arrangement and the transition metals. We assign each transition-metal ion the oxidation state whose typical magnetic moment best matches its computed value: $3.9 \mu_B$ for Mn^{3+} and $3.1 \mu_B$ for Mn^{4+} , $4.3 \mu_B$ for Fe^{3+} and $3.9 \mu_B$ for Fe^{4+} , $0.0 \mu_B$ for low-spin Co^{3+} and $1.1 \mu_B$ for Co^{4+} , and 1.8, 1.2, and $0.2 \mu_B$ for Ni^{2+} , Ni^{3+} , and Ni^{4+} , while Ti^{4+} carries no magnetic moment. These reference values are the mean computed moments of the ions in the hull-stable structures of single-TM oxides. They differ from the spin-only values of the formal d counts because the on-site moment is integrated inside the projector augmented-wave sphere of the metal atom, and the covalent TM–O bonds delocalize part of the spin density onto the oxygen ligands. We use the moments rather than other less uniquely defined charges, such as Bader charges, because the moment changes by $0.4\text{--}1.1 \mu_B$ per oxidation step, whereas the Bader charge of a TM ion changes by only $0.1\text{--}0.3 e$, as the same covalency keeps it far from the formal charge^{45,55}. The moment also separates spin states, which a charge cannot. The same moment-based assignment has been used in previous DFT studies of Na layered oxides and polyanionic positive-electrode materials^{4,27,42}.

Figure 3 reports the resulting oxidation states, averaged over all ions of each element in the cell, at selected Na contents during desodiation. Fractional values indicate that two or more oxidation states of the same element coexist, and "n/a" marks compositions where the assigned

states do not satisfy charge neutrality. In **Figure 3**, cell colors show the energy above the stability line (convex hull) relative to competing phases reported in the Materials Project database, and asterisks mark compositions reached electrochemically, allowing direct comparison of thermodynamic stability and electrochemical accessibility. Compositions lying well above the convex hull are metastable or unstable and may decompose into competing phases. However, as the asterisks in **Figure 3** show, many of these phases are still observed in electrochemical experiments, because desodiation is topotactic and decomposition is kinetically impeded at room temperature. Entropy contributions are not included and may further stabilize partially disordered phases^{23,37,56}.




Figure 3. Predicted average TM oxidation states and thermodynamic stability of mixed $\text{Na}_x(\text{TM})_{0.5}(\text{TM}')_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ systems vs. Na content x (two rows per pair: TM top, TM' bottom). Colors show the energy above the convex hull (meV/atom) of each composition relative to the phases in the Materials Project database. Numbers give the average oxidation state of each transition metal, inferred from the DFT on-site magnetic moments; "n/a" marks compositions where the assigned oxidation states violate charge neutrality. Arrows mark redox-active elements during desodiation: blue for oxidation, red for reduction. Asterisks mark stable compositions within the Na range reached by electrochemical (de)sodiation in experiments^{41,42,45–47,53}.

In **Figure 3**, we first consider the fully sodiated compositions ($x = 1$). In the single-TM oxides, every transition metal is trivalent, but in the mixed-TM oxides one metal can transfer an electron to the other. In all four Ti-containing oxides, Ti is oxidized to Ti^{4+} , and its partner is reduced to Mn^{2+} ,

Fe^{2+} , Co^{2+} , or Ni^{2+} . Likewise, Ni is reduced by Mn and Co, giving $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ in $\text{NaMn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and $\text{Co}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ in $\text{NaCo}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$. In the remaining Mn–Fe, Mn–Co, Fe–Co, and Fe–Ni oxides, both metals stay trivalent.

During desodiation, TMs are oxidized sequentially (blue arrows, **Figure 3**). In the Ti-containing oxides and in $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Ti^{4+} or Mn^{4+} remain inactive, and only the divalent partner is oxidized to 3+ at $x = 0.5$ and to 4+ at $x = 0$, respectively. In oxides where both metals start trivalent, one is fully oxidized to 4+ by $x = 0.5$ before the other TM becomes active, in the order Mn before Fe or Co, Co before Fe, and Ni before Fe. The Ni-containing oxides with Fe and Co are more complex, because the partner TM is partly reduced, while Ni is oxidized (red arrows, **Figure 3**).

In $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Fe starts as Fe^{3+} , rises to +3.67 at $x = 2/3$, and returns to +3 at $x = 1/2$. In $\text{Na}_x\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Co decreases from +4 at $x = 1$ to about +3.38 at $x = 3/7$, while Ni is oxidized from +2 to nearly +4. We attribute these changes in the partner metal to Ni–O covalency rather than to a separate redox step: the holes created on charge enter O $2p$ states hybridized with Ni $3d$, which also shifts the magnetic moments of the neighboring Fe and Co^{47,57}.

We contrast the moment-derived oxidation states of TMs with electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS), Mössbauer, and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements, which are available for six of the ten mixed oxides (**Table S1**). In general, our assignments agree well with the experiments.

For $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, experiments confirm the predicted $\text{Ni}^{2+}/\text{Mn}^{4+}$ and $\text{Ni}^{2+}/\text{Ti}^{4+}$ pristine states, with only Ni oxidized on charge and the tetravalent partner inactive^{41,47}. In $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Mn^{3+} is oxidized first and Fe^{3+} only near the end of charge⁴⁶. In $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, the Co K-edge shifts during the first 45% of Na extraction, whereas the Fe K-edge does not shift until one-third of the Na has been removed⁴⁵, consistent with our prediction that Co is oxidized

first. Thus, Co substitution delays the formation of Fe^{4+} , which explains the extended reversible window discussed in the previous section. For $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Mössbauer and X-ray absorption spectroscopy show that Fe remains Fe^{3+} while Ni is oxidized^{47,57}, in agreement with our assignment at $x = 1/2$, where Ni is fully oxidized to Ni^{4+} and Fe is trivalent. The transient Fe oxidation state of +3.67 at $x = 2/3$ likely reflects the Ni–O covalency discussed above rather than a separate Fe redox step, since Fe returns to +3 at $x = 1/2$ while Ni is oxidized further. The only two discrepancies, a uniform $\text{Co}^{3+}/\text{Ni}^{3+}$ rather than $\text{Co}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ state in pristine $\text{NaCo}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and Fe rather than Ni oxidation during the first half of charge of $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, come from the *ex situ* EELS study of Vassilaras *et al.*⁴² and are examined in the Discussion.

Figure 3 shows which metal is oxidized at each Na content, but not why metals oxidize in this order or why electrons transfer between metals in some pristine compounds. To address this, **Figure 4a** splits the predicted voltage of each system into averages over the first ($x = 1 \rightarrow 0.5$) and second ($x = 0.5 \rightarrow 0$) halves of charge, each labeled with its active redox couple from **Figure 3**. Because the open-circuit voltage reflects the energy of the active redox couple relative to Na/Na^+ ⁵⁸, it indicates how far the corresponding TM states lie below the Na Fermi level (**Figure 4b**). A caveat is that this measure also depends on TM–O covalency and electrostatics, which vary with the partner metal and Na content. To minimize this ambiguity, we compare redox couples only within the same half-charge state.




Figure 4. (a) Average voltage of each redox couple over the first (circles) and second (diamonds) halves of charge, calculated from the stable compositions in **Figure 2**. Colors identify the oxidized transition metal, labels give the active redox couple, and the gray band marks the voltage range of the Ni^{2+/3+} couple. (b) Schematic energy diagram of the redox couples relative to the Fermi level of Na metal (Na/Na⁺). Only the depths of the couples are to scale, set by their average first-half voltages from panel (a). $N(E)$ density of states.

Over the first half of charge, the redox couples in **Figure 4a** follow the order Ti^{3+/4+} (1.2 V vs. Na/Na⁺) < Mn^{3+/4+} (2.1–2.8 V) < Co^{3+/4+} (3.0–3.2 V) < Ni^{2+/3+} (3.2–3.6 V) < Fe^{3+/4+} (3.7 V), with the M^{2+/3+} couples of Mn, Fe, and Co at 2.0–2.3 V. This ordering explains the pristine states in **Figure 3**. An electron transfers from one metal to its partner when the first metal's 3+/4+ couple lies at a lower voltage, that is, higher in energy (**Figure 4b**), than the second metal's 2+/3+ couple, because oxidizing the first metal costs less energy than reducing the second gains. Ti^{3+/4+} lies lowest in voltage vs. Na/Na⁺, donating an electron to every partner. Mn^{3+/4+} and Co^{3+/4+} both lie below Ni^{2+/3+}, so Mn or Co donates to Ni, although for Co the margin is small, making the Co⁴⁺/Ni²⁺ assignment in NaCo_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ less certain than the others. In the remaining oxides, no 3+/4+ couple lies at a lower voltage than the partner's 2+/3+ couple, and both metals stay trivalent.

The voltage ordering of the redox couples of **Figure 4a** also explains the redox sequence on charge: redox couples are depleted from low to high voltage, so Mn is oxidized before Co and Fe, Co before Fe, and Ni before Fe, since the $\text{Ni}^{3+/4+}$ couple (3.3–3.5 V vs. Na/Na⁺, see below) also lies at a lower voltage than $\text{Fe}^{3+/4+}$. $\text{Fe}^{3+/4+}$ is always last because removing an electron from high-spin d^5 Fe^{3+} breaks four of its ten parallel-spin pairs, the largest loss of exchange stabilization among the trivalent ions considered here, which pushes the $\text{Fe}^{3+/4+}$ level down to the top of the O 2p band (**Figure 4b**)^{50,57,58}.

Figure 4a also shows that a higher oxidation state does not always give a higher voltage. Over the first half of charge, the $\text{Ni}^{3+/4+}$ couples of Na_xNiO_2 (3.31 V vs. Na/Na⁺) and $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (3.48 V vs. Na/Na⁺) fall within the $\text{Ni}^{2+/3+}$ range (gray band), and the $\text{Ni}^{2+/3+}$ couple of $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (3.62 V vs. Na/Na⁺) lies even higher. This "redox potential paradox" was reported by Nanba *et al.*⁴⁷, who measured a higher average voltage for $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (3.11 V vs. Na/Na⁺) than for $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (2.99 V vs. Na/Na⁺) over the same Na range and attributed the low $\text{Ni}^{3+/4+}$ voltage to the large O 2p contribution (>80%) to this redox couple. We accurately reproduce this paradox, with a voltage difference of 0.14 V between the two compounds compared with 0.12 V in experiment.

Because each redox couple varies little with the partner metal, **Figure 4** can predict the pristine states and redox sequences of compositions not calculated here. In $\text{NaNi}_{1/3}\text{Fe}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{O}_2$, for example, $\text{Mn}^{3+/4+}$ lies below $\text{Ni}^{2+/3+}$, so Mn should donate an electron to Ni, giving a pristine $\text{Ni}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Mn}^{4+}$ state. Since both Ni couples lie below $\text{Fe}^{3+/4+}$, Ni should be oxidized first and Fe only near the end of charge, with Mn^{4+} inactive throughout. This is the sequence observed by XAS: Ni redox dominates up to 4.0 V vs. Na/Na⁺, $\text{Fe}^{3+/4+}$ is activated above this voltage, and Mn remains inactive^{59,60}.

Local Jahn–Teller distortions of the TMO_6 octahedra

TM oxidation states also affect the local structure of the TMO_6 octahedra. Ions with a single e_g electron, high-spin Mn^{3+} ($t_{2g}^3e_g^1$) and low-spin Ni^{3+} ($t_{2g}^6e_g^1$), are Jahn–Teller active and elongate two of their six TM–O bonds. High-spin Fe^{4+} ($t_{2g}^3e_g^1$) is formally Jahn–Teller active as well, but its TMO_6 octahedra distort less because one hole is hybridized with oxygen atoms, whereas Mn^{4+} , Ni^{2+} , Ni^{4+} , low-spin Co^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , and Ti^{4+} retain regular octahedra. When the elongation axes align within the TM layer, the distortion becomes cooperative, lowering the lattice symmetry and introducing anisotropic strain, as in the monoclinic $\text{O}'3 \text{NaNiO}_2$ phase⁶¹. Thus, the redox sequence of **Figure 3** determines where and when Jahn–Teller distortions can occur, which we quantify next for each octahedron in the stable structures (**Figure 5**).

To quantify the distortion of each octahedron, we use the Van Vleck modes Q_2 and Q_3 , derived from the lengths of the three O–TM–O axes⁶². Q_3 is the tetragonal mode, in which one axis elongates and the other two shorten, and Q_2 is the orthorhombic mode, in which one axis elongates, a second shortens, and the third is unchanged. The bond-length distortion of each octahedron can thus be mapped onto the (Q_2, Q_3) plane in **Figure 5**, where the radius $\rho = (Q_2^2 + Q_3^2)^{1/2}$ gives the distortion magnitude, and the polar angle $\varphi = \arctan(Q_2/Q_3)$ gives its type. Octahedra elongated along one axis lie at $\varphi = 0^\circ, 120^\circ$, or 240° (dotted lines), and those compressed along one axis lie at $\varphi = 60^\circ, 180^\circ$, or 300° . Because the same reference axes are used for all octahedra in a structure, octahedra elongated along the same direction appear at the same angle. Because ρ is not an intuitive quantity, we added **Figure S5** to complement the Van Vleck analysis with the mean axial-to-equatorial bond-length ratio for each element as a function of Na content.




Figure 5. Q_2 – Q_3 Van Vleck distortion modes of the TMO_6 octahedra in the stable structures of all fifteen systems, arranged as in **Figure 2**. Each point is one octahedron, with radius ρ (Å) giving the distortion magnitude and angle ϕ its type. The schematic (top right) shows the O–TM–O axes every 30° of ϕ (outward arrows: elongation; inward: compression; dots: unchanged); dotted lines ($\phi = 0^\circ, 120^\circ, 240^\circ$) mark elongation along each axis. Colors denote oxidation state and markers the element; gray (n/a) marks structures where oxidation states violate charge neutrality.

Figure 5 shows that the distortion of each octahedron is governed mainly by the oxidation state of its transition metal: only ions with a single e_g electron distort strongly. These are high-spin d^4 Mn^{3+} ($t_{2g}^3 e_g^1$, yellow squares) and low-spin d^7 Ni^{3+} ($t_{2g}^6 e_g^1$, yellow inverted triangles), which generally cluster near $\phi = 0^\circ$ with finite ρ , the signature of coherent tetragonal elongation. All 99 Mn^{3+} octahedra are distorted ($0.21 \leq \rho \leq 0.48$ Å), followed by the 101 Ni^{3+} octahedra ($0.06 \leq \rho \leq 0.31$ Å), whereas Mn^{4+} , Ni^{4+} , Co^{3+} , and Co^{4+} remain nearly regular ($\rho < 0.08$ Å). Of the 387

octahedra with $\rho \geq 0.1$ Å, 315 (81%) are elongated along a single axis, with the two long TM–O bonds *trans* to each other, as expected for a Jahn–Teller distortion. Moreover, φ deviates from the ideal tetragonal value by only $\sim 2^\circ$ on average for Mn^{3+} and $\sim 7^\circ$ for Ni^{3+} , confirming nearly pure tetragonal elongation along one axis. The calculated Jahn–Teller distortions also match experiment. For example, the calculated Mn–O bond lengths in NaMnO_2 are 1.96 Å (4 $\times$) and 2.38 Å (2 $\times$), and the calculated Ni–O bond lengths in NaNiO_2 are 1.92 Å (4 $\times$) and 2.18 Å (2 $\times$). The refined Mn–O bond lengths of NaMnO_2 (1.94 and 2.39 Å)⁶³ and the splitting of the Ni–O bond lengths in NaNiO_2 (0.24 Å at room temperature)⁶¹ correspond to $\rho = 0.52$ Å and 0.28 Å, which are close to our values of 0.48 Å and 0.30 Å.

High-spin d^4 Fe^{4+} carries the same single e_g electron. In Na_xFeO_2 , the Fe^{4+} octahedra (red triangle) at $x = 0$ and $x = 0.5$ are elongated with $\rho \approx 0.25$ Å, which is comparable to that of Ni^{3+} . However, in the mixed-TM oxides, the Fe^{4+} distortion is smaller ($\rho = 0.12$ Å on average) and shows no preferred type, meaning compressed and elongated octahedra occur in equal numbers. This agrees with the non-cooperative Jahn–Teller distortion of high-spin Fe^{4+} , inferred from the large Debye–Waller factor of the Fe–O bonds in the EXAFS analysis of P2-type $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ ⁴⁶. Ti^{4+} is JT-silent and responds only “elastically” to its distorted neighbors. For example, in $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Ti^{4+} is compressed by up to $\rho = 0.15$ Å along the elongation axis of the neighboring Mn^{3+} . Co is JT-silent, since its electronic state is mostly low-spin d^6 Co^{3+} or d^5 Co^{4+} , except at the sodiated end of $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, where the Ti^{4+} spectator makes Co^{2+} (blue diamond) the analog of Ni^{3+} . The computed moments of $\approx 1 \mu_B$ at $x = 1$ indicate low-spin d^7 Co^{2+} , and its single e_g electron drives a cooperative elongation with $\rho = 0.36$ Å, larger than the ρ of Ni^{3+} in NaNiO_2 (0.30 Å).

Because φ identifies the elongation axis of each octahedron, **Figure 5** also reveals how the Jahn–Teller distortions can order. In the stable structures, the elongated axes form rows within the TM layer that are either all parallel “collinear” (*i.e.*, a single φ) or alternate between two

directions, “zig-zag” (two ϕ values)²⁸. In Na_xMnO_2 and Na_xNiO_2 , at every Na content, all elongated octahedra in each structure fall on the same dotted line in **Figure 5**, indicating collinear ordering. At intermediate Na composition, this collinear ordering persists, but mixed-valence charge ordering can divide the layer into Jahn–Teller-active and -inactive sites. At $x \leq 1/2$, in Na_xMnO_2 , Chen *et al.* observed complete charge separation, with Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+} stripes forming alternating superplanes across successive MnO_2 layers²⁷.

Even for the same ion, the distortion magnitude can vary widely ($\rho = 0.21\text{--}0.48$ Å for Mn^{3+} and $0.06\text{--}0.31$ Å for Ni^{3+} , in **Figure 5**), a spread linked to the collinear ordering described above. The magnitude of the distortion is not a fixed property of the ion, since it increases with the number of neighbors elongated in the same direction (ordering labels as in **Figures S3 and S4**). As the fraction of the JT-active ion increases with x , ρ of Mn^{3+} increases from 0.28 Å at $x = 1/3$ to 0.48 Å at $x = 1$ in Na_xMnO_2 , and ρ of Ni^{3+} increases from 0.18 Å at $x = 1/3$ to 0.30 Å at $x = 1$ in Na_xNiO_2 . For Mn^{3+} , ρ is 0.28 Å in $\text{Na}_{1/3}\text{MnO}_2$ (AJT_d) and 0.30 Å in $\text{Na}_{1/4}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (AJT_b), where none of the six TM neighbors is elongated along the same axis, but 0.43 Å in $\text{Na}_{7/8}\text{MnO}_2$ (AJT_q) and 0.48 Å in NaMnO_2 (JMAS_e), where all six neighbors are elongated along the same axis. Ni^{3+} follows the same trend, from 0.18 Å in $\text{Na}_{1/3}\text{NiO}_2$ and 0.21 Å in $\text{Na}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (both XC_a) to 0.30 Å in NaNiO_2 (JMAS_e). Thus, about 40% of the distortion in NaMnO_2 and NaNiO_2 arises from cooperative alignment with neighboring octahedra, while the remaining ~60% is intrinsic to the individual Jahn–Teller ion.

Mixing transition metals suppresses the Jahn–Teller distortion through two distinct mechanisms. First, charge transfer between the two metals can eliminate the Jahn–Teller-active $3+$ state altogether. In $\text{NaMn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and $\text{NaTi}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, for example, the sodiated state adopts $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ rather than two trivalent ions, so neither metal is Jahn–Teller active and the strong distortions of the NaMnO_2 and NaNiO_2 endmembers largely disappear. On charge, Ni passes through the Jahn–Teller-active Ni^{3+} redox state only at intermediate Na contents, where

Ni^{3+} octahedra are interleaved with rigid Mn^{4+} (or Ti^{4+}) octahedra. Therefore, the distortion is transient and vanishes once Ni is oxidized to Jahn–Teller-inactive Ni^{4+} , as shown in **Figure S5** (displaying ρ against Na content). However, charge transfer does not always suppress the distortion. In $\text{NaTi}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, it produces low-spin Co^{2+} ($t_{2g}^6 e_g^1$), which is itself Jahn–Teller active, so the sodiated endmember distorts. This low-spin state is, however, exceptional: at $x = 5/6$, four of the six Co^{2+} ions are high-spin, and experiment assigns high-spin Co^{2+} to the pristine O3 phase⁴⁸. Second, even when the Jahn–Teller-active ion remains, diluting it with an inactive metal disrupts cooperativity. In $\text{NaMn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and $\text{NaMn}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Mn remains trivalent at high Na content, but Jahn–Teller-inactive Fe^{3+} or Co^{3+} octahedra separate the Mn^{3+} octahedra, reducing the average ρ of Mn^{3+} from 0.48 Å in NaMnO_2 to 0.21 and 0.25 Å, respectively.

Furthermore, in $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, and $\text{Na}_x\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, the Ni^{3+} (low-spin d^7) and Fe^{4+} (high-spin d^4) octahedra do not elongate along a common axis: fewer than half of the distorted octahedra ($\rho \geq 0.1$ Å) lie within 10° of a pure tetragonal elongation (dotted lines in **Figure 5**), compared with all of them in Na_xMnO_2 and Na_xNiO_2 . The remaining octahedra are compressed or intermediate between elongation and compression. Therefore, Ni^{3+} and Fe^{4+} show no preferred elongation axis in these mixed oxides, so the cooperative Jahn–Teller distortion is lost and only a local distortion remains (average $\rho = 0.13\text{--}0.19$ Å). This suppression should reduce the anisotropic lattice strain during cycling. For example, pristine $\text{NaNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ is rhombohedral, and the monoclinic O'3 and P'3 phases that form on charge show in-plane distortions, defined as the mismatch between $a/\sqrt{3}$ and b of the monoclinic cell, of only $\sim 1.5\%$ and $\sim 0.5\%$, respectively⁴¹. By comparison, the same distortion, calculated from reported lattice parameters, is $\sim 8\%$ in NaNiO_2 and $\sim 15\%$ in NaMnO_2 ^{29,63}.

Discussion

Our first-principles results for single-transition-metal Na layered oxides show only minor disagreements with experimental reports, such as the narrow P3 window of $\text{Na}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_2$ ⁴⁴ and O3

rather than O1 at the deeply desodiated MnO_2 endpoint²⁷. In both cases, the energy difference is only a few meV/f.u.; at $\text{Na}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_2$, the stable P3 ordering lies only 4.8 meV/f.u. below the lowest O3 ordering, and at MnO_2 , O1 lies 8.2 meV/f.u. above O3. Kinetic effects can easily overcome such small energy differences. Note that the $\text{O3} \leftrightarrow \text{P3}$ phase transition has been observed to proceed by a nucleation-limited two-phase glide, so the O3 phase can persist during cycling where P3 is the equilibrium phase^{23,25,29,51}.

For $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, the predicted and measured voltages in **Figure 2** differ appreciably. However, the O3 phase shows a ~ 1 V hysteresis between charge and discharge⁴⁶. The measured charge curve in **Figure 2** lies ~ 0.7 V above our prediction, placing the predicted equilibrium voltage between the charge and discharge curves⁴⁶. Given this uncertainty, a direct comparison is difficult; nonetheless, the predicted phase diagrams (**Figures S3** and **S4**) provide the equilibrium reference for identifying metastable pathways in experiments. $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ is a similar case. Maletti *et al.* synthesized both its O3 and P3 phases and observed the $\text{O3} \rightarrow \text{P3}$ transformation on desodiation, but with a large voltage hysteresis (**Figure 2**) that they attribute to kinetics⁴⁸. Since the computed O3–P3 energy difference is marginal (within 2 meV/f.u. at $x = 1/2$ and $2/3$), this kinetically controlled transformation cannot be compared directly with our equilibrium hull. DFT inaccuracies aside, the predicted phase diagrams describe the 0 K enthalpic ground states. Configurational entropy, accessed by Na/vacancy and TM disorder, and vibrational entropy can shift the balance among closely energy-spaced orderings at finite temperature, and the ordering-resolved energies compiled in this paper provide the reference data needed to quantify these effects in future work.

Where experimental oxidation-state assignments for the TM redox reactions differ from our predictions, the experiments are themselves not fully consistent. Our Co–Ni (in $\text{Na}_x\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$) and Fe–Ni (in $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$) assignments differ in part from those of Vassilaras *et al.*⁴² For Co–Ni, our magnetic moments suggest a disproportionated $\text{Co}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ discharged state,

whereas their DFT and EELS results support a uniform $\text{Co}^{3+}/\text{Ni}^{3+}$ charge distribution. For Fe–Ni, Vassilaras *et al.* assign the first half of the charge to Fe oxidation, whereas our simulations indicate that Ni carries the redox activity and Fe is trivalent again at $x = 1/2$, after the transient +3.67 at $x = 2/3$ that we attributed to Ni–O covalency in the Results. However, consistent with our prediction for $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, Nanba *et al.* later showed with Mössbauer and X-ray absorption spectroscopies that Fe^{3+} is retained through half desodiation, with the holes entering hybridized Ni–O states^{47,57}. We consider this result more reliable, as EELS probes only local regions of cathode particles, whereas Mössbauer and XAS average over the entire bulk. Nonetheless, our result partly agrees with the EELS picture at the beginning of charge, since the transient +3.67 of Fe at $x = 2/3$ indicates that part of the holes reside on the Fe–O bonds before Ni is fully oxidized. For Co–Ni, the open question is whether the sodiated state is uniformly trivalent or charge-transferred to $\text{Co}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$. If the charge transfer fluctuates in time, as recently resolved for the Ni charge order in $\text{Na}_{2/3}\text{NiO}_2$, time-averaged spectra cannot distinguish the two pictures; settling the question will require local probes sensitive to dynamics, such as NMR, total scattering, or inelastic spectroscopies³⁷.

By comparing predicted phase stability, voltages, oxidation states, and Jahn–Teller distortions with experiments, we evaluate the fifteen Na_xTMO_2 systems as positive electrode materials. A practical positive electrode requires both a high energy density, set by the voltage of the active redox couples (of **Figures 2** and **4**) and the capacity, and a small volumetric strain during cycling, governed by the phase transitions and Jahn–Teller distortions of the host (**Figures 2** and **5**). **Figure 6** captures this trade-off by plotting the two quantities against each other during desodiation (charging), starting from the fully discharged state ($x = 1$), which is assigned zero energy density and zero strain. At each stable sodium composition (**Figures S3** and **S4**), the gravimetric energy density is the integral of the predicted voltage profile from $x = 1$ to that composition, and the peak volumetric strain is the largest volume change relative to $x = 1$ reached

up to that composition; both quantities are therefore cumulative and cannot decrease along a curve. The fully desodiated end member ($x = 0$) is excluded from **Figure 6** for all fifteen systems, as it undergoes a large volume contraction that is impractical for reversible cycling.




Figure 6. Estimated gravimetric energy density versus peak volumetric strain for all fifteen systems in **Figure 2** (circles: single TM; diamonds: double, mixed TM). We integrate the energy density over the computed voltage profile from $x = 1$ to the lowest-Na stable composition with $x > 0$, and we take the peak strain along the same desodiation path. The fully desodiated end member ($x = 0$) is excluded because of its large volume contraction. Colored markers with black outlines, connected by the dashed line, form the Pareto front.

Figure 6 places four systems on the Pareto front (black-outlined markers and dashed line): Na_xFeO_2 , $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, and $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$. The front contains the non-dominated compositions, *i.e.*, those for which no other composition among the fifteen systems offers a higher energy density at equal or lower volumetric strain; every other composition is dominated by at least one point on the front. Thus, these four systems offer the best available

compromise between energy density and volumetric strain. Note that the Pareto front ranks compositions by energy density and strain alone and does not account for whether they can be reached reversibly in real experiments.

We use **Figure 6** to identify which transition-metal combinations appear feasible in terms of volume strain and energy density. In general, Fe and Ni carry the highest-voltage redox couples in both the single-TM and mixed-TM oxides (**Figure 4a**), so Fe- and Ni-based systems achieve the largest integrated energy densities. Na_xFeO_2 retains O3 stacking with only 0.6% strain, whereas the P3-forming $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, and $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ accumulate 1.0%, 3.7%, and 5.5% strain, respectively. Strains of this magnitude matter in practice because they increase voltage hysteresis, limit rate capability, and drive capacity fade³⁰.

However, Fe-based layered materials come with a caveat. Fe^{4+} formed at deep states of charge is prone to irreversible migration into the Na layer and therefore limits the material's theoretical energy density^{13,42,52}. As described in the Results, the reversible cycling of Na_xFeO_2 is limited to $x > 0.5$, and the reversible window extends only to $x \approx 0.3$ even when Fe is mixed with Co or Ni ($x \approx 0.30$ for $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and $x \approx 0.34$ for $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$), since Co and Ni are oxidized before Fe, and thus only delay the formation of Fe^{4+} ^{42,45}. In contrast, the curves of **Figure 6** continue to $x = 1/3$ for Na_xFeO_2 and to $x = 1/4$ for the two TM-mixed systems. When the curves are ended at the stable compositions closest to practical limits ($x = 1/2$ for Na_xFeO_2 and $x = 1/3$ for the two mixtures), the energy density decreases from 625 to 446 Wh kg⁻¹ for Na_xFeO_2 , from 626 to 541 Wh kg⁻¹ for $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, and from 672 to 579 Wh kg⁻¹ for $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$. In **Figure 6**, $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ appears particularly promising: the two-electron $\text{Ni}^{2+}/\text{Ni}^{4+}$ couple provides both a high voltage and, with $\frac{1}{2}$ Ni per formula unit, the full Na capacity, while Ti^{4+} remains redox-inactive and Jahn–Teller-silent⁴⁷. However, the accumulated strain in $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, which remains below 0.2% down to $x = \frac{1}{2}$, increases abruptly to 5.5% in the second half of the charge,

so extracting the full Na content is likely difficult in practice. Consistently, only about half of the Na is extracted in experiment (**Figure 2**), which limits the energy density to 448 Wh kg^{-1} at $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

Except for $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, in which Mn remains JT-silent Mn^{4+} , Mn-rich systems are displaced from the Pareto front by the Jahn–Teller strain shown in **Figure 5**. Suppressing the JT distortion in $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ minimizes the strain penalty in **Figure 6** while retaining a competitive gravimetric energy density, placing this material just behind the Pareto front. Furthermore, when the Fe-based systems are limited to their reversible windows, the energy density of $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (546 Wh kg^{-1} at $x = 1/3$) is comparable to those of $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ ($\sim 541 \text{ Wh kg}^{-1}$) and $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ ($\sim 579 \text{ Wh kg}^{-1}$). Thus, $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ stands beside $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ as one of the best practical choices. Its Mn^{4+} lock keeps the accumulated strain at 2.3%; it is among the most widely cycled O3 cathodes^{41,53,54}, and it is the basis of the Mn-Ni-based multi-TM and high-entropy compositions^{32,64,65}.

Finally, it would be useful to predict the stable phase at each Na content from a simple descriptor, without costly electronic-structure calculations. For as-synthesized materials, two descriptors have been proposed to distinguish O3 from P2 phases: (i) the slab-anisotropy ratio, i.e., the interslab distance relative to the slab thickness, $d(\text{O–Na–O})/d(\text{O–TM–O})$,^{19,31} and (ii) the cationic potential¹⁸.

However, it is unclear whether these descriptors remain valid during cycling, where the O3 and P3 phases, connected by slab gliding (**Figure 1**), compete at every Na content, with energy differences of 2–171 meV/f.u. at the stable compositions. Using our dataset of single- and mixed-TM layered oxides, we test both descriptors against the O3/P3 competition at each state of charge (**Figure 7**). We begin with the slab-anisotropy ratio (**Figure 7a**). An expanded Na layer is a geometric prerequisite for P3: the prismatic coordination places the oxygen layers of adjacent slabs directly above each other across the Na layer, so these oxygen layers are no longer close-packed, and a trigonal prism of spheres is taller than an octahedron of the same spheres²⁴.

Consistently, all P3 ground states in **Figure 7a** cluster at large $d(\text{O-Na-O})$ and high anisotropy ratios. However, many O3 states occupy the same region, so an expanded interlayer is necessary but not sufficient for P3 stability. Whether P3 actually prevails over O3 depends on the Na-vacancy ordering energy and is realized kinetically through slab glide^{24,25,30}.




Figure 7. Descriptors of O3-versus-P3 stacking selection for thermodynamically stable compositions. (a) Slab-anisotropy ratio $d(\text{O-Na-O})/d(\text{O-TM-O})$ plotted against the Na-slab thickness $d(\text{O-Na-O})$; marker shape = stable stacking (O3 circle, P3 square, O1 triangle), color = Na content x . (b) Cationic-potential map after¹⁸: $\Phi_{\text{cation}} = (\Phi_{\text{TM}} \times \Phi_{\text{Na}}) / \Phi_{\text{O}}$ versus $\Phi_{\text{Na}} = x/R(\text{Na})$; marker color = stable stacking (O3 red, P3 blue), shape = single (up triangle) versus mixed (down triangle) TM; dashed line = empirical O3/P3 boundary.

Next, we test the cationic potential, which condenses each composition into a single electrostatic scalar, $\Phi_{\text{cation}} = \Phi_{\text{TM}}\Phi_{\text{Na}}/\Phi_{\text{O}}$, where $\Phi = n/R$ is the ionic potential of an ion (its charge n divided by its ionic radius R), Φ_{TM} is averaged over the transition metals, and Φ_{Na} is weighted by the Na content. This descriptor was originally proposed to predict P2-versus-O3 stacking at synthesis¹⁸. We define these quantities on the basis of the oxidation states from **Figure 3** and the “empirical” Shannon radii⁶⁶. It recovers the qualitative trend that a high Φ_{cation} favors O3. However, although its empirical boundary classifies as-synthesized O3/P2 compounds well, it assigns only ~64% of the O3/P3 ground states here correctly, slightly below the 67% obtained by always predicting the

majority class (O3). Furthermore, its two axes, Φ_{cation} and Φ_{Na} , are nearly collinear ($r \approx 0.97$) because both depend on the Na content, so the map is effectively one-dimensional.

In our view, the main limitation of scalar descriptors, such as Φ_{cation} , is that they encode neither the Na–vacancy ordering nor its electrostatic energy. A scalar descriptor takes a single value per composition, an apparently drastic reduction of dimensionality here. In contrast, the stable phase is determined by the energies of the most stable Na–vacancy orderings of the O3 and P3 phases at that composition. The O3/P3 boundary is thus set by ordering energies of a few meV/atom, which no average of radii and valences can resolve; nor does the cationic potential distinguish prismatic from octahedral Na sites. State-of-charge-resolved predictions may require explicit enumeration of Na–vacancy orderings (and, in mixed-TM compounds, TM orderings), as performed in this work, together with glide energetics^{67,68}. A charge-resolved descriptor, such as an anionic potential derived from computed (e.g., Bader, Mulliken, *etc.*) charges of the actual ground-state structures, offers another possible route that is not tested here.

Conclusion

In this work, we presented, to our knowledge, the first consistent, ordering-resolved map of the O3/P3/O1 family of sodium layered oxides. A single library of 41 Na/vacancy orderings, drawn from existing structures, was applied uniformly to five single-transition-metal (TM) Na_xTMO_2 and all ten mixed $\text{Na}_x\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ oxides (TM = Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni), with Na-layer stacking, TM ordering, and TM-layer stacking treated explicitly. Screening more than 120,000 candidate structures with a machine-learning interatomic potential and performing 1,025 DFT+*U* calculations, we derived, for each system, the phase diagram, voltage profile, lattice evolution, TM oxidation states, and octahedral distortions. The resulting map provides a single, comparable

reference spanning many commercially relevant compositions, from which three general rules emerge:

- (i) **The redox ladder is largely independent of the partner metal.** Over the first half of charge, the redox couples order $\text{Ti}^{3+/4+} < \text{Mn}^{3+/4+} < \text{Co}^{3+/4+} < \text{Ni}^{2+/3+} < \text{Fe}^{3+/4+}$. This ordering explains the charge transfer in the pristine compounds, the order in which the metals oxidize, and why Fe is always oxidized last. It also correctly predicts the redox sequence of compositions not computed here, such as $\text{NaNi}_{1/3}\text{Fe}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{O}_2$.
- (ii) **Jahn–Teller distortions tend to be cooperative, but are often ameliorated in mixed transition metal compositions.** Strong distortions are confined to Mn^{3+} , Ni^{3+} , and low-spin Co^{2+} . About 40% of the distortion in the end members arises from neighboring octahedra elongating along the same axis. Mixing transition metals suppresses it in two ways: charge transfer mitigates the effects of Jahn–Teller-active ions, and Jahn–Teller-inactive partners dilute them and break the cooperative alignment.
- (iii) **O3/P3 competition depends on the Na/vacancy ordering.** Across the stable compositions, O3 and P3 differ by 2–171 meV/f.u., so which stacking wins depends on the Na/vacancy ordering energy. The cationic potential classifies only ~64% of the O3/P3 ground states correctly, below the 67% obtained by always predicting O3. The interslab distance is necessary for P3 but not sufficient. Predicting the stacking at each state of charge therefore requires explicit enumeration.

From this analysis, we constructed an energy-density versus strain Pareto front comprising Na_xFeO_2 , $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, and $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$. Because Fe^{4+} migration limits the Fe-based systems in practice, $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ emerge as the most practical choices. We released all ordering-resolved structures and energies openly as a reference for quantifying entropy, modeling kinetics, and training machine-learning interatomic

potentials. The approach extends naturally to layered oxides with more than two transition metals, including high-entropy compositions.

Methodology

Ordering settings. The three stackings (O1, O3, and P3) investigated here differ in how the Na sites connect to the TMO_2 slabs (**Figure 1**). In O1, each octahedral Na site shares faces with TMO_6 octahedra in both the slab above and the slab below; in O3, a Na site shares only edges with the neighboring slabs; and in P3, each prismatic Na site shares a face with one TMO_6 octahedron in one slab and edges with three octahedra in the other. Therefore, in the O1 and the O3 phases, the Na sites form a triangular lattice with one site per TMO_2 formula unit, while in P3 the two prism orientations form a honeycomb lattice with two sites per formula unit, of which only one can be occupied since adjacent prisms share a face and are separated by only $\sim 1.7 \text{ \AA}$ ²³. Each Na/vacancy ordering in this work is an in-plane decoration of the triangular or honeycomb Na lattice at a fixed Na content.

The ordering library is compiled from previous computational and experimental studies, drawn in **Figures S1** and **S2** with labels that encode their provenance. Seventeen O3 orderings are the DFT ground states of the single-TM Na_xTMO_2 family identified by Toumar *et al.*³⁵, where AJT_j at $x = 5/8$ is excluded because its Na ions sit away from the ideal octahedral sites and no exact structural data are available. Four O3 and six P3 orderings originate from Kaufman and Van der Ven in Na_xCoO_2 and Na_xCrO_2 ^{23,36}. The experimentally refined orderings are two O3 orderings of Na_xMnO_2 at $x = 1/3$ and $1/2$ ²⁷, the $\text{Na}_{5/8}\text{MnO}_2$ superstructure²⁶, the $\text{Na}_{1/2}\text{VO}_2$ superstructure³⁴, and five Na_xNiO_2 orderings including the monoclinic O3 NaNiO_2 end member^{29,37}. Orderings that duplicate another one up to a monoclinic distortion are cross-referenced in **Figures S1** and **S2**. Together with the fully sodiated and fully desodiated O3 and P3 hosts and the desodiated O1 host, the library includes 41 structures, which are applied uniformly to every TM chemistry.

Since an in-plane ordering can be shifted laterally between successive Na layers, which changes the interlayer interactions, the relative layer stacking is treated as an explicit degree of freedom following Toumar et al.³⁵. Each ordering is built in a supercell with three Na layers; the Na/Vac ordering of a reference layer is propagated to the other two layers by every possible in-plane translation, and symmetry-equivalent stackings are removed. Candidate stacking models were relaxed with the MACE-OMAT-0 (medium) machine-learning interatomic potential^{69,70} until residual forces fell below 0.05 eV/Å and then ranked by energy; only the lowest-energy stacking of each Na–vacancy ordering was passed to the DFT workflow.

Mixed-TM oxides have two additional degrees of freedom: in-plane TM ordering and TM-layer stacking. Because the two metals are mixed 1:1, cells with an odd number of TM sites per layer were doubled in-plane. We ranked in-plane orderings by Ewald energy and retained the five lowest; for each, we selected TM-layer stacking by single-point MACE-OMAT-0 energies before selecting Na-layer stacking as described above. The lowest DFT energy at each composition was used to construct the convex hull.

Density Functional Theory settings. All structures were relaxed with VASP^{71,72} using the generalized gradient approximation, PBE⁷³, the Hubbard U corrections^{74–76} and the PAW potentials (PBE_54 library)^{77,78} as suggested by the Materials Project MPRelaxSet^{79,80}. All structures were relaxed with a plane-wave cutoff of 680 eV, a k -point spacing of 0.3 Å⁻¹, the DFT-D3(BJ) dispersion correction, and spin polarization initialized ferromagnetically, until residual forces fell below 0.02 eV/Å and the electronic convergence criterion was 10⁻⁶ eV per atom. We referenced formation energies per TMO₂ formula unit to the fully sodiated and fully desodiated end-member compositions of each system, and we obtained the convex hull and voltage profile from hull-stable compositions, using the DFT energy of the body-centered cubic Na phase (−1.31 eV per atom) as the reference. Oxidation states were assigned to each TM ion by matching its on-site magnetic moment to the expected range for each oxidation and spin state, with ambiguous

cases resolved by charge neutrality, and then averaged per element (**Figure 3**). We evaluated energies above the Materials Project convex hull⁷⁹ by re-relaxing the hull-stable structures with the default MPRelaxSet settings (520 eV cutoff), applying the Materials Project 2020 compatibility corrections⁸³, and building the phase diagram alongside the Materials Project entries for each chemical system.

Van Vleck analysis. The Van Vleck modes of each TMO_6 octahedron in the hull-stable structures were calculated with the VanVleckCalculator package⁶² as $Q_2 = (l_y - l_x)/2$ and $Q_3 = (2l_z - l_x - l_y)/(2\sqrt{3})$, where l_x , l_y , and l_z are the O–O distances of the octahedron along the x, y, and z axes. For each structure, one set of orthogonal axes is used for all the octahedra, which is obtained by averaging the O–TM–O directions over the octahedra, and z is assigned to the elongated axis of the most elongated octahedron, so that the angle φ can be compared within a structure and among the structures. The oxidation states in **Figure 5** are the atom-by-atom assignments from the magnetic moments described above, applied to the single-TM and mixed-TM oxides.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Research Computing Data Core at the University of Houston for assistance with the calculations presented in this work. P. C. acknowledges the Welch Foundation under grants L-E-001-19921203, and E-2227-20250403. H. H. L. and J. D. B. acknowledge support from the Welch Foundation under grant E-2179-20240404.

Conflicts of interest

All authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

Computational data related to this study, including Python scripts, input, and output files of the simulations, are available on GitHub at https://github.com/caneparesearch/project_NLO_DFT

References

1. Masquelier, C. & Croguennec, L. Polyanionic (Phosphates, Silicates, Sulfates) Frameworks as Electrode Materials for Rechargeable Li (or Na) Batteries. *Chem. Rev.* **113**, 6552–6591 (2013).
2. Jian, Z. *et al.* Carbon coated Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃ as novel electrode material for sodium ion batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* **14**, 86–89 (2012).
3. Deng, Z. *et al.* Phase Behavior in Rhombohedral NaSiCON Electrolytes and Electrodes. *Chem. Mater.* **32**, 7908–7920 (2020).
4. Singh, B. *et al.* A chemical map of NaSiCON electrode materials for sodium-ion batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **9**, 281–292 (2021).
5. Park, S. *et al.* Obtaining V₂(PO₄)₃ by sodium extraction from single-phase Na_xV₂(PO₄)₃ (1 < x < 3) positive electrode materials. *Nat. Mater.* **24**, 234–242 (2025).
6. Bianchini, M. *et al.* Na₃ V₂ (PO₄)₂ F₃ Revisited: A High-Resolution Diffraction Study. *Chem. Mater.* **26**, 4238–4247 (2014).
7. Broux, T. *et al.* High Rate Performance for Carbon-Coated Na₃ V₂ (PO₄)₂ F₃ in Na-Ion Batteries. *Small Methods* **3**, 1800215 (2019).
8. Yan, G. *et al.* Higher energy and safer sodium ion batteries via an electrochemically made disordered Na₃V₂(PO₄)₂F₃ material. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 585 (2019).
9. Lu, Y., Wang, L., Cheng, J. & Goodenough, J. B. Prussian blue: a new framework of electrode materials for sodium batteries. *Chem. Commun.* **48**, 6544 (2012).
10. Qian, J. *et al.* Prussian Blue Cathode Materials for Sodium-Ion Batteries and Other Ion Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **8**, 1702619 (2018).
11. Delmas, C. Sodium and Sodium-Ion Batteries: 50 Years of Research. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **8**, 1703137 (2018).
12. Han, M. H., Gonzalo, E., Singh, G. & Rojo, T. A comprehensive review of sodium layered oxides: powerful cathodes for Na-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 81–102 (2015).
13. Kubota, K., Kumakura, S., Yoda, Y., Kuroki, K. & Komaba, S. Electrochemistry and Solid-State Chemistry of NaMeO₂ (Me = 3d Transition Metals). *Adv. Energy Mater.* **8**, 1703415 (2018).
14. Pan, H., Hu, Y.-S. & Chen, L. Room-temperature stationary sodium-ion batteries for large-scale electric energy storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **6**, 2338 (2013).
15. Mu, L. *et al.* Prototype Sodium-Ion Batteries Using an Air-Stable and Co/Ni-Free O₃-Layered Metal Oxide Cathode. *Adv. Mater.* **27**, 6928–6933 (2015).
16. Zhuo, H. *et al.* A Comprehensive Review on Layered Oxide Cathodes for Sodium-Ion Batteries: Design, Manufacturing, and Commercialization. *Adv. Energy Mater.* e70980 (2026) doi:10.1002/aenm.70980.
17. Yabuuchi, N., Kubota, K., Dahbi, M. & Komaba, S. Research Development on Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 11636–11682 (2014).

18. Zhao, C. *et al.* Rational design of layered oxide materials for sodium-ion batteries. *Science* **370**, 708–711 (2020).
19. Zhao, C. *et al.* Revealing High Na-Content P2-Type Layered Oxides as Advanced Sodium-Ion Cathodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 5742–5750 (2020).
20. Kaufman, J. L., Vinckevičiūtė, J., Krishna Kolli, S., Gabriel Goiri, J. & Van Der Ven, A. Understanding intercalation compounds for sodium-ion batteries and beyond. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **377**, 20190020 (2019).
21. Van Der Ven, A., Deng, Z., Banerjee, S. & Ong, S. P. Rechargeable Alkali-Ion Battery Materials: Theory and Computation. *Chem. Rev.* **120**, 6977–7019 (2020).
22. Delmas, C., Fouassier, C. & Hagenmuller, P. Structural classification and properties of the layered oxides. *Phys. BC* **99**, 81–85 (1980).
23. Kaufman, J. L. & Van Der Ven, A. Na_xCoO₂ phase stability and hierarchical orderings in the O3/P3 structure family. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **3**, 015402 (2019).
24. Radin, M. D. & Van Der Ven, A. Stability of Prismatic and Octahedral Coordination in Layered Oxides and Sulfides Intercalated with Alkali and Alkaline-Earth Metals. *Chem. Mater.* **28**, 7898–7904 (2016).
25. Vinckevičiūtė, J., Radin, M. D. & Van Der Ven, A. Stacking-Sequence Changes and Na Ordering in Layered Intercalation Materials. *Chem. Mater.* **28**, 8640–8650 (2016).
26. Li, X. *et al.* Direct visualization of the Jahn–Teller effect coupled to Na ordering in Na_{5/8}MnO₂. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 586–592 (2014).
27. Chen, X. *et al.* Super Charge Separation and High Voltage Phase in Na_xMnO₂. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **28**, 1805105 (2018).
28. Radin, M. D. & Van Der Ven, A. Simulating Charge, Spin, and Orbital Ordering: Application to Jahn–Teller Distortions in Layered Transition-Metal Oxides. *Chem. Mater.* **30**, 607–618 (2018).
29. Steele, J. M. A. *et al.* Evolution of Charge and Orbital Ordering, and Cation Vacancy Ordering During Electrochemical Desodiation of Na_xNiO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **148**, 22062–22076 (2026).
30. Sun, Y., Guo, S. & Zhou, H. Adverse effects of interlayer-gliding in layered transition-metal oxides on electrochemical sodium-ion storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **12**, 825–840 (2019).
31. Li, M. *et al.* Unravelling the structure-stability interplay of O3-type layered sodium cathode materials via precision spacing engineering. *Nat. Commun.* **16**, 2010 (2025).
32. Zhou, X. *et al.* Inhibiting inter-layer gliding in transition metal layered oxides through interphase engineering for sodium-ion batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **16**, 6691 (2025).
33. Shi, Q. *et al.* Decoupling slab gliding and lattice contraction in Na layered oxides to enable high-voltage Na-ion batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **17**, 1516 (2026).
34. Didier, C., Guignard, M., Darriet, J. & Delmas, C. O₃–Na_xVO₂ System: A Superstructure for Na_{1/2}VO₂. *Inorg. Chem.* **51**, 11007–11016 (2012).
35. Toumar, A. J., Ong, S. P., Richards, W. D., Dacek, S. & Ceder, G. Vacancy Ordering in O₃-Type Layered Metal Oxide Sodium-Ion Battery Cathodes. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **4**, 064002 (2015).
36. Kaufman, J. L. & Van Der Ven, A. First-principles investigation of phase stability in layered Na_xCrO₂. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **6**, 115401 (2022).

37. Steele, J. M. A. *et al.* Structural Elucidation of $\text{Na}_{2/3}\text{NiO}_2$, a Dynamically Stabilized Cathode Phase with Nickel Charge and Sodium Vacancy Ordering. *Chem. Mater.* **37**, 2581–2591 (2025).
38. Berthelot, R., Carlier, D. & Delmas, C. Electrochemical investigation of the $\text{P2-Na}_x\text{CoO}_2$ phase diagram. *Nat. Mater.* **10**, 74–80 (2011).
39. Guignard, M. *et al.* $\text{P2-Na}_x\text{VO}_2$ system as electrodes for batteries and electron-correlated materials. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 74–80 (2013).
40. Hinuma, Y., Meng, Y. S. & Ceder, G. Temperature-concentration phase diagram of $\text{P2-Na}_x\text{CoO}_2$ from first-principles calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 224111 (2008).
41. Komaba, S. *et al.* Study on the Reversible Electrode Reaction of $\text{Na}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ for a Rechargeable Sodium-Ion Battery. *Inorg. Chem.* **51**, 6211–6220 (2012).
42. Vassilaras, P. *et al.* Electrochemical properties and structural evolution of O3-type layered sodium mixed transition metal oxides with trivalent nickel. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **5**, 4596–4606 (2017).
43. Sun, Y.-K. Direction for Commercialization of O3-Type Layered Cathodes for Sodium-Ion Batteries. *ACS Energy Lett.* **5**, 1278–1280 (2020).
44. Wu, D. *et al.* NaTiO_2 : a layered anode material for sodium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 195–202 (2015).
45. Kubota, K. *et al.* Understanding the Structural Evolution and Redox Mechanism of a NaFeO_2 – NaCoO_2 Solid Solution for Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **26**, 6047–6059 (2016).
46. Yabuuchi, N. *et al.* P2-type $\text{Na}_x[\text{Fe}_{1/2}\text{Mn}_{1/2}]\text{O}_2$ made from earth-abundant elements for rechargeable Na batteries. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 512–517 (2012).
47. Nanba, Y. *et al.* Redox Potential Paradox in Na_xMO_2 for Sodium-Ion Battery Cathodes. *Chem. Mater.* **28**, 1058–1065 (2016).
48. Maletti, S. *et al.* Irreversible Made Reversible: Increasing the Electrochemical Capacity by Understanding the Structural Transformations of $\text{Na}_x\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **10**, 36108–36119 (2018).
49. Urban, A., Seo, D.-H. & Ceder, G. Computational understanding of Li-ion batteries. *Npj Comput. Mater.* **2**, 16002 (2016).
50. Bocquet, A. E. *et al.* Electronic structure of SrFeO_4 and related Fe perovskite oxides. *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 1561–1570 (1992).
51. Kaufman, J. L. & Van Der Ven, A. Antiphase boundary migration as a diffusion mechanism in a P3 sodium layered oxide. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **5**, 055401 (2021).
52. Lee, E. *et al.* New Insights into the Performance Degradation of Fe-Based Layered Oxides in Sodium-Ion Batteries: Instability of $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{4+}$ Redox in $\alpha\text{-NaFeO}_2$. *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 6755–6764 (2015).
53. Kubota, K. *et al.* Impact of Mg and Ti doping in O3 type $\text{NaNi}_{1/2}\text{Mn}_{1/2}\text{O}_2$ on reversibility and phase transition during electrochemical Na intercalation. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **9**, 12830–12844 (2021).
54. Wang, Q. *et al.* Reaching the Energy Density Limit of Layered O3- $\text{NaNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ Electrodes via Dual Cu and Ti Substitution. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **9**, 1901785 (2019).

55. Ghaffar, A. *et al.* Many-Body Benchmark of Electronic Charge and Spin Densities for $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2$. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **22**, 4346–4357 (2026).
56. Van Der Ven, A., Thomas, J. C., Puchala, B. & Natarajan, A. R. First-Principles Statistical Mechanics of Multicomponent Crystals. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **48**, 27–55 (2018).
57. Wang, X., Liu, G., Iwao, T., Okubo, M. & Yamada, A. Role of Ligand-to-Metal Charge Transfer in O3-Type NaFeO_2 – NaNiO_2 Solid Solution for Enhanced Electrochemical Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **118**, 2970–2976 (2014).
58. Goodenough, J. B. & Kim, Y. Challenges for Rechargeable Li Batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **22**, 587–603 (2010).
59. Jeong, M., Lee, H., Yoon, J. & Yoon, W.-S. O3-type $\text{NaNi}_{1/3}\text{Fe}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{O}_2$ layered cathode for Na-ion batteries: Structural evolution and redox mechanism upon Na (de) intercalation. *J. Power Sources* **439**, 227064 (2019).
60. Fang, K. *et al.* Elucidating the Structural Evolution of O3-type $\text{NaNi}_{1/3}\text{Fe}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{O}_2$: A Prototype Cathode for Na-Ion Battery. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **146**, 31860–31872 (2024).
61. Nagle-Cocco, L. A. V. *et al.* Displacive Jahn–Teller Transition in NaNiO_2 . *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **146**, 29560–29574 (2024).
62. Nagle-Cocco, Liam. A. V. & Dutton, S. E. Van Vleck analysis of angularly distorted octahedra using *VanVleckCalculator*. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **57**, 20–33 (2024).
63. Ma, X., Chen, H. & Ceder, G. Electrochemical Properties of Monoclinic NaMnO_2 . *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **158**, A1307 (2011).
64. Li, X. *et al.* O3-type $\text{Na}(\text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{0.25}\text{Co}_{0.25}\text{Ni}_{0.25})\text{O}_2$: A quaternary layered cathode compound for rechargeable Na ion batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* **49**, 51–54 (2014).
65. Zhao, C., Ding, F., Lu, Y., Chen, L. & Hu, Y. High-Entropy Layered Oxide Cathodes for Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **59**, 264–269 (2020).
66. Shannon, R. D. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **32**, 751–767 (1976).
67. Langella, A., Massaro, A., Muñoz-García, A. B. & Pavone, M. Atomistic Insights into Solid-State Phase Transition Mechanisms of P2-Type Layered Mn Oxides for High-Energy Na-Ion Battery Cathodes. *ACS Energy Lett.* **10**, 1089–1098 (2025).
68. Arcelus, O. & Carrasco, J. First-principles computation of dislocation structures and stress-driven phase transformations in layered oxides for Na-ion batteries. *Npj Comput. Mater.* **12**, 96 (2026).
69. Batatia, I., Kovács, D. P., Simm, G. N. C., Ortner, C. & Csányi, G. MACE: Higher Order Equivariant Message Passing Neural Networks for Fast and Accurate Force Fields. Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2206.07697> (2023).
70. Barroso-Luque, L. *et al.* Open Materials 2024 (OMat24) Inorganic Materials Dataset and Models. Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.12771> (2026).
71. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996).
72. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of *ab-initio* total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15–50 (1996).

73. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
74. Dudarev, S. L., Botton, G. A., Savrasov, S. Y., Humphreys, C. J. & Sutton, A. P. Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study. *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 1505–1509 (1998).
75. Wang, L., Maxisch, T. & Ceder, G. Oxidation energies of transition metal oxides within the GGA + U framework. *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 195107 (2006).
76. Jain, A. *et al.* Formation enthalpies by mixing GGA and GGA + U calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 045115 (2011).
77. Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953–17979 (1994).
78. Kresse, G. & Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 1758–1775 (1999).
79. Jain, A. *et al.* Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Mater.* **1**, 011002 (2013).
80. Ong, S. P. *et al.* Python Materials Genomics (pymatgen): A robust, open-source python library for materials analysis. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **68**, 314–319 (2013).
81. Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S. & Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate *ab initio* parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* **132**, 154104 (2010).
82. Grimme, S., Ehrlich, S. & Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* **32**, 1456–1465 (2011).
83. Wang, A. *et al.* A framework for quantifying uncertainty in DFT energy corrections. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 15496 (2021).

—Supplementary Information—

**Decoding Composition–Structure–Performance Relations in Sodium Layered
Oxide Positive Electrode Materials**

Yunyeong Choi^{1,2}, Hasindhi H. Lokuge^{1,3}, Joshua D. Bocarsly^{1,3}, and
Pieremanuele Canepa^{1,2,4*}

¹Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204,
USA

³Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

*e-mail: canepa@purdue.edu




Figure S1. Na-vacancy ordering diagrams of the O3-host orderings used in the DFT calculations. Labels of each ordering encode provenance: the initials of the authors of the source study, followed by the ordering label used in that work, or by an alphabetic index where the source did not label its orderings (AJT: Toumar et al. 2015¹; JLK: Kaufman & Van der Ven 2019 and 2022^{2,3}; JMAS: Steele et al. 2025 and 2026^{4,5}; XL: Li et al. 2014⁶; XC: Chen et al. 2018⁷; CD: Didier et al. 2012.⁸ Orderings identical to a listed entry up to a monoclinic lattice distortion are omitted: XC_a and JMAS_a are variants of AJT_d, JMAS_b of AJT_f, and CD_a of AJT_g. XC_b and XL_a are omitted because small off-site Na displacements take their Na-vacancy orderings off the ideal triangular lattice.




Figure S2. Na–vacancy ordering diagrams of the P3–host orderings used in the DFT enumeration. The naming convention follows Figure S1. Orderings identical to a listed entry up to a monoclinic distortion are omitted; JMAS_ c is a variant of JLK_ ζ .




Figure S3. Convex-hull diagrams of the single-TM systems Na_xTMO_2 (TM = Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni). Formation energy per TMO_2 formula unit, referenced to the tie-line between the most stable fully sodiated and fully desodiated endpoints (endpoints at $\Delta E = 0$). Marker shape denotes the host stacking (circle O3, square P3, triangle O1); black-outlined markers are hull ground states, red-outlined markers lie above the hull.




Figure S4. Convex-hull diagrams of the ten mixed systems $\text{Na}_x\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, plotted as in Figure S3.

Table S1. Transition-metal oxidation states in the mixed-TM systems from experiment and from the DFT magnetic moments of this work. x is the Na content in $\text{Na}_x\text{TM}_{0.5}\text{TM}'_{0.5}\text{O}_2$, and the first line of each entry gives the pristine ($x = 1$) state. Experimental assignments are taken from the studies listed below the table. DFT assignments are the per-element averages of **Figure 3** of the main text at the hull-stable compositions.

System	Experimental probe	Oxidation states, experiment	Oxidation states, DFT (this work)	Agreement
$\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$	Ni, Mn K-edge XANES/EXAFS, ex situ ⁹	When fully sodiated: $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ $\text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{4+}$ on charge, Mn^{4+} inactive	When fully sodiated: $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ $\text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{4+}$ on charge, Mn^{4+} inactive	Yes
$\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$	Ti L-, Ni L- and O K- edge XAS ¹⁰	When fully sodiated: $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ $\text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3+}$ for $1 \geq x \geq 0.5$, Ti^{4+} inactive	When fully sodiated: $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ $\text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{4+}$ on charge, Ti^{4+} inactive	Yes
$\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$	⁵⁷ Fe Mössbauer, Fe K- and Ni L-edge XAS ^{10,11} . TEM-EELS L_3/L_2 ratios, ex situ ¹⁴	When fully sodiated: $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Ni}^{3+}$ XAS/Mössbauer: $\text{Ni}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{4+}$, Fe stays 3+ (Fe K-edge shift from LMCT) EELS: $\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{4+}$ for $1 > x \geq$ 0.5, Ni only partly	When fully sodiated: $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Ni}^{3+}$ $\text{Ni}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{4+}$ by $x = 0.5$, Fe^{3+} kept ($\text{Fe}^{3.67+}$ only at $x = 2/3$) $\text{Fe}^{3.33+}$ for $x \leq 0.43$	Yes (XAS, Mössbauer) No (EELS and DFT of ref. f)
$\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$	Co, Fe K-edge XANES, ex situ ¹²	When fully sodiated: $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Co}^{3+}$ $\text{Co}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Co}^{4+}$ first ($1 \geq x \geq 0.55$), then $\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{4+}$ ($x \leq 0.67$)	When fully sodiated: $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Co}^{3+}$ $\text{Co}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Co}^{4+}$ by $x = 0.5$, Fe^{3+} kept $\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{4+}$ for $x < 0.5$	Yes
$\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$	⁵⁷ Fe Mössbauer, Fe, Mn K-edge XANES ¹³	When fully sodiated: $\text{Mn}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ $\text{Mn}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{4+}$ at low voltage, $\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{4+}$ only at high voltage	When fully sodiated: $\text{Mn}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ $\text{Mn}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{4+}$ by $x = 0.5$, Fe^{3+} kept $\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{4+}$ for $x < 0.5$	Yes
$\text{Na}_x\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$	TEM-EELS Ni, Co L_3/L_2 ratios, ex situ ¹⁴	When fully sodiated: $\text{Co}^{3+}/\text{Ni}^{3+}$ (assigned with DFT) Ni L_3/L_2 rises for $1 > x \geq 0.5$, Co^{3+} kept	When fully sodiated: $\text{Co}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ $\text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3.8+}$ by $x = 0.4$ while Co reduced to $\sim 3.4+$ $\text{Co}^{4+}/\text{Ni}^{4+}$ at $x = 0$	Partly Ni-first redox agrees, pristine valences differ




Figure S5. Jahn-Teller distortion of the TMO_6 octahedra versus Na content, quantified as the mean axial-to-equatorial TM-O bond-length ratio (two longest bonds = axial, four shortest = equatorial; 1.0 = ideal octahedron, >1 = JT elongation), averaged over all octahedra of each element. Error bars: standard deviation across the symmetry-distinct octahedra of that element. Markers color/shape-coded by element; shading: stable stacking (O3 red, P3 blue, O1 green).




Figure S6. Simulated XRD patterns (Cu K α) of every hull-stable structure of the single-TM systems of Figure S3, one system per page, rows ordered by Na content. Black curves: Gaussian-broadened profiles ($\sigma = 0.10^\circ$, FWHM $\approx 0.24^\circ$); red sticks: underlying Bragg intensities; the three strongest reflections are labeled by their (hkl) indices; the 2θ axis is broken between 21° and 29° . Right-hand labels give the Na content x_{Na} , the stable stacking and Na-vacancy ordering labels, and the space group of the relaxed structure. (a) Na_xTiO_2 .




Figure S6 (continued). (b) Na_xMnO_2 .




Figure S6 (continued). (c) Na_xFeO_2 .




Figure S6 (continued). (d) Na_xCoO_2 .




Figure S6 (continued). (e) Na_xNiO_2 .




Figure S7. Simulated XRD patterns (Cu K α) of every hull-stable structure of the ten mixed systems of Figure S4, presented as in Figure S6 (one TM pair per page). **(a)** $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (b) $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (c) $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (d) $\text{Na}_x\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (e) $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (f) $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (g) $\text{Na}_x\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (h) $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (i) $\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.




Figure S7 (continued). (j) $\text{Na}_x\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$.

References

1. Toumar, A. J., Ong, S. P., Richards, W. D., Dacek, S. & Ceder, G. Vacancy Ordering in O3-Type Layered Metal Oxide Sodium-Ion Battery Cathodes. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **4**, 064002 (2015).
2. Kaufman, J. L. & Van Der Ven, A. Na_xCoO₂ phase stability and hierarchical orderings in the O3/P3 structure family. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **3**, 015402 (2019).
3. Kaufman, J. L. & Van Der Ven, A. First-principles investigation of phase stability in layered Na_xCrO₂. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **6**, 115401 (2022).
4. Steele, J. M. A. *et al.* Evolution of Charge and Orbital Ordering, and Cation Vacancy Ordering During Electrochemical Desodiation of Na_xNiO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **148**, 22062–22076 (2026).
5. Steele, J. M. A. *et al.* Structural Elucidation of Na_{2/3}NiO₂, a Dynamically Stabilized Cathode Phase with Nickel Charge and Sodium Vacancy Ordering. *Chem. Mater.* **37**, 2581–2591 (2025).
6. Li, X. *et al.* Direct visualization of the Jahn–Teller effect coupled to Na ordering in Na_{5/8}MnO₂. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 586–592 (2014).
7. Chen, X. *et al.* Super Charge Separation and High Voltage Phase in Na_xMnO₂. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **28**, 1805105 (2018).
8. Didier, C., Guignard, M., Darriet, J. & Delmas, C. O'3–Na_xVO₂ System: A Superstructure for Na_{1/2}VO₂. *Inorg. Chem.* **51**, 11007–11016 (2012).
9. Komaba, S. *et al.* Study on the Reversible Electrode Reaction of Na_{1–x}Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ for a Rechargeable Sodium-Ion Battery. *Inorg. Chem.* **51**, 6211–6220 (2012).
10. Nanba, Y. *et al.* Redox Potential Paradox in Na_xMO₂ for Sodium-Ion Battery Cathodes. *Chem. Mater.* **28**, 1058–1065 (2016).
11. Wang, X., Liu, G., Iwao, T., Okubo, M. & Yamada, A. Role of Ligand-to-Metal Charge Transfer in O3-Type NaFeO₂–NaNiO₂ Solid Solution for Enhanced Electrochemical Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **118**, 2970–2976 (2014).
12. Kubota, K. *et al.* Understanding the Structural Evolution and Redox Mechanism of a NaFeO₂–NaCoO₂ Solid Solution for Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **26**, 6047–6059 (2016).
13. Yabuuchi, N. *et al.* P2-type Na_x[Fe_{1/2}Mn_{1/2}]O₂ made from earth-abundant elements for rechargeable Na batteries. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 512–517 (2012).
14. Vassilaras, P. *et al.* Electrochemical properties and structural evolution of O3-type layered sodium mixed transition metal oxides with trivalent nickel. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **5**, 4596–4606 (2017).